## Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

# **Downtown Specific Plan Amendments and Specific Development Project**



### PREFACE

Section 21081.6 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a Lead Agency to adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) whenever it approves a project for which measures have been required to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. The purpose of the monitoring and reporting program is to ensure compliance with the mitigation measures during project implementation.

On X, the City Council certified the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Downtown Specific Plan Amendments and Specific Developments project. The Final EIR concluded that the implementation of the project could result in significant effects on the environment and mitigation measures were identified to reduce significant impacts identified. This MMRP addresses those measures in terms of how and when they will be implemented.

The project includes six sites within the Downtown Specific Plan (DSP) area. Each site consists of two project components: (1) DSP amendments and (2) specific development project of each six sites. This document contains the MMRP for each site and identifies mitigation measures for both project components.

### Table of Contents

Future Development Under the DSP Amendments Other than the Six Specific Developments Analyzed in the 2020 Final EIR	2
100 Altair Way Specific Development	
300 Mathilda Avenue Specific Development	
300 West Washington Avenue Specific Development	
Macy's and Redwood Square Specific Development	
Town Center Sub-block 6 Specific Development	149
Murphy Square Specific Development	180

# Future Development Under the DSP Amendments Other than the Six Specific Developments Analyzed in the 2020 Final EIR

# FUTURE DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE DSP AMENDMENTS OTHER THAN THE SIX SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENTS ANALYZED IN THE 2020 FINAL EIR

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	AIR QUALITY	7		
Impact AQ-2: The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM AQ-2.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Prior to issuance of demolition and grading permits, applicants for future development under the DSP amendments shall complete a project-specific air quality analysis to evaluate construction period air pollutant emissions in accordance with the current BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. Overlapping construction and operation air pollutant emissions shall also be evaluated, if future development of the project sites overlap. If construction or overlapping construction and operational air pollutant period emissions exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, development-specific mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce emissions. Mitigation measures could include, but are not limited to, implementing best management practices to control dust, particulate matter, and diesel exhaust and restricting the project wide fleet-average percent of NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (see mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 for the specific development projects).	Prior to issuance of demolition and grading permits, applicants are responsible for completing a project-specific air quality analysis and submitting it to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the analysis.  During construction and operation, applicants and their contractors are responsible for implementing the	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
		mitigation measures identified in the analysis.		
	MM AQ-2.4: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Approval of a TDM Plan to reduced operational NO <sub>x</sub> emissions consistent with City requirements. This Plan shall demonstrate a minimum six percent overall reduction in vehicle trips and shall be approved by the Public Works Director or designee. For buildings with an identified tenant, the project applicant(s) shall submit to the City, and the City approve, a TDM plan prior to issuance of building permits. For buildings without an identified tenant, the project applicant shall submit, and the City approve, the TDM Plan prior to the building occupancy. Potential measures in the TDM plan can include, but are not limited to, the following:  1. Unbundled parking 2. VTA SmartPass (formerly Eco Pass) for residents 3. On-site bicycle repair station 4. A bike share program 5. An on-site TDM coordinator that would provide rideshare matching services and coordinate walking/biking groups for residents	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicants are responsible for preparing and submitting a TDM plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing and approving the plan.  After issuance of occupancy permit, applicants are responsible for ensuring the implementation of the TDM plan and submitting TDM Status Update Forms to the City.	City approved TDM plan.  The TDM Status Update Forms.	Public Works Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ul> <li>6. An on-site transportation kiosk that would provide information to residents and visitors about multimodel wayfinding and transit information</li> <li>7. Caltrain Go Pass</li> </ul>			
Impact AQ-3: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants (ROG, NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and/or PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.1 and MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact AQ-4: The project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated  Incorporated  MM AQ-4.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Prior to issuance of demolition and grading permits, applicants for future development projects shall prepare a project-specific community health risk assessment (including a cumulative assessment) to evaluate construction period air pollutant emissions in accordance with the current BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. The health risk for future development proposals exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, measures shall be implemented to reduce the health risk. Measures could include limiting use of diesel equipment and restricting diesel emissions (see mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 for the specific development projects).  During construction, applicants and their contractors are	Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
responsible for implementing the	project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation	Washington Avenue): Prior to issuance of demolition and grading permits, applicants for future development projects shall prepare a project-specific community health risk assessment (including a cumulative assessment) to evaluate construction period air pollutant emissions in accordance with the current BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. The health risk from overlapping construction and operational air pollutant emissions shall also be evaluated. If the health risk for future development proposals exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, measures shall be implemented to reduce the health risk. Measures could include limiting use of diesel equipment and restricting diesel emissions (see mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 for the	demolition and grading permits, applicants are responsible for completing a project-specific community health risk assessment (including a cumulative assessment) as specified in mitigation measure MM AQ-4.1. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the analysis.  During construction, applicants and their contractors are responsible for	measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts,	Development

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
		identified in the analysis.		
Impact AQ-C: The project would not cumulatively contribute to a cumulative significant air quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.1, MM AQ-2.4, and MM	AQ-4.1 above		
Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	BIOLOGICAL RESO	URCES		
Impact BIO-1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with mitigation incorporated.	MM BIO-1.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): When possible, construction shall be scheduled to avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible. The nesting season for most birds, including most raptors, in the San Francisco Bay area extends from February 1 through August 31.	Applicants are responsible for ensuring construction activities avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  A final report of	Community Development Director
incorporated.			nesting birds, including	

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	If it is not possible to schedule construction and tree removal between September and January, then preconstruction surveys for nesting birds shall be completed by a qualified ornithologist to ensure that no nests shall be disturbed during project implementation. This survey shall be completed no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of grading, tree removal, or other demolition or construction activities during the early part of the breeding season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August).  During this survey, the ornithologist shall inspect all trees and other possible nesting habitats within and immediately adjacent to the construction area for nests. If an active nest is found sufficiently close to work areas to be disturbed by construction, the ornithologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine the extent of a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the nest to ensure that nests of bird species protected by the MBTA or Fish and Game code shall not be disturbed during project construction.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures, shall be submitted to the Director of Community Development prior to the start of grading or tree removal.	Applicants are responsible for ensuring preconstruction surveys are completed (as described in mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1) if construction and tree removal occur between September and January. Any construction buffer zone must be implemented and maintained during construction activities.  Prior to the start of grading or tree removal, applicants are responsible for submitting a final report of nesting birds to the City.	any protection measures.	

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact BIO-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative biological resources impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1 above			
	CULTURAL RESOU	RCES		
Impact CR-1: The project would cause a substantial change in the significance of a historic resource with mitigation incorporated.	MM CR-1.1: Macy's and Redwood Square: If a heritage tree is removed or relocated, the relocation of a heritage tree shall be done under the supervision of a certified arborist, in consultation with the City arborist. The new location for a relocated tree shall be approved by the City prior to the tree's removal.	Prior to removing or relocating a heritage tree, the applicant is responsible for obtaining a tree removal permit from the City and obtaining City.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
Significant and Unavoidable Impact	MM CR-1.2: Macy's and Redwood Square: If a heritage tree is removed or relocated, the project applicant shall	obtaining City approval for the location of the		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
with Mitigation Incorporated	install a replacement plaque for the heritage tree with the same inscription as on the original plaques, which are noted in the 2006 Department of Parks and Recreation form. The final design of the plaque shall be approved by the City prior to its installation.	relocated heritage tree(s).  The applicant is responsible for retaining a certified arborist to relocate any heritage trees.  The applicant is		
		responsible for designing and installing a replacement plaque consistent with mitigation measure CR-1.2 for the heritage tree(s) relocated or removed. The applicant shall obtain City approval for the plaque(s) prior to installation.		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact CR-2: The project would not significantly impact archaeological resources, human remains, or tribal cultural resources with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM CR-2.1: All Project Sites (except for 300 West Washington Avenue): Mechanical presence/absence exploration for Native American resources shall be completed prior to development related ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts. This work shall be conducted by an archaeologist who is trained in both local prehistoric and historical archaeology. Exploring for specific historic-era features shall consist of creating shallow wide trenches down to the historic surface based on areas identified from historic-era maps. If any archaeological resources or human remains are exposed, these shall be briefly documented, tarped for protection, and left in place. Deeper trenches should be placed beyond the areas considered sensitive for historical resources.  If archaeological deposits or features that appear potentially eligible to the CRHR are identified during exploration, an archaeological research design and work plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be designed to facilitate archaeological excavation and evaluate any cultural resources discovered to the CRHR to assess if any are historic properties.	Prior to ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts, applicants are responsible for having a qualified archeologist complete mechanical presence/absence exploration as described in mitigation measure MM CR-2.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	The project applicant shall notify the City of Sunnyvale Community Development Director who shall notify the			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	applicable Native American tribal representatives if any Native American resources are identified during presence/absence exploration.			
	MM CR-2.2: All Project Sites (except for 300 West Washington Avenue): Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project applicants shall have a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative provide appropriate cultural sensitivity training to all contractors and employees involved in the trenching and excavation.	Prior to ground-disturbing activities, applicants are responsible for having a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative and all contractors implement mitigation measure MM CR-2.2.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	MM CR-2.3: All Project Sites (except for 300 West Washington Avenue): In the event that human remains are discovered during excavation and/or grading of the site, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. The Santa Clara County Coroner shall be notified and shall make a determination as to whether the remains are of Native American origin or whether an investigation into the	If human remains are found, applicants and their contractor are responsible for implementing mitigation measure	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	cause of death is required. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the NAHC immediately. Once NAHC identifies the most likely descendants, the descendants will make recommendations regarding proper burial, which will be implemented in accordance with Section 15064.5(e) of the CEQA Guidelines.	MM CR-2.3 at the time of discovery.		
Impact CR-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative cultural resources impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM CR-2.1 through MM CR-2.3 at	Dove		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	ENERGY			
Impact EN-1: The project would not result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources, during project construction or operation with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.1 and MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact EN-2: The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant energy impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.1 and MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
with Mitigation Incorporated				
	GREENHO	USE GAS		
Impact GHG-1: The project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.1 above			
Impact GHG-2: The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
of GHGs with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact GHG-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a GHG emissions impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.1 and MM AQ-2.4 above	,		
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS			
Impact HAZ-1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the	MM HAZ-1.1: 100 Altair Way and Macy's: All remaining hazardous materials at the 100 Altair Way site (e.g., the hydraulic fluids from the elevator) and the Macy's building (e.g., emergency diesel generator with a 27-gallon	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicants and their contractors shall be	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction	Community Development Director; RWQCB

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
environment through routine transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable upset of hazardous materials with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	AST, hydraulic fluids within the elevator equipment, cardboard bailer, trash compactor, shoe cleaning products, building maintenance products, and paint related products,) shall be removed and properly disposed of prior to demolition.  During removal of the equipment with hydraulic fluids, contractors shall observe for staining and spilled oil. If stains and/or spills are observed, an Environmental Professional shall be retained to collect soil samples for laboratory analysis in accordance with commonly accepted environmental protocols. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, appropriate mitigation measures shall be incorporated into the demolition permit. Approval by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH) shall be obtained prior to conducting earthwork activities in the vicinity of the impacted soil.	responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.1.	documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of required remediation measures approved by the RWQCB (or similar oversight agency).	(or similar oversight agency)

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Prior to construction activities, applicants are responsible for implementing	All mitigation measures shall be	Community Development
mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.2 and prepare a SMP and HSP.  During construction, applicants and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.	printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Project-specific SMPs (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.	Director; RWQCE (or similar oversight agency)
	During construction, applicants and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.	During construction, applicants and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.  (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	RWQCB, DTSC or DEH) and the HSPs and approved SMPs shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			
	If there are no contaminants identified on the other project sites (i.e., 100 Altair Way, 300 Mathilda Avenue, and Murphy Square) that exceed applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, their respective SMPs do not need to be submitted to an oversight agency and only submitted to the City prior to construction earthwork activities. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels at the other project sites (i.e., 100 Altair Way, 300 Mathilda Avenue, and Murphy Square), the respective SMPs and planned remedial measures shall be reviewed and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH), and the HSPs and approved SMPs shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.3: Town Center Sub-block 6: Future development shall implement the provisions in the RWQCB approved May 4, 2012 RAP prepared by Ground Zero Analysis, Inc., as may be amended or updated, which includes completing soil vapor sampling prior to construction to determine if VOC levels exceed the most recently adopted ESLs for the currently proposed uses. If VOC levels exceed their respective ESLs, the project shall install vapor mitigation systems in proposed building(s), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of RWQCB (or similar oversight agency) that these measures are not required for the currently proposed development. The vapor mitigation systems shall consist of impermeable vapor barriers installed beneath building foundations, passive or active sub-foundation venting systems, or other equivalent measures, and regular monitoring programs, and be approved by the overseeing regulatory agency. Other provisions of the RAP are summarized in Appendix F. Final approval that the site is suitable for the proposed land uses and development with the implementation of mitigation measures (including vapor mitigation systems) shall be issued by RWQCB and copied to the City prior to commencement of new construction activities.	Prior to construction activities, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing the RAP.  Prior to construction, the applicant is responsible for obtaining final site approval from the RWQCB.  During construction, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing necessary measures identified by the RAP and/or RWQCB.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of final site approval for the proposed land uses by the RWQCB.	Community Development Director, RWQCE

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.4: Macy's and Redwood Square: A vapor mitigation system design shall be incorporated in proposed building(s), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of RWQCB (or similar oversight agency) that these measures are not required for the currently proposed development. The vapor mitigation systems shall consist of impermeable vapor barriers installed beneath building foundations, passive or active sub-foundation venting systems, or other equivalent measures, and regular monitoring programs, and be approved by the overseeing regulatory agency.	During construction, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for incorporating vapor mitigation systems in the proposed buildings (if determined necessary).	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation to the RWQCB (or similar oversight agency) if vapor mitigation is not required.	Community Development Director
	MM HAZ-1.5: Murphy Square: Soil, soil vapor, and groundwater sampling shall be completed prior to construction earthwork activities to evaluate the extent of impact from up-gradient VOC releases at Town Center Subblock 6. Groundwater shall also be analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons due to the reported former presence of up-gradient gasoline service stations.  The evaluation of soil quality at the Murphy Square parcel shall include an evaluation of shallow soil (upper one-foot) for contaminants commonly found along rail lines, such as metals, petroleum hydrocarbons, PAHs, PCBs and	Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.5.  During construction, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of final site approval for the proposed land uses by the RWQCB.	Community Development Director, RWQC

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	pesticides. Sampling of shallow soil on the parcel also shall include testing for constituents within the fungicides and insecticides reported to have been stored by Del Monte Corporation if they are typically considered to be persistent within the environment.	necessary mitigation measures approved by RWQCB (or similar oversight agency).		
	All soil, soil vapor, and groundwater sampling and laboratory analyses shall be conducted in accordance with commonly accepted environmental protocols.  If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, appropriate mitigation measures shall be incorporated into the proposed development and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH). Approval that the site is suitable for the proposed land uses and development with the implementation of the mitigation measures shall be issued	Prior to construction, the applicant is responsible for obtaining final site approval from the RWQCB and providing the documentation to the City.		
	by the overseeing regulatory agency and copied to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.6: Macy's and 300 Mathilda Avenue: Prior to commencement of earthwork activities, geophysical surveys shall be completed of both former gasoline service station locations to evaluate if USTs remain on the site. If identified, the USTs shall be removed under permit from the Sunnyvale Bureau of Fire Services and underlying soil and groundwater shall be sampled and evaluated for potential contaminants of concern.	Prior to issuance of grading permit, applicants are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.6.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Permit for UST removal (if found) from the Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety, Bureau of Fire Services.	Bureau of Fire Services
	MM HAZ-1.7: Redwood Square, Town Center Subblock 6, and 100 Altair Way: All wells shall be protected during construction activities or properly destroyed prior to construction. This work shall be coordinated with RWQCB and Valley Water. Wells to be destroyed shall be destroyed in accordance with Valley Water requirements (Ordinance 90-1, as may be subsequently amended) prior to any work that could potentially damage or obscure the wells, such as demolition or earthwork activities. Destroyed wells may be required to be replaced by the oversight regulatory agency after project construction is completed.	During construction, applicants and their contractors are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.7.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director; RWQCB; Valley Water

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.8: 100 Altair Way and Macy's: Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, an asbestos survey shall be completed for existing buildings on the 100 Altair Way and Macy's sites prior to demolition in accordance with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) guidelines. NESHAP guidelines require the removal of potentially friable ACMs prior to building demolition or renovation that may disturb the ACM	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicants are responsible for having asbestos surveys completed pursuant to mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.8.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	MM HAZ-1.9: 100 Altair Way and Macy's: Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, a lead-based paint survey shall be completed for the existing buildings on the 100 Altair Way and Macy's sites in accordance with the Cal/OSHA guidelines. If lead-based paint is bonded to the building materials, the removal of lead-based paint is not required. If the lead-based paint is flaking, peeling, or blistering, it shall be removed prior to demolition. In either case, applicable OSHA regulations shall be followed; these include requirements for worker training and air monitoring and dust control. Any debris containing lead shall be disposed appropriately.	Prior to issuance of demolition permit, applicants are responsible for having lead-based paint surveys completed pursuant to mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.9.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
Impact HAZ-4: The project is not located	MM HAZ-4.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Prior to the issuance of a building	Prior to issuance of building permit, if	All mitigation measures shall be	Federal Aviation Administration,

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
within the vicinity of a private airstrip and is located within two miles of a public airport. The project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area with mitigation incorporated.	permit for above ground construction, if proposed structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1 for the permanent structure prior to submittal for the temporary construction equipment (outlined in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2 below). A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for any above ground improvements. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, the conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicants are responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the permanent structure, as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.1.	printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	MM HAZ-4.2: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Prior to the issuance of a building permit, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1, "Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration" to the FAA at least 45 days (60 to 90 days recommended) prior to construction of the project, which shall specify the equipment type (e.g., crane) and duration to be used. An Aeronautical Study Number for	Prior to issuance of grading, demolition, and, building permits and at least 45 days prior to construction activities, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director.

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	the permanent structure shall be included in the submittal form. A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for above ground activities. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, all conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicants are responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the construction equipment as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2.		
Impact HAZ-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hazardous materials impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM HAZ-1.1 through MM HAZ-1.	9, MM HAZ-4.1, and M	M HAZ-4.2 above	
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	HYDROLOGY AND WATE	CR QUALITY		
Impact HYD-1: The project would not violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with	MM HYD-1.1: 100 Altair Way and Macy's: Prior to issuance of a demolition permit, sampling of priority building materials (i.e., calk, fiberglass insulation, thermal insulation, adhesive mastics, and rubber window gaskets) shall be collected to test for PCBs per BASMAA's Protocol for Evaluating Priority PCBs-Containing Materials before Building Demolition. If collected samples contain PCBs concentrations are equal to or greater than 50 parts per million (ppm) in one or more priority materials, abatement procedures shall be completed in accordance with federal and state regulations.	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicants are responsible for completing sampling of building materials and implementing abatement procedures (as appropriate) pursuant to mitigation measure MM HYD-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Environmental Services Director
Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact HYD-3: The project would not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area which would result in substantial erosion, siltation, or flooding on or off-site; or create or	MM HYD-3.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): If future development implementing the proposed DSP amendments would result in an increase in impervious surfaces compared to existing conditions, the developer(s) shall complete additional analysis to determine if the existing and planned storm drain system has sufficient capacity to accommodate development runoff flows. Future development shall be responsible for completing improvements to the storm drain system to ensure there is	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant are responsible for determining if their development would increase impervious surfaces compared to existing conditions.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Environmental Services Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	sufficient storm drains system capacity to serve the proposed development and not result in off-site flooding, or the development shall provide adequate facilities on-site to offset peak flows from the development, thereby removing any capacity issues.	If there is an increase in impervious surfaces, applicant are responsible for completing additional analysis described in mitigation measure MM HYD-3.1 and implement necessary improvements to ensure sufficient storm drain system capacity.		
		Applicants are shall be responsible for completing improvements to the storm drain system.		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact HYD-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hydrology and water quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM HYD-1.1 and MM HYD-3.1 at	oove		
	NOISE AND VIBRA	TION		
Impact NOI-1: The project would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or	MM NOI-1.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Prior to the issuance of building permits, a qualified acoustical consultant shall prepare a report documenting the projected mechanical and emergency generator noise and identify specific noise reduction measures necessary to reduce noise to comply with the City's 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> nighttime residential noise limit at the shared property lines. Noise reduction measures could include, but are not limited to, selection of equipment	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicants are responsible for retaining a qualified acoustical consultant to implement mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies with mitigation incorporated.	that emits low noise levels and/or installation of noise barriers such as enclosures and parapet walls to block the line of sight between the noise source and the nearest receptors. The specific equipment shall be included on the approved building permit plan set.			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact NOI-4: The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable with Mitigation Incorporated	MM NOI-4.1: All Project Sites (except 300 West Washington Avenue): Future development shall prepare a noise control plan to be submitted for review and approval by the City prior to construction. The noise control plan shall be included in the approved building permit plan sets and address, at a minimum, the following:  1. Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds).  2. Impact tools (e.g., jackhammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with	Prior to the construction, applicant are responsible for preparing noise control plans pursuant to mitigation measure MM NOI-4.1 and submitting the plans to the City for review and approval.  During construction, applicants and their	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools.  3. Construct temporary noise barriers, where feasible as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.  4. Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.  5. Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.  6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.  7. Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility companies shall be used instead of portable generators.	contractors shall be responsible for the measures in the noise control plan.		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	8. Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive			
	receptors as possible.			
	9. During final grading, substitute graders for			
	bulldozers where feasible as determined by the			
	City. Wheeled heavy equipment are quieter than			
	track equipment and should be used where			
	feasible, as determined by the City.			
	10. Substitute nail guns for manual hammering, where			
	feasible as determined by the City.			
	11. Avoid the use of circular saws, miter/chop saws,			
	and radial arm saws near the adjoining noise-			
	sensitive receptors. Where feasible as determined			
	by the City, shield saws with a solid screen with			
	material having a minimum surface density of two			
	pounds per square feet (e.g., such as <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> -inch			
	plywood).			
	12. Maintain smooth vehicle pathways for trucks and			
	equipment accessing the site, and avoid local			
	residential neighborhoods as much as possible.			
	13. During interior construction, the exterior windows			
	facing noise-sensitive receptors shall be closed.			
	14. During interior construction, locate noise-			
	generating equipment within the building to break the line-of-sight to the adjoining receptors.			
	15. The contractor shall prepare a detailed construction			
	schedule for major noise-generating construction			
	activities. The construction plan shall identify a			
	procedure for coordination with adjacent			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	residential land uses so that construction activities can be scheduled to minimize noise disturbance.  16. Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would be responsible for responding to any complaints about construction noise. The disturbance coordinator would determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., bad muffler, etc.) and would require that reasonable measures be implemented to correct the problem. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include in it the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.			
Impact NOI-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable noise or vibration impacts with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1 and MM NOI-4.1 above	2		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	TRANSPORTATOIN/T	RAFFIC		
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact	MM TRN-1.1: All Project Sites: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040 Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: All Project Sites: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.  MM TRN-1.3: All Project Sites: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicants are responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
with Mitigation Incorporated	improvement identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.			
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3	3 above		
Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant transportation impact with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM TRN-1.2 above  MM TRN-C.1: All Project Sites: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck Avenue/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share contribution towards restriping the northbound and southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."  MM TRN-C.2: All Project Sites: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards adding an	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicants are responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1, and MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN-	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director
	eastbound right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between			
	the number two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.			
	MM TRN-C.3: All Project Sites: Intersections 29: Mathilda Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the City's planned improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue, including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: All Project Sites: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: All Project Sites: Intersection 38: Washington Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards converting the intersection to an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			
	MM TRN-C.6: All Project Sites: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	City's TIF Program, specifically towards the identified			
	improvement of adding a northbound right-turn lane from			
	Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto eastbound Remington			
	Drive. In addition, the project shall pay a fair-share			
	contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound			
	right-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.7: All Project Sites: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.			
	MM TRN-C.8: All Project Sites: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the on-street parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about 200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	Avenue. The City, when implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			
	UTILITIES AND SERVIC	E SYSTEM		
Impact UTL-4: The project would require the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would not cause significant environmental effects with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant	See mitigation measure MM HYD-3.1 above			
Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact UTL-C: The project would result in significant cumulative impacts to utilities and	See mitigation measure MM HYD-3.1 above			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
service systems with				
mitigation incorporated.				
Significant and Unavoidable				
Cumulative Impact				
with Mitigation				
Incorporated				

# **Specific Development Projects**

### 100 ALTAIR WAY SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	AIR QUALITY			
Impact AQ-2: The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	<ul> <li>MM AQ-2.2: The development project shall implement the below BAAQMD-recommended measures to control dust, particulate matter, and diesel exhaust emissions during construction. This list of BAAQMD measures shall be incorporated into the approved building plan set.</li> <li>1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.</li> <li>2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.</li> <li>3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.</li> <li>4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).</li> <li>5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible</li> </ul>	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing these measures.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	after grading unless seeding or soil binders are			
	used.			
	6. Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting			
	equipment off when not in use or reducing the			
	maximum idling time to five minutes (as required			
	by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of			
	Regulations). Clear signage shall be provided for			
	construction workers at all access points.			
	7. All construction equipment shall be maintained			
	and properly tuned in accordance with			
	manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall			
	be checked by a certified mechanic and			
	determined to be running in proper condition prior			
	to operation.			
	8. Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone			
	number and person to contact at the City regarding			
	dust complaints. This person shall respond and			
	take corrective action within 48 hours.			
	BAAQMD's phone number shall also be visible to			
	ensure compliance with applicable regulations.			
	9. All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a			
	frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil			
	moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be			
	verified by lab samples or moisture probe.  10. All excavation, grading, and/or demolition			
	activities shall be suspended when average wind			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	speeds exceed 20 mph and visible dust extends beyond site boundaries.  11. Wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) shall be installed on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction adjacent to sensitive receptors. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity.  12. Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.  13. The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time.  14. Avoid tracking of visible soil material on to public roadways by employing the following measures if necessary: (1) treat site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from public paved roads with a six to 12-inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel; (2) wash truck tires and construction equipment of prior to leaving the site, or (3) other methods to reduce the deposition of soil material on public roadways.	Implementation		
	15. Sandbags or other erosion control measures shall be installed to prevent silt runoff to public			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent.  16. Minimizing the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes.  MM AQ-2.3: Prior to construction activities, the project	Prior to issuance of	All mitigation	Community
	applicant(s) shall develop a plan demonstrating that the off-road equipment (more than 25 horsepower) to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles) would achieve a project wide fleet-average 46 percent NO <sub>x</sub> reduction. The 100 Altair site shall demonstrate a 97 percent reduction compared to modeling results in Appendix C of the EIR. Acceptable options for reducing emissions include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, add-on devices such as particulate filters, and/or other options as such become available. The following feasible methods shall be used unless an alternative plan that achieves this requirement is submitted and approved by the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of the building permit and shall be included in the approved plan set:	grading and demolition permits, applicant is responsible for developing a plan for off-road equipment as specified in mitigation measure MM AQ-2.3, and submitting the plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the plans.	measures identified in the off-road equipment plans shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Development Director
	All construction equipment larger than 25     horsepower used at the site for more than two     continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet EPA	During construction, applicant and their contractors are		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	Tier 4 emission standards for NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter, if feasible, otherwise,  a. All construction equipment larger than 25 horsepower used at the site for more than two continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet EPA emission standards for Tier 3 engines and include particulate matter emissions control equivalent to CARB Level 3 verifiable diesel emission control devices that altogether achieve an 85 percent reduction in particulate matter exhaust; alternatively (or in combination); or  b. Use of alternatively-fueled equipment with lower NO <sub>x</sub> emissions that meet the NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter reduction requirements above.  c. For special exceptions, a waiver to use other equipment for specialized purposes would have to be obtained from the City after review of evidence that use of such equipment meeting the above mitigation requirements is not feasible.  2. Diesel engines, whether for off-road equipment or on-road vehicles, shall not idle for more than two minutes, except as provided in exceptions to the applicable state regulations (e.g., traffic	responsible for implementing the measures identified in the plans.		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	conditions, safe operating conditions). The construction sites shall have posted legible and visible signs in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to clearly notify operators of idling limit.  3. All on-road heavy duty diesel trucks with a gross vehicle weight rating of 33,000 pounds or greater (EMission FACtors [EMFAC] Category heavyduty diesel truck [HDDT]) used at the six project sites (such as haul trucks, water trucks, dump trucks, and concrete trucks) shall be model year 2010 or newer.  4. Provide line power to the sites during the early phases of construction (demolition, site preparation, grading/excavation, and trenching) to minimize the use of diesel-powered stationary equipment, such as generators. Use of diesel powered-portable equipment for the 100 Altair site shall be limited to 100 hours for generators, 100 hours for compressors and 100 hours for cranes.			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM AQ-2.4: Approval of a TDM Plan to reduced operational NO <sub>x</sub> emissions consistent with City requirements. This Plan shall demonstrate a minimum six percent overall reduction in vehicle trips and shall be approved by the Public Works Director or designee. For buildings with an identified tenant, the project applicant(s) shall submit to the City, and the City approve, a TDM plan prior to issuance of building permits. For buildings without an identified tenant, the project applicant shall submit, and the City approve, the TDM Plan prior to the building occupancy. Potential measures in the TDM plan can include, but are not limited to, the following:  1. Unbundled parking 2. VTA SmartPass (formerly Eco Pass) for residents 3. On-site bicycle repair station 4. A bike share program 5. An on-site TDM coordinator that would provide rideshare matching services and coordinate walking/biking groups for residents 6. An on-site transportation kiosk that would provide information to residents and visitors about multimodel wayfinding and transit information 7. Caltrain Go Pass	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for preparing and submitting a TDM plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing and approving the plan.  After issuance of occupancy permit, applicant is responsible for ensuring the implementation of the TDM plan and submitting TDM Status Update Forms to the City.	City approved TDM plan.  The TDM Status Update Forms.	Public Works Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-3: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants (ROG, NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and/or PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-C: The project would not cumulatively contribute to a cumulative significant air quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	BIOLOGICAL RESO	URCES		
Impact BIO-1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with	MM BIO-1.1: When possible, construction shall be scheduled to avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible. The nesting season for most birds, including most raptors, in the San Francisco Bay area extends from February 1 through August 31.  If it is not possible to schedule construction and tree removal between September and January, then preconstruction surveys for nesting birds shall be completed by a qualified ornithologist to ensure that no nests shall be disturbed during project implementation. This survey shall be completed no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of	Applicant is responsible for ensuring construction activities avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible.  Applicant is responsible for ensuring preconstruction surveys	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Mitigation Incorporated	grading, tree removal, or other demolition or construction activities during the early part of the breeding season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August).  During this survey, the ornithologist shall inspect all trees and other possible nesting habitats within and immediately adjacent to the construction area for nests. If an active nest is found sufficiently close to work areas to be disturbed by construction, the ornithologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine the extent of a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the nest to ensure that nests of bird species protected by the MBTA or Fish and Game code shall not be disturbed during project construction.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures, shall be submitted to the Director of Community Development prior to the start of grading or tree removal.	are completed (as described in mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1) if construction and tree removal occur between September and January. Any construction buffer zone must be implemented and maintained during construction activities.  Prior to the start of grading or tree removal, applicant is responsible for submitting a final report of nesting birds to the City.		
Impact BIO-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a	See mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1 above			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
significant cumulative biological resources impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	CULTURAL RESOU	RCES		
Impact CR-2: The project would not significantly impact archaeological resources, human remains, or tribal cultural resources with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM CR-2.1: Mechanical presence/absence exploration for Native American resources shall be completed prior to development related ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts. This work shall be conducted by an archaeologist who is trained in both local prehistoric and historical archaeology. Exploring for specific historicera features shall consist of creating shallow wide trenches down to the historic surface based on areas identified from historic-era maps. If any archaeological resources or human remains are exposed, these shall be briefly documented, tarped for protection, and left in place. Deeper trenches should be placed beyond the areas considered sensitive for historical resources.	Prior to ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archeologist complete mechanical presence/absence exploration as described in mitigation measure MM CR-2.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	If archaeological deposits or features that appear potentially eligible to the CRHR are identified during exploration, an archaeological research design and work plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be designed to facilitate archaeological excavation and evaluate any cultural resources discovered to the CRHR to assess if any are historic properties.			
	The project applicant shall notify the City of Sunnyvale Community Development Director who shall notify the applicable Native American tribal representatives if any Native American resources are identified during presence/absence exploration.			
	MM CR-2.2: Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project applicant shall have a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative provide appropriate cultural sensitivity training to all contractors and employees involved in the trenching and excavation.	Prior to ground-disturbing activities, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative and all contractors implement mitigation measure	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM CR-2.3: In the event that human remains are discovered during excavation and/or grading of the site, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. The Santa Clara County Coroner shall be notified and shall make a determination as to whether the remains are of Native American origin or whether an investigation into the cause of death is required. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the NAHC immediately. Once NAHC identifies the most likely descendants, the descendants will make recommendations regarding proper burial, which will be implemented in accordance with Section 15064.5(e) of the CEQA Guidelines.	If human remains are found, applicant and their contractor are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM CR-2.3 at the time of discovery.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

	5	1		
Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact CR-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative cultural resources impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM CR-2.1 through MM CR-2.3 at	oove		
	ENERGY			
Impact EN-1: The project would not result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources,	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	oove		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
during project construction or operation with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-2: The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
contribution to a significant energy impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	GREENHOUSE G	SAS		
Impact GHG-1: The project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 above			
Impact GHG-2: The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact GHG-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a GHG emissions impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOU	S MATERIALS		
Impact HAZ-1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through routine transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable	MM HAZ-1.1: All remaining hazardous materials at the 100 Altair Way site (e.g., the hydraulic fluids from the elevator) shall be removed and properly disposed of prior to demolition.  During removal of the equipment with hydraulic fluids, contractors shall observe for staining and spilled oil. If	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for implementing	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
upset of hazardous materials with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	stains and/or spills are observed, an Environmental Professional shall be retained to collect soil samples for laboratory analysis in accordance with commonly accepted environmental protocols. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, appropriate mitigation measures shall be incorporated into the demolition permit. Approval by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH) shall be obtained prior to conducting earthwork activities in the vicinity of the impacted soil.	mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.1.	Documentation of required remediation measures approved by the RWQCB (or similar oversight agency).	
	MM HAZ-1.2: A SMP and Health Safety Plan (HSP) shall be prepared and implemented for construction-related earthwork activities under the proposed project. The purpose of the SMP and HSP is to establish appropriate management practices for handling impacted soil, soil vapor, and groundwater or other materials that may potentially be encountered during construction activities. The SMP shall provide the protocols for accepting imported fill materials and protocols for sampling of in-place soil to facilitate profiling of the soil for appropriate off-site disposal or reuse.  To evaluate potential impacts associated with prior on-site structures, the soil profiling shall include (but not be limited)	Prior to construction activities, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.2 and prepare a SMP and HSP.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Project-specific SMPs (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	to) the collection of shallow soil samples (upper one-foot) and analyses for lead and organochlorine pesticides.	approved SMP and HSP.		
	If there are no contaminants identified on the 100 Altair Way site that exceed applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, its SMP does not need to be submitted to an oversight agency and only submitted to the City prior to construction earthwork activities. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels at the 100 Altair Way site, the SMP and planned remedial measures shall be reviewed and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH), and the HSP and approved SMP shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			
	MM HAZ-1.7: All wells shall be protected during construction activities or properly destroyed prior to construction. This work shall be coordinated with RWQCB and Valley Water. Wells to be destroyed shall be destroyed in accordance with Valley Water requirements (Ordinance 90-1, as may be subsequently amended) prior to any work that could potentially damage or obscure the wells, such as demolition or earthwork activities. Destroyed wells may be	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.7.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director; RWQCB; Valley Water

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	required to be replaced by the oversight regulatory agency after project construction is completed.			
	MM HAZ-1.8: Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, an asbestos survey shall be completed for existing buildings on the 100 Altair Way site prior to demolition in accordance with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) guidelines. NESHAP guidelines require the removal of potentially friable ACMs prior to building demolition or renovation that may disturb the ACM	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicant is responsible for having asbestos surveys completed pursuant to mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.8.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	MM HAZ-1.9: Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, a lead-based paint survey shall be completed for the existing buildings on the 100 Altair Way site in accordance with the Cal/OSHA guidelines. If lead-based paint is bonded to the building materials, the removal of lead-based paint is not required. If the lead-based paint is flaking, peeling, or blistering, it shall be removed prior to demolition. In either case, applicable OSHA regulations shall be followed; these include requirements for worker training and air monitoring and dust control. Any debris containing lead shall be disposed appropriately.	Prior to issuance of demolition permit, applicant is responsible for having lead-based paint surveys completed pursuant to mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.9.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact HAZ-4: The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and is located within two miles of a public airport. The project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM HAZ-4.1: Prior to the issuance of a building permit for above ground construction, if proposed structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1 for the permanent structure prior to submittal for the temporary construction equipment (outlined in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2 below). A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for any above ground improvements. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, the conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	Prior to issuance of building permit, if structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the permanent structure, as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director
	MM HAZ-4.2: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1, "Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration" to the FAA at least 45 days (60 to 90 days recommended) prior to construction of the project, which shall specify the equipment type (e.g., crane) and duration to be used. An Aeronautical Study Number for the	Prior to issuance of grading, demolition, and, building permits and at least 45 days prior to construction activities, if construction equipment has the	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director.

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	permanent structure shall be included in the submittal form. A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for above ground activities. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, all conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the construction equipment as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2.		
Impact HAZ-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hazardous materials impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM HAZ-1.1, MM HAZ-1.2, MM 4.2 above	HAZ-1.7, MM HAZ-1.8	, MM HAZ-1.9, MM HAZ	Z-4.1, and MM HAZ-
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	HYDROLOGY AND WATE	R QUALITY		
Impact HYD-1: The project would not violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM HYD-1.1: Prior to issuance of a demolition permit, sampling of priority building materials (i.e., calk, fiberglass insulation, thermal insulation, adhesive mastics, and rubber window gaskets) shall be collected to test for PCBs per BASMAA's Protocol for Evaluating Priority PCBs-Containing Materials before Building Demolition. If collected samples contain PCBs concentrations are equal to or greater than 50 parts per million (ppm) in one or more priority materials, abatement procedures shall be completed in accordance with federal and state regulations.	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicant is responsible for completing sampling of building materials and implementing abatement procedures (as appropriate) pursuant to mitigation measure MM HYD-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Environmental Services Director
Impact HYD-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hydrology and water quality impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM HYD-1.1 above			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	NOISE AND VIBRA	TION		
Impact NOI-1: The project would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation	MM NOI-1.1: Prior to the issuance of building permits, a qualified acoustical consultant shall prepare a report documenting the projected mechanical and emergency generator noise and identify specific noise reduction measures necessary to reduce noise to comply with the City's 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> nighttime residential noise limit at the shared property lines. Noise reduction measures could include, but are not limited to, selection of equipment that emits low noise levels and/or installation of noise barriers such as enclosures and parapet walls to block the line of sight between the noise source and the nearest receptors. The specific equipment shall be included on the approved building permit plan set.	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for retaining a qualified acoustical consultant to implement mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact NOI-4: The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable with Mitigation Incorporated	<ul> <li>MM NOI-4.1: Future development shall prepare a noise control plan to be submitted for review and approval by the City prior to construction. The noise control plan shall be included in the approved building permit plan sets and address, at a minimum, the following: <ol> <li>Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds).</li> <li>Impact tools (e.g., jackhammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools.</li> <li>Construct temporary noise barriers, where feasible as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.</li> <li>Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.</li> <li>Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Prior to the construction, applicant are responsible for preparing noise control plans pursuant to mitigation measure MM NOI-4.1 and submitting the plans to the City for review and approval.  During construction, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for the measures in the noise control plan.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	between the construction-related noise sources and			
	noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site			
	during all project construction. Locate material			
	stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment			
	staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as			
	determined by the City, from residential receptors.			
	6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to			
	a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.			
	7. Where feasible as determined by the City,			
	temporary power service from local utility			
	companies shall be used instead of portable			
	generators.			
	8. Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive			
	receptors as possible.			
	9. During final grading, substitute graders for			
	bulldozers where feasible as determined by the			
	City. Wheeled heavy equipment are quieter than			
	track equipment and should be used where			
	feasible, as determined by the City.			
	10. Substitute nail guns for manual hammering, where			
	feasible as determined by the City.			
	11. Avoid the use of circular saws, miter/chop saws,			
	and radial arm saws near the adjoining noise-			
	sensitive receptors. Where feasible as determined			
	by the City, shield saws with a solid screen with			
	material having a minimum surface density of two			
	pounds per square feet (e.g., such as ¾-inch			
	plywood).			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ul> <li>12. Maintain smooth vehicle pathways for trucks and equipment accessing the site, and avoid local residential neighborhoods as much as possible.</li> <li>13. During interior construction, the exterior windows facing noise-sensitive receptors shall be closed.</li> <li>14. During interior construction, locate noise-generating equipment within the building to break the line-of-sight to the adjoining receptors.</li> <li>15. The contractor shall prepare a detailed construction schedule for major noise-generating construction activities. The construction plan shall identify a procedure for coordination with adjacent residential land uses so that construction activities can be scheduled to minimize noise disturbance.</li> <li>16. Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would be responsible for responding to any complaints about construction noise. The disturbance coordinator would determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., bad muffler, etc.) and would require that reasonable measures be implemented to correct the problem. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include in it the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.</li> </ul>			
<b>Impact NOI-C:</b> The project would result in a cumulatively	See mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1 and MM NOI-4.1 above	e		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation	
considerable noise or vibration impacts with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated					
	TRANSPORTATOIN/T	RAFFIC			
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not	MM TRN-1.1: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040 Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director	

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-1.3: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate improvement identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.			
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated	See mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3	3 above		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.				
Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant transportation impact with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-C.1: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck Avenue/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair- share contribution towards restriping the northbound and southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1, and MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN-C.7.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director
	MM TRN-C.2: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution towards adding an eastbound right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between the number two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.			
	MM TRN-C.3: Intersections 29: Mathilda Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution to the City's planned improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue, including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: Intersection 38: Washington Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards converting the intersection to an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			

### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM TRN-C.6: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the City's TIF Program, specifically towards the identified improvement of adding a northbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto eastbound Remington Drive. In addition, the project shall pay a fair-share contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound right-turn lane. <sup>1</sup>			
	MM TRN-C.7: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.  MM TRN-C.8: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> With the additional northbound right-turn lane, the intersection would improve from unacceptable LOS F to acceptable LOS E during the AM peak hour but would remain an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the results presented in the TIF Nexus Study. A dedicated southbound right-turn lane would be needed to fully mitigate the impact. However, there are right-of-way constraints that limit the physical feasibility of the dedicated southbound right-turn lane. An additional southbound right-turn lane would require an additional 11 feet of right-of-way from existing properties along the west side of Mathilda Avenue.

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the onstreet parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about 200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane Avenue. The City, when implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			

### 300 MATHILDA AVENUE SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	AIR QUALITY			
Impact AQ-2: The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	<ul> <li>MM AQ-2.2: The development project shall implement the below BAAQMD-recommended measures to control dust, particulate matter, and diesel exhaust emissions during construction. This list of BAAQMD measures shall be incorporated into the approved building plan set.</li> <li>1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.</li> <li>2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.</li> <li>3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.</li> <li>4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).</li> <li>5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.</li> </ul>	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing these measures.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.</li> <li>All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.</li> <li>Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the City regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours.         BAAQMD's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.     </li> <li>All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be verified by lab samples or moisture probe.</li> <li>All excavation, grading, and/or demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph and visible dust extends beyond site boundaries.</li> </ol>			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) shall be installed on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction adjacent to sensitive receptors. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity.</li> <li>Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.</li> <li>The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time.</li> <li>Avoid tracking of visible soil material on to public roadways by employing the following measures if necessary: (1) treat site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from public paved roads with a six to 12-inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel; (2) wash truck tires and construction equipment of prior to leaving the site, or (3) other methods to reduce the deposition of soil material on public roadways.</li> <li>Sandbags or other erosion control measures shall be installed to prevent silt runoff to public roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent.</li> </ol>			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	Minimizing the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes.			
	MM AQ-2.3: Prior to construction activities, the project applicant(s) shall develop a plan demonstrating that the offroad equipment (more than 25 horsepower) to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles) would achieve a project wide fleet-average 46 percent NO <sub>x</sub> reduction. The 300 Mathilda Avenue site shall demonstrate a 97 percent reduction compared to modeling results in Appendix C of the EIR. Acceptable options for reducing emissions include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, add-on devices such as particulate filters, and/or other options as such become available. The following feasible methods shall be used unless an alternative plan that achieves this requirement is submitted and approved by the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of the building permit and shall be included in the approved plan set:  1. All construction equipment larger than 25	Prior to issuance of grading and demolition permits, applicant is responsible for developing a plan for off-road equipment as specified in mitigation measure MM AQ-2.3, and submitting the plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the plans.  During construction,	All mitigation measures identified in the off-road equipment plans shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	horsepower used at the site for more than two continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet EPA Tier 4 emission standards for NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter, if feasible, otherwise,	applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	a. All construction equipmen	Č .		
	horsepower used at the sit	e for more than in the plans.		
	two continuous days or 20	hours total		
	shall meet EPA emission s	standards for		
	Tier 3 engines and include	e particulate		
	matter emissions control e	equivalent to		
	CARB Level 3 verifiable	diesel emission		
	control devices that altoge	ther achieve an		
	85 percent reduction in pa	rticulate matter		
	exhaust; alternatively (or i	in combination);		
	or			
	b. Use of alternatively-fueled	d equipment		
	with lower NO <sub>x</sub> emissions	that meet the		
	NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matte	r reduction		
	requirements above.			
	c. For special exceptions, a v	vaiver to use		
	other equipment for specia	alized purposes		
	would have to be obtained	I from the City		
	after review of evidence the	nat use of such		
	equipment meeting the ab	ove mitigation		
	requirements is not feasible	le.		
	2. Diesel engines, whether for off-roa	d equipment or		
	on-road vehicles, shall not idle for	more than two		
	minutes, except as provided in exce	eptions to the		
	applicable state regulations (e.g., tr	affic		
	conditions, safe operating condition	ns). The		
	construction sites shall have posted	legible and		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	visible signs in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to clearly notify operators of idling limit.  3. All on-road heavy duty diesel trucks with a gross vehicle weight rating of 33,000 pounds or greater (EMission FACtors [EMFAC] Category heavyduty diesel truck [HDDT]) used at the six project sites (such as haul trucks, water trucks, dump trucks, and concrete trucks) shall be model year 2010 or newer.  4. Provide line power to the sites during the early phases of construction (demolition, site preparation, grading/excavation, and trenching) to minimize the use of diesel-powered stationary equipment, such as generators. Use of diesel powered-portable equipment for the 300 Mathilda Ave site shall be limited to 100 hours for generators, 100 hours for compressors and 100 hours for cranes.			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM AQ-2.4: Approval of a TDM Plan to reduced operational NO <sub>x</sub> emissions consistent with City requirements. This Plan shall demonstrate a minimum six percent overall reduction in vehicle trips and shall be approved by the Public Works Director or designee. For buildings with an identified tenant, the project applicant(s) shall submit to the City, and the City approve, a TDM plan prior to issuance of building permits. For buildings without an identified tenant, the project applicant shall submit, and the City approve, the TDM Plan prior to the building occupancy. Potential measures in the TDM plan can include, but are not limited to, the following:  1. Unbundled parking 2. VTA SmartPass (formerly Eco Pass) for residents 3. On-site bicycle repair station 4. A bike share program 5. An on-site TDM coordinator that would provide rideshare matching services and coordinate walking/biking groups for residents 6. An on-site transportation kiosk that would provide information to residents and visitors about multimodel wayfinding and transit information 7. Caltrain Go Pass	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for preparing and submitting a TDM plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing and approving the plan.  After issuance of occupancy permit, applicant is responsible for ensuring the implementation of the TDM plan and submitting TDM Status Update Forms to the City.	City approved TDM plan.  The TDM Status Update Forms.	Public Works Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-3: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants (ROG, NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and/or PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-C: The project would not cumulatively contribute to a cumulative significant air quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
	BIOLOGICAL RESO	URCES		
Impact BIO-1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with	MM BIO-1.1: When possible, construction shall be scheduled to avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible. The nesting season for most birds, including most raptors, in the San Francisco Bay area extends from February 1 through August 31.  If it is not possible to schedule construction and tree removal between September and January, then preconstruction surveys for nesting birds shall be completed by a qualified ornithologist to ensure that no nests shall be disturbed during project implementation. This survey shall be completed no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of	Applicant is responsible for ensuring construction activities avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible.  Applicant is responsible for ensuring preconstruction surveys	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Mitigation Incorporated	grading, tree removal, or other demolition or construction activities during the early part of the breeding season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August).  During this survey, the ornithologist shall inspect all trees and other possible nesting habitats within and immediately adjacent to the construction area for nests. If an active nest is found sufficiently close to work areas to be disturbed by construction, the ornithologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine the extent of a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the nest to ensure that nests of bird species protected by the MBTA or Fish and Game code shall not be disturbed during project construction.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures, shall be submitted to the Director of Community Development prior to the start of grading or tree removal.	are completed (as described in mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1) if construction and tree removal occur between September and January. Any construction buffer zone must be implemented and maintained during construction activities.  Prior to the start of grading or tree removal, applicant is responsible for submitting a final report of nesting birds to the City.		
Impact BIO-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a	See mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1 above	1		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
significant cumulative biological resources impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	CULTURAL RESOU	RCES		
Impact CR-2: The project would not significantly impact archaeological resources, human remains, or tribal cultural resources with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM CR-2.1: Mechanical presence/absence exploration for Native American resources shall be completed prior to development related ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts. This work shall be conducted by an archaeologist who is trained in both local prehistoric and historical archaeology. Exploring for specific historicera features shall consist of creating shallow wide trenches down to the historic surface based on areas identified from historic-era maps. If any archaeological resources or human remains are exposed, these shall be briefly documented, tarped for protection, and left in place. Deeper trenches should be placed beyond the areas considered sensitive for historical resources.	Prior to ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archeologist complete mechanical presence/absence exploration as described in mitigation measure MM CR-2.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	If archaeological deposits or features that appear potentially eligible to the CRHR are identified during exploration, an archaeological research design and work plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be designed to facilitate archaeological excavation and evaluate any cultural resources discovered to the CRHR to assess if any are historic properties.  The project applicant shall notify the City of Sunnyvale Community Development Director who shall notify the applicable Native American tribal representatives if any Native American resources are identified during presence/absence exploration.			
	MM CR-2.2: Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project applicant shall have a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative provide appropriate cultural sensitivity training to all contractors and employees involved in the trenching and excavation.	Prior to ground-disturbing activities, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative and all contractors implement mitigation measure	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM CR-2.3: In the event that human remains are discovered during excavation and/or grading of the site, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. The Santa Clara County Coroner shall be notified and shall make a determination as to whether the remains are of Native American origin or whether an investigation into the cause of death is required. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the NAHC immediately. Once NAHC identifies the most likely descendants, the descendants will make recommendations regarding proper burial, which will be implemented in accordance with Section 15064.5(e) of the CEQA Guidelines.	MM CR-2.2.  If human remains are found, applicant and their contractor are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM CR-2.3 at the time of discovery.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact CR-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative cultural resources impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM CR-2.1 through MM CR-2.3 ab	oove		
	ENERGY			
Impact EN-1: The project would not result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources,	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	oove		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
during project construction or operation with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-2: The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
contribution to a significant energy impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	GREENHOUSE O	GAS		
Impact GHG-1: The project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 above	2		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact GHG-2: The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Impact GHG-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a GHG emissions impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		
Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOU	S MATERIALS		
Impact HAZ-1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through routine transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable upset of hazardous materials with mitigation incorporated.	MM HAZ-1.2: A SMP and Health Safety Plan (HSP) shall be prepared and implemented for construction-related earthwork activities under the proposed project. The purpose of the SMP and HSP is to establish appropriate management practices for handling impacted soil, soil vapor, and groundwater or other materials that may potentially be encountered during construction activities. The SMPs shall provide the protocols for accepting imported fill materials and protocols for sampling of inplace soil to facilitate profiling of the soil for appropriate off-site disposal or reuse.  To evaluate potential impacts associated with prior on-site structures, the soil profiling shall include (but not be limited to) the collection of shallow soil samples (upper one-foot) and analyses for lead and organochlorine pesticides.  If there are no contaminants identified on the 300 Mathilda Avenue project site that exceed applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, its SMP does not need to be submitted to an oversight agency and only submitted to the City prior to construction earthwork activities. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels at the 300 Mathilda	Prior to construction activities, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.2 and prepare a SMP and HSP.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Project-specific SMPs (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	Avenue project site, the SMP and planned remedial measures shall be reviewed and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH), and the HSPs and approved SMPs shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			
	MM HAZ-1.6: Prior to commencement of earthwork activities, geophysical surveys shall be completed of the former gasoline service station location to evaluate if USTs remain on the site. If identified, the USTs shall be removed under permit from the Sunnyvale Bureau of Fire Services and underlying soil and groundwater shall be sampled and evaluated for potential contaminants of concern.	Prior to issuance of grading permit, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.6.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Permit for UST removal (if found) from the Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety, Bureau of Fire Services.	Bureau of Fire Services
Impact HAZ-4: The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and is located within two miles of a public	MM HAZ-4.1: Prior to the issuance of a building permit for above ground construction, if proposed structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1 for the permanent structure prior to submittal for the temporary construction equipment (outlined in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2 below). A	Prior to issuance of building permit, if structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant are responsible for submitting an FAA	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
airport. The project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area with mitigation incorporated.	"Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for any above ground improvements. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, the conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	form 7460-1 for the permanent structure, as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.1.		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	MM HAZ-4.2: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1, "Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration" to the FAA at least 45 days (60 to 90 days recommended) prior to construction of the project, which shall specify the equipment type (e.g., crane) and duration to be used. An Aeronautical Study Number for the permanent structure shall be included in the submittal form. A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for above ground activities. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, all conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	Prior to issuance of grading, demolition, and, building permits and at least 45 days prior to construction activities, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director.

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
		construction equipment as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2.		
Impact HAZ-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hazardous materials impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM HAZ-1.2, MM HAZ-1.6, MM	HAZ-4.1, and MM HAZ	z-4.2 above	
NOISE AND VIBRATION				
Impact NOI-1: The project would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess	MM NOI-1.1: Prior to the issuance of building permits, a qualified acoustical consultant shall prepare a report documenting the projected mechanical and emergency generator noise and identify specific noise reduction measures necessary to reduce noise to comply with the	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for retaining a qualified	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	City's 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> nighttime residential noise limit at the shared property lines. Noise reduction measures could include, but are not limited to, selection of equipment that emits low noise levels and/or installation of noise barriers such as enclosures and parapet walls to block the line of sight between the noise source and the nearest receptors. The specific equipment shall be included on the approved building permit plan set.	acoustical consultant to implement mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1.	documents, contracts, and project plans.	
Impact NOI-4: The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable with	MM NOI-4.1: Future development shall prepare a noise control plan to be submitted for review and approval by the City prior to construction. The noise control plan shall be included in the approved building permit plan sets and address, at a minimum, the following:  1. Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds).  2. Impact tools (e.g., jackhammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction	Prior to the construction, applicant are responsible for preparing noise control plans pursuant to mitigation measure MM NOI-4.1 and submitting the plans to the City for review and approval.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Mitigation Incorporated	shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools.  3. Construct temporary noise barriers, where feasible as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.  4. Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.  5. Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.  6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.  7. Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility companies shall be used instead of portable generators.	During construction, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for the measures in the noise control plan.		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive receptors as possible.</li> <li>During final grading, substitute graders for bulldozers where feasible as determined by the City. Wheeled heavy equipment are quieter than track equipment and should be used where feasible, as determined by the City.</li> <li>Substitute nail guns for manual hammering, where</li> </ol>			
	feasible as determined by the City.  11. Avoid the use of circular saws, miter/chop saws, and radial arm saws near the adjoining noise-sensitive receptors. Where feasible as determined by the City, shield saws with a solid screen with material having a minimum surface density of two pounds per square feet (e.g., such as ¾-inch plywood).  12. Maintain smooth vehicle pathways for trucks and equipment accessing the site, and avoid local			
	residential neighborhoods as much as possible.  13. During interior construction, the exterior windows facing noise-sensitive receptors shall be closed.  14. During interior construction, locate noise-generating equipment within the building to break the line-of-sight to the adjoining receptors.  15. The contractor shall prepare a detailed construction schedule for major noise-generating construction activities. The construction plan shall identify a procedure for coordination with adjacent			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	residential land uses so that construction activities can be scheduled to minimize noise disturbance.  16. Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would be responsible for responding to any complaints about construction noise. The disturbance coordinator would determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., bad muffler, etc.) and would require that reasonable measures be implemented to correct the problem. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include in it the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.			
Impact NOI-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable noise or vibration impacts with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1 and MM NOI-4.1 above	e		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation		
	TRANSPORTATOIN/TRAFFIC					
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-1.1: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040 Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.  MM TRN-1.3: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate improvement identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.			
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated		3 above		

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant transportation impact with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-C.1: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck Avenue/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair- share contribution towards restriping the northbound and southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."  MM TRN-C.2: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution towards adding an eastbound	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1, and MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN-C.7.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director
	right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between the number two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM TRN-C.3: Intersections 29: Mathilda Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution to the City's planned improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue, including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: Intersection 38: Washington Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards converting the intersection to an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			
	MM TRN-C.6: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the City's TIF Program, specifically towards the identified improvement of adding a northbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto eastbound Remington Drive. In addition, the project			

### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	shall pay a fair-share contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound right-turn lane. <sup>2</sup>			
	MM TRN-C.7: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.			
	MM TRN-C.8: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the onstreet parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about 200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane Avenue. The City, when			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> With the additional northbound right-turn lane, the intersection would improve from unacceptable LOS F to acceptable LOS E during the AM peak hour but would remain an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the results presented in the TIF Nexus Study. A dedicated southbound right-turn lane would be needed to fully mitigate the impact. However, there are right-of-way constraints that limit the physical feasibility of the dedicated southbound right-turn lane. An additional southbound right-turn lane would require an additional 11 feet of right-of-way from existing properties along the west side of Mathilda Avenue.

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			

# 300 WEST WASHINGTON AVENUE SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

#### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation	
	TRANSPORTATOIN/TRAFFIC				
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact	MM TRN-1.1: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040 Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.  MM TRN-1.3: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate improvement	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director	

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
with Mitigation Incorporated	identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.			
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3	3 above		

		Timeframe and	Method of	Oversight of
Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Responsibility for Implementation	Compliance	Implementation
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant transportation impact with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-C.1: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck Avenue/Remington Drive — The project shall pay its fair- share contribution towards restriping the northbound and southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."  MM TRN-C.2: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue — The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution towards adding an eastbound right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between the number two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1, and MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN-C.7.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM TRN-C.3: Intersections 29: Mathilda			
	Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda			
	Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-			
	share payment contribution to the City's planned			
	improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike			
	lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue,			
	including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	southound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El			
	Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment			
	contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound			
	left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: Intersection 38: Washington			
	Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share			
	payment contribution towards converting the intersection to			
	an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			
	MM TRN-C.6: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga			
	Road/Remington Drive - The project shall pay its fair-share			
	payment contribution towards the City's TIF Program,			
	specifically towards the identified improvement of adding a			
	northbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road			
	onto eastbound Remington Drive. In addition, the project			

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	shall pay a fair-share contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound right-turn lane. <sup>3</sup>			
	MM TRN-C.7: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.			
	MM TRN-C.8: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the onstreet parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about 200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane Avenue. The City, when implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			

<sup>.</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> With the additional northbound right-turn lane, the intersection would improve from unacceptable LOS F to acceptable LOS E during the AM peak hour but would remain an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the results presented in the TIF Nexus Study. A dedicated southbound right-turn lane would be needed to fully mitigate the impact. However, there are right-of-way constraints that limit the physical feasibility of the dedicated southbound right-turn lane. An additional southbound right-turn lane would require an additional 11 feet of right-of-way from existing properties along the west side of Mathilda Avenue.

#### MACY'S AND REDWOOD SQUARE SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

#### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation		
	AIR QUALITY					
Impact AQ-2: The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	<ul> <li>MM AQ-2.2: The development project shall implement the below BAAQMD-recommended measures to control dust, particulate matter, and diesel exhaust emissions during construction. This list of BAAQMD measures shall be incorporated into the approved building plan set.</li> <li>1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.</li> <li>2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.</li> <li>3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.</li> <li>4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).</li> <li>5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.</li> </ul>	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing these measures.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.</li> <li>All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.</li> <li>Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the City regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours.         BAAQMD's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.     </li> <li>All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be verified by lab samples or moisture probe.</li> <li>All excavation, grading, and/or demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph and visible dust extends beyond site boundaries.</li> </ol>			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) shall be installed on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction adjacent to sensitive receptors. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity.</li> <li>Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.</li> <li>The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time.</li> <li>Avoid tracking of visible soil material on to public roadways by employing the following measures if necessary: (1) treat site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from public paved roads with a six to 12-inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel; (2) wash truck tires and construction equipment of prior to leaving the site, or (3) other methods to reduce the deposition of soil material on public roadways.</li> <li>Sandbags or other erosion control measures shall be installed to prevent silt runoff to public roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent.</li> </ol>			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	16. Minimizing the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes.		411 - 22 - 2	
	MM AQ-2.3: Prior to construction activities, the project applicant(s) shall develop a plan demonstrating that the offroad equipment (more than 25 horsepower) to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles) would achieve a project wide fleet-average 46 percent NO <sub>x</sub> reduction. The Macy's and Redwood Square site shall demonstrate an overall 90 percent particulate matter exhaust reduction compared to modeling results in Appendix C of the EIR. Acceptable options for reducing emissions include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, add-on devices such as particulate filters, and/or other options as such become available. The following feasible methods shall be used unless an alternative plan that achieves this requirement is submitted and approved by the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of the building permit and shall be included in the approved plan set:  1. All construction equipment larger than 25 horsepower used at the site for more than two continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet EPA Tier 4 emission standards for NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter, if feasible, otherwise,	Prior to issuance of grading and demolition permits, applicant is responsible for developing a plan for off-road equipment as specified in mitigation measure MM AQ-2.3, and submitting the plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the plans.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the	All mitigation measures identified in the off-road equipment plans shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	a. All construction equipment larger than 25			
	horsepower used at the site for more than	in the plans.		
	two continuous days or 20 hours total			
	shall meet EPA emission standards for			
	Tier 3 engines and include particulate			
	matter emissions control equivalent to			
	CARB Level 3 verifiable diesel emission			
	control devices that altogether achieve an			
	85 percent reduction in particulate matter			
	exhaust; alternatively (or in combination)			
	or			
	b. Use of alternatively-fueled equipment			
	with lower NO <sub>x</sub> emissions that meet the			
	NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter reduction			
	requirements above.			
	c. For special exceptions, a waiver to use			
	other equipment for specialized purposes			
	would have to be obtained from the City			
	after review of evidence that use of such			
	equipment meeting the above mitigation			
	requirements is not feasible.			
	2. Diesel engines, whether for off-road equipment or			
	on-road vehicles, shall not idle for more than two			
	minutes, except as provided in exceptions to the			
	applicable state regulations (e.g., traffic			
	conditions, safe operating conditions). The			
	construction sites shall have posted legible and			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	visible signs in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to clearly notify operators of idling limit.  3. All on-road heavy duty diesel trucks with a gross vehicle weight rating of 33,000 pounds or greater (EMission FACtors [EMFAC] Category heavyduty diesel truck [HDDT]) used at the six project sites (such as haul trucks, water trucks, dump trucks, and concrete trucks) shall be model year 2010 or newer.  4. Provide line power to the sites during the early phases of construction (demolition, site preparation, grading/excavation, and trenching) to minimize the use of diesel-powered stationary equipment, such as generators.			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM AQ-2.4: Approval of a TDM Plan to reduced operational NO <sub>x</sub> emissions consistent with City requirements. This Plan shall demonstrate a minimum six percent overall reduction in vehicle trips and shall be approved by the Public Works Director or designee. For buildings with an identified tenant, the project applicant(s) shall submit to the City, and the City approve, a TDM plan prior to issuance of building permits. For buildings without an identified tenant, the project applicant shall submit, and the City approve, the TDM Plan prior to the building occupancy. Potential measures in the TDM plan can include, but are not limited to, the following:  1. Unbundled parking 2. VTA SmartPass (formerly Eco Pass) for residents 3. On-site bicycle repair station 4. A bike share program 5. An on-site TDM coordinator that would provide rideshare matching services and coordinate walking/biking groups for residents 6. An on-site transportation kiosk that would provide information to residents and visitors about multimodel wayfinding and transit information 7. Caltrain Go Pass	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for preparing and submitting a TDM plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing and approving the plan.  After issuance of occupancy permit, applicant is responsible for ensuring the implementation of the TDM plan and submitting TDM Status Update Forms to the City.	City approved TDM plan.  The TDM Status Update Forms.	Public Works Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-3: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants (ROG, NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and/or PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-C: The project would not cumulatively contribute to a cumulative significant air quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	BIOLOGICAL RESO	URCES		
Impact BIO-1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with	MM BIO-1.1: When possible, construction shall be scheduled to avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible. The nesting season for most birds, including most raptors, in the San Francisco Bay area extends from February 1 through August 31.  If it is not possible to schedule construction and tree removal between September and January, then preconstruction surveys for nesting birds shall be completed by a qualified ornithologist to ensure that no nests shall be disturbed during project implementation. This survey shall be completed no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of	Applicant is responsible for ensuring construction activities avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible.  Applicant is responsible for ensuring preconstruction surveys	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Mitigation Incorporated	grading, tree removal, or other demolition or construction activities during the early part of the breeding season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August).	are completed (as described in mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1) if construction and tree removal occur between September		
During this survey, the ornithologist shall inspect all trees and other possible nesting habitats within and immediately adjacent to the construction area for nests. If an active nest is found sufficiently close to work areas to be disturbed by construction, the ornithologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine the extent of a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the nest to ensure that nests of bird	and January. Any construction buffer zone must be implemented and maintained during construction activities.			
	species protected by the MBTA or Fish and Game code shall not be disturbed during project construction.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures, shall be submitted to the Director of Community Development prior to the start of grading or tree removal.	Prior to the start of grading or tree removal, applicant is responsible for submitting a final report of nesting birds to the City.		
Impact BIO-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a	See mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1 above			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
significant cumulative biological resources impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	CULTURAL RESOU	RCES		
Impact CR-1: The project would cause a substantial change in the significance of a historic resource with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM CR-1.1: If a heritage tree is removed or relocated, the relocation of a heritage tree shall be done under the supervision of a certified arborist, in consultation with the City arborist. The new location for a relocated tree shall be approved by the City prior to the tree's removal.  MM CR-1.2: If a heritage tree is removed or relocated, the project applicant shall install a replacement plaque for the heritage tree with the same inscription as on the original plaques, which are noted in the 2006 Department of Parks and Recreation form. The final design of the plaque shall be approved by the City prior to its installation.	Prior to removing or relocating a heritage tree, the applicant is responsible for obtaining a tree removal permit from the City and obtaining City approval for the location of the relocated heritage tree(s).	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
		The applicant is responsible for retaining a certified		

#### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
		arborist to relocate any heritage trees.  The applicant is responsible for designing and installing a replacement plaque consistent with mitigation measure CR-1.2 for the heritage tree(s) relocated or removed. The		
		applicant shall obtain City approval for the plaque(s) prior to installation.		
Impact CR-2: The project would not significantly impact archaeological resources, human remains, or tribal cultural resources with mitigation incorporated.	MM CR-2.1: Mechanical presence/absence exploration for Native American resources shall be completed prior to development related ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts. This work shall be conducted by an archaeologist who is trained in both local prehistoric and historical archaeology. Exploring for specific historicera features shall consist of creating shallow wide trenches down to the historic surface based on areas identified from	Prior to ground- disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archeologist	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	historic-era maps. If any archaeological resources or human remains are exposed, these shall be briefly documented, tarped for protection, and left in place. Deeper trenches should be placed beyond the areas considered sensitive for historical resources.	complete mechanical presence/absence exploration as described in mitigation measure MM CR-2.1.		
	If archaeological deposits or features that appear potentially eligible to the CRHR are identified during exploration, an archaeological research design and work plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be designed to facilitate archaeological excavation and evaluate any cultural resources discovered to the CRHR to assess if any are historic properties.			
	The project applicant shall notify the City of Sunnyvale Community Development Director who shall notify the applicable Native American tribal representatives if any Native American resources are identified during presence/absence exploration.			
	MM CR-2.2: Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project applicant shall have a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative provide appropriate cultural sensitivity training to all contractors and employees involved in the trenching and excavation.	Prior to ground- disturbing activities, applicant is responsible for having a qualified	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
		archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative and all contractors implement mitigation measure MM CR-2.2.	documents, contracts, and project plans.	
	MM CR-2.3: In the event that human remains are discovered during excavation and/or grading of the site, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. The Santa Clara County Coroner shall be notified and shall make a determination as to whether the remains are of Native American origin or whether an investigation into the cause of death is required. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the NAHC immediately. Once NAHC identifies the most likely descendants, the descendants will make recommendations regarding proper burial, which will be implemented in accordance with Section 15064.5(e) of the CEQA Guidelines.	If human remains are found, applicant and their contractor are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM CR-2.3 at the time of discovery.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
Impact CR-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable	See mitigation measures MM CR-2.1 through MM CR-2.3 at	pove		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
contribution to a significant cumulative cultural resources impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	ENERGY			
Impact EN-1: The project would not result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources, during project construction or operation with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-2: The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant energy impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2, MM AQ-2.3, and MM	1 AQ-2.4 above		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	GREENHOUS	E GAS		
Impact GHG-1: The project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 at	pove		
Impact GHG-2: The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact GHG-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a GHG emissions impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 at	bove		
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOU	S MATERIALS		
Impact HAZ-1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through routine transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable upset of hazardous materials with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM HAZ-1.1: All remaining hazardous materials at the Macy's building (e.g., emergency diesel generator with a 27-gallon AST, hydraulic fluids within the elevator equipment, cardboard bailer, trash compactor, shoe cleaning products, building maintenance products, and paint related products,) shall be removed and properly disposed of prior to demolition.  During removal of the equipment with hydraulic fluids, contractors shall observe for staining and spilled oil. If stains and/or spills are observed, an Environmental Professional shall be retained to collect soil samples for laboratory analysis in accordance with commonly accepted environmental protocols. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, appropriate mitigation measures shall be incorporated into the demolition permit. Approval by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH) shall be obtained prior to conducting earthwork activities in the vicinity of the impacted soil.	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of required remediation measures approved by the RWQCB (or similar oversight agency).	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.2: A SMP and Health Safety Plan (HSP) shall be prepared and implemented for construction-related earthwork activities under the proposed project. The purpose of the SMP and HSP is to establish appropriate management practices for handling impacted soil, soil vapor, and groundwater or other materials that may potentially be encountered during construction activities. The SMPs shall provide the protocols for accepting imported fill materials and protocols for sampling of inplace soil to facilitate profiling of the soil for appropriate off-site disposal or reuse.  To evaluate potential impacts associated with prior on-site structures, the soil profiling shall include (but not be limited to) the collection of shallow soil samples (upper one-foot) and analyses for lead and organochlorine pesticides.  Because contaminants are known to be present on the Macy's and Redwood Square site, the SMPs for these sites shall address currently proposed uses and currently applicable screening levels (including current guidance on PCE), and shall be reviewed and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or	Prior to construction activities, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.2 and prepare a SMP and HSP.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Project-specific SMPs (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			
	MM HAZ-1.4: A vapor mitigation system design shall be incorporated in proposed building(s), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of RWQCB (or similar oversight agency) that these measures are not required for the currently proposed development. The vapor mitigation systems shall consist of impermeable vapor barriers installed beneath building foundations, passive or active sub-foundation venting systems, or other equivalent measures, and regular monitoring programs, and be approved by the overseeing regulatory agency.	During construction, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for incorporating vapor mitigation systems in the proposed buildings (if determined necessary).	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation to the RWQCB (or similar oversight agency) if vapor mitigation is not required.	Community Development Director
	MM HAZ-1.6: Prior to commencement of earthwork activities, geophysical surveys shall be completed of the former gasoline service station location to evaluate if USTs remain on the site. If identified, the USTs shall be removed under permit from the Sunnyvale Bureau of Fire Services and underlying soil and groundwater shall be sampled and evaluated for potential contaminants of concern.	Prior to issuance of grading permit, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.6.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Bureau of Fire Services
			Permit for UST removal (if found) from the Sunnyvale Department of Public	

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.7: All wells shall be protected during construction activities or properly destroyed prior to construction. This work shall be coordinated with RWQCB and Valley Water. Wells to be destroyed shall be destroyed in accordance with Valley Water requirements (Ordinance 90-1, as may be subsequently amended) prior to any work that could potentially damage or obscure the wells, such as demolition or earthwork activities. Destroyed wells may be required to be replaced by the oversight regulatory agency	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.7.	Safety, Bureau of Fire Services.  All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director; RWQCB; Valley Water
	after project construction is completed.  MM HAZ-1.8: Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, an asbestos survey shall be completed for existing buildings on the Macy's site prior to demolition in accordance with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) guidelines. NESHAP guidelines require the removal of potentially friable ACMs prior to building demolition or renovation that may disturb the ACM	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicant is responsible for having asbestos surveys completed pursuant to mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.8.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM HAZ-1.9: Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, a lead-based paint survey shall be completed for the existing building on the Macy's site in accordance with the Cal/OSHA guidelines. If lead-based paint is bonded to the building materials, the removal of lead-based paint is not required. If the lead-based paint is flaking, peeling, or blistering, it shall be removed prior to demolition. In either case, applicable OSHA regulations shall be followed; these include requirements for worker training and air monitoring and dust control. Any debris containing lead shall be disposed appropriately.	Prior to issuance of demolition permit, applicant is responsible for having lead-based paint surveys completed pursuant to mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.9.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
Impact HAZ-4: The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and is located within two miles of a public airport. The project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area with mitigation incorporated.	MM HAZ-4.1: Prior to the issuance of a building permit for above ground construction, if proposed structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1 for the permanent structure prior to submittal for the temporary construction equipment (outlined in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2 below). A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for any above ground improvements. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, the conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	Prior to issuance of building permit, if structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the permanent structure, as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM HAZ-4.2: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1, "Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration" to the FAA at least 45 days (60 to 90 days recommended) prior to construction of the project, which shall specify the equipment type (e.g., crane) and duration to be used. An Aeronautical Study Number for the permanent structure shall be included in the submittal form. A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for above ground activities. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, all conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	Prior to issuance of grading, demolition, and, building permits and at least 45 days prior to construction activities, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the construction equipment as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director.

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact HAZ-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hazardous materials impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM HAZ-1.1, MM HAZ-1.2, MM MM HAZ-4.2 above	HAZ-1.4, MM HAZ-1.6	through MM HAZ-1.9, N	IM HAZ-4.1, and
	HYDROLOGY AND WATE	ER QUALITY		
Impact HYD-1: The project would not violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality with mitigation incorporated.	MM HYD-1.1: Prior to issuance of a demolition permit, sampling of priority building materials (i.e., calk, fiberglass insulation, thermal insulation, adhesive mastics, and rubber window gaskets) shall be collected to test for PCBs per BASMAA's Protocol for Evaluating Priority PCBs-Containing Materials before Building Demolition. If collected samples contain PCBs concentrations are equal to or greater than 50 parts per million (ppm) in one or more priority materials, abatement procedures shall be completed in accordance with federal and state regulations.	Prior to issuance of demolition permits, applicant is responsible for completing sampling of building materials and implementing abatement procedures (as appropriate)	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Environmental Services Director

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated		pursuant to mitigation measure MM HYD-1.1.		
Impact HYD-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hydrology and water quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM HYD-1.1 above			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation		
	NOISE AND VIBRATION					
Impact NOI-1: The project would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM NOI-1.1: Prior to the issuance of building permits, a qualified acoustical consultant shall prepare a report documenting the projected mechanical and emergency generator noise and identify specific noise reduction measures necessary to reduce noise to comply with the City's 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> nighttime residential noise limit at the shared property lines. Noise reduction measures could include, but are not limited to, selection of equipment that emits low noise levels and/or installation of noise barriers such as enclosures and parapet walls to block the line of sight between the noise source and the nearest receptors. The specific equipment shall be included on the approved building permit plan set.	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for retaining a qualified acoustical consultant to implement mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director		
Impact NOI-4: The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in	MM NOI-4.1: Future development shall prepare a noise control plan to be submitted for review and approval by the City prior to construction. The noise control plan shall be included in the approved building permit plan sets and address, at a minimum, the following:	Prior to the construction, applicant are responsible for preparing noise control plans	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director		

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
the project vicinity above levels existing without the project with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable with Mitigation Incorporated	<ol> <li>Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds).</li> <li>Impact tools (e.g., jackhammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools.</li> <li>Construct temporary noise barriers, where feasible as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.</li> <li>Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.</li> <li>Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material</li> </ol>	pursuant to mitigation measure MM NOI-4.1 and submitting the plans to the City for review and approval.  During construction, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for the measures in the noise control plan.		
	stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.			

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.</li> <li>Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility companies shall be used instead of portable generators.</li> <li>Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive receptors as possible.</li> <li>During final grading, substitute graders for bulldozers where feasible as determined by the City. Wheeled heavy equipment are quieter than track equipment and should be used where feasible, as determined by the City.</li> <li>Substitute nail guns for manual hammering, where feasible as determined by the City.</li> <li>Avoid the use of circular saws, miter/chop saws, and radial arm saws near the adjoining noise-sensitive receptors. Where feasible as determined by the City, shield saws with a solid screen with material having a minimum surface density of two pounds per square feet (e.g., such as ¾-inch plywood).</li> <li>Maintain smooth vehicle pathways for trucks and equipment accessing the site, and avoid local</li> </ol>			
	residential neighborhoods as much as possible.  13. During interior construction, the exterior windows facing noise-sensitive receptors shall be closed.			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ul> <li>14. During interior construction, locate noise-generating equipment within the building to break the line-of-sight to the adjoining receptors.</li> <li>15. The contractor shall prepare a detailed construction schedule for major noise-generating construction activities. The construction plan shall identify a procedure for coordination with adjacent residential land uses so that construction activities can be scheduled to minimize noise disturbance.</li> <li>16. Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would be responsible for responding to any complaints about construction noise. The disturbance coordinator would determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., bad muffler, etc.) and would require that reasonable measures be implemented to correct the problem. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include in it the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.</li> </ul>			
Impact NOI-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable noise or vibration impacts with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1 and MM NOI-4.1 above	e		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation	
Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated					
	TRANSPORTATOIN/TRAFFIC				
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and	MM TRN-1.1: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040 Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.  MM TRN-1.3: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director	

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate improvement identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.			
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3	3 above		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant transportation impact with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-C.1: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck Avenue/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair- share contribution towards restriping the northbound and southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."  MM TRN-C.2: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution towards adding an eastbound right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between the number	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1, and MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN-C.7.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.			
	MM TRN-C.3: Intersections 29: Mathilda Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the City's planned improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue, including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: Intersection 38: Washington Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards converting the intersection to an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			
	MM TRN-C.6: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share			

### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	payment contribution towards the City's TIF Program, specifically towards the identified improvement of adding a northbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto eastbound Remington Drive. In addition, the project shall pay a fair-share contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound right-turn lane. <sup>4</sup>			
	MM TRN-C.7: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.			
	MM TRN-C.8: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the onstreet parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> With the additional northbound right-turn lane, the intersection would improve from unacceptable LOS F to acceptable LOS E during the AM peak hour but would remain an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the results presented in the TIF Nexus Study. A dedicated southbound right-turn lane would be needed to fully mitigate the impact. However, there are right-of-way constraints that limit the physical feasibility of the dedicated southbound right-turn lane. An additional southbound right-turn lane would require an additional 11 feet of right-of-way from existing properties along the west side of Mathilda Avenue.

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane Avenue. The City, when implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			

## TOWN CENTER SUB-BLOCK 6 SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

## Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	AIR QUALITY			
Impact AQ-2: The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	<ul> <li>MM AQ-2.2: The development project shall implement the below BAAQMD-recommended measures to control dust, particulate matter, and diesel exhaust emissions during construction. This list of BAAQMD measures shall be incorporated into the approved building plan set.</li> <li>1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.</li> <li>2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.</li> <li>3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.</li> <li>4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).</li> <li>5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.</li> </ul>	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing these measures.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.</li> <li>All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.</li> <li>Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the City regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours.         BAAQMD's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.     </li> <li>All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be verified by lab samples or moisture probe.</li> <li>All excavation, grading, and/or demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph and visible dust extends beyond site boundaries.</li> </ol>			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) shall be installed on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction adjacent to sensitive receptors. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity.</li> <li>Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.</li> <li>The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time.</li> <li>Avoid tracking of visible soil material on to public roadways by employing the following measures if necessary: (1) treat site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from public paved roads with a six to 12-inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel; (2) wash truck tires and construction equipment of prior to leaving the site, or (3) other methods to reduce the deposition of soil material on public roadways.</li> <li>Sandbags or other erosion control measures shall be installed to prevent silt runoff to public roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent.</li> </ol>			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ul><li>16. Minimizing the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes.</li><li>MM AQ-2.3: Prior to construction activities, the project</li></ul>	Prior to issuance of	All mitigation	Community
	applicant(s) shall develop a plan demonstrating that the off- road equipment (more than 25 horsepower) to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles) would achieve a project wide fleet- average 46 percent NO <sub>x</sub> reduction. The Town Center Sub- block 6 site shall demonstrate an overall 90 percent particulate matter exhaust reduction compared to modeling results in Appendix C of the EIR. Acceptable options for reducing emissions include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, add-on devices such as particulate filters, and/or other options as such become available. The following feasible methods shall be used unless an alternative plan that achieves this requirement is submitted and approved by the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of the building permit and shall be included in the approved plan set:  1. All construction equipment larger than 25 horsepower used at the site for more than two continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet EPA Tier 4 emission standards for NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter, if feasible, otherwise,	grading and demolition permits, applicant is responsible for developing a plan for off-road equipment as specified in mitigation measure MM AQ-2.3, and submitting the plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the plans.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the	measures identified in the off-road equipment plans shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	a. All construction equipment larger than 25			
	horsepower used at the site for more than	in the plans.		
	two continuous days or 20 hours total			
	shall meet EPA emission standards for			
	Tier 3 engines and include particulate			
	matter emissions control equivalent to			
	CARB Level 3 verifiable diesel emission			
	control devices that altogether achieve an			
	85 percent reduction in particulate matter			
	exhaust; alternatively (or in combination)			
	or			
	b. Use of alternatively-fueled equipment			
	with lower NO <sub>x</sub> emissions that meet the			
	NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter reduction			
	requirements above.			
	c. For special exceptions, a waiver to use			
	other equipment for specialized purposes			
	would have to be obtained from the City			
	after review of evidence that use of such			
	equipment meeting the above mitigation			
	requirements is not feasible.			
	2. Diesel engines, whether for off-road equipment or			
	on-road vehicles, shall not idle for more than two			
	minutes, except as provided in exceptions to the			
	applicable state regulations (e.g., traffic			
	conditions, safe operating conditions). The			
	construction sites shall have posted legible and			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	visible signs in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to clearly notify operators of idling limit.  3. All on-road heavy duty diesel trucks with a gross vehicle weight rating of 33,000 pounds or greater (EMission FACtors [EMFAC] Category heavyduty diesel truck [HDDT]) used at the six project sites (such as haul trucks, water trucks, dump trucks, and concrete trucks) shall be model year 2010 or newer.  4. Provide line power to the sites during the early phases of construction (demolition, site preparation, grading/excavation, and trenching) to minimize the use of diesel-powered stationary equipment, such as generators.			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM AQ-2.4: Approval of a TDM Plan to reduced operational NO <sub>x</sub> emissions consistent with City requirements. This Plan shall demonstrate a minimum six percent overall reduction in vehicle trips and shall be approved by the Public Works Director or designee. For buildings with an identified tenant, the project applicant(s) shall submit to the City, and the City approve, a TDM plan prior to issuance of building permits. For buildings without an identified tenant, the project applicant shall submit, and the City approve, the TDM Plan prior to the building occupancy. Potential measures in the TDM plan can include, but are not limited to, the following:  1. Unbundled parking 2. VTA SmartPass (formerly Eco Pass) for residents 3. On-site bicycle repair station 4. A bike share program 5. An on-site TDM coordinator that would provide rideshare matching services and coordinate walking/biking groups for residents 6. An on-site transportation kiosk that would provide information to residents and visitors about multimodel wayfinding and transit information 7. Caltrain Go Pass	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for preparing and submitting a TDM plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing and approving the plan.  After issuance of occupancy permit, applicant is responsible for ensuring the implementation of the TDM plan and submitting TDM Status Update Forms to the City.	City approved TDM plan.  The TDM Status Update Forms.	Public Works Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-3: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants (ROG, NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and/or PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-C: The project would not cumulatively contribute to a cumulative significant air quality impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	BIOLOGICAL RESO	URCES		
Impact BIO-1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with mitigation incorporated.	MM BIO-1.1: When possible, construction shall be scheduled to avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible. The nesting season for most birds, including most raptors, in the San Francisco Bay area extends from February 1 through August 31.  If it is not possible to schedule construction and tree removal between September and January, then preconstruction surveys for nesting birds shall be completed by a qualified expitation sixty to ensure that no posts shall be	Applicant is responsible for ensuring construction activities avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible.  Applicant is responsible for	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection	Community Development Director
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	a qualified ornithologist to ensure that no nests shall be disturbed during project implementation. This survey shall be completed no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of grading, tree removal, or other demolition or construction	responsible for ensuring pre- construction surveys are completed (as	measures.	

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	activities during the early part of the breeding season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August).  During this survey, the ornithologist shall inspect all trees and other possible nesting habitats within and immediately adjacent to the construction area for nests. If an active nest is found sufficiently close to work areas to be disturbed by construction, the ornithologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine the extent of a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the nest to ensure that nests of bird species protected by the MBTA or Fish and Game code shall not be disturbed during project construction.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures, shall be submitted to the Director of Community Development prior to the start of grading or tree removal.	described in mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1) if construction and tree removal occur between September and January. Any construction buffer zone must be implemented and maintained during construction activities.  Prior to the start of grading or tree removal, applicant is responsible for submitting a final report of nesting birds to the City.		
Impact BIO-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative	See mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1 above			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

State Clearinghouse #2010032020				
Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
biological resources impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	CULTURAL RESOU	URCES		
Impact CR-2: The project would not significantly impact archaeological resources, human remains, or tribal cultural resources with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM CR-2.1: Mechanical presence/absence exploration for Native American resources shall be completed prior to development related ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts. This work shall be conducted by an archaeologist who is trained in both local prehistoric and historical archaeology. Exploring for specific historic-era features shall consist of creating shallow wide trenches down to the historic surface based on areas identified from historic-era maps. If any archaeological resources or human remains are exposed, these shall be briefly documented, tarped for protection, and left in place. Deeper trenches should be placed beyond the areas considered sensitive for historical resources.	Prior to ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archeologist complete mechanical presence/absence exploration as described in mitigation measure MM CR-2.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	If archaeological deposits or features that appear potentially eligible to the CRHR are identified during exploration, an			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	archaeological research design and work plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be designed to facilitate archaeological excavation and evaluate any cultural resources discovered to the CRHR to assess if any are historic properties.			
	The project applicant shall notify the City of Sunnyvale Community Development Director who shall notify the applicable Native American tribal representatives if any Native American resources are identified during presence/absence exploration.			
	MM CR-2.2: Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project applicant shall have a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative provide appropriate cultural sensitivity training to all contractors and employees involved in the trenching and excavation.	Prior to ground-disturbing activities, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative and all contractors implement mitigation measure MM CR-2.2.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM CR-2.3: In the event that human remains are discovered during excavation and/or grading of the site, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. The Santa Clara County Coroner shall be notified and shall make a determination as to whether the remains are of Native American origin or whether an investigation into the cause of death is required. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the NAHC immediately. Once NAHC identifies the most likely descendants, the descendants will make recommendations regarding proper burial, which will be implemented in accordance with Section 15064.5(e) of the CEQA Guidelines.	If human remains are found, applicant and their contractor are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM CR-2.3 at the time of discovery.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
Impact CR-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative cultural resources impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM CR-2.1 through MM CR-2.3 at	pove		
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	ENERGY			
Impact EN-1: The project would not result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources, during project construction or operation with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact EN-2: The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant energy impact with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	GREENHOUSE G	AS		
Impact GHG-1: The project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 above			
Impact GHG-2: The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact GHG-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a GHG emissions impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 a	bove		
with Mitigation Incorporated				
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOU	S MATERIALS		
Impact HAZ-1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through routine transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable	MM HAZ-1.2: A SMP and Health Safety Plan (HSP) shall be prepared and implemented for construction-related earthwork activities under the proposed project. The purpose of the SMP and HSP is to establish appropriate management practices for handling impacted soil, soil vapor, and groundwater or other materials that may potentially be encountered during construction activities.	Prior to construction activities, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.2 and	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
upset of hazardous materials with mitigation incorporated.	The SMPs shall provide the protocols for accepting imported fill materials and protocols for sampling of inplace soil to facilitate profiling of the soil for appropriate off-site disposal or reuse.  To evaluate potential impacts associated with prior on-site structures, the soil profiling shall include (but not be limited to) the collection of shallow soil samples (upper one-foot) and analyses for lead and organochlorine pesticides.	prepare a SMP and HSP.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.	Project-specific SMPs (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.	
	Because contaminants are known to be present on the Town Center Sub-block 6 site, the SMPs for the site shall address currently proposed uses and currently applicable screening levels (including current guidance on PCE), and shall be reviewed and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH) and the HSPs and approved SMPs shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			
	MM HAZ-1.3: Future development shall implement the provisions in the RWQCB approved May 4, 2012 RAP prepared by Ground Zero Analysis, Inc., as may be amended or updated, which includes completing soil vapor sampling prior to construction to determine if VOC levels exceed the most recently adopted ESLs for the currently	Prior to construction activities, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing the RAP.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director, RWQCB

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	proposed uses. If VOC levels exceed their respective ESLs, the project shall install vapor mitigation systems in proposed building(s), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of RWQCB (or similar oversight agency) that these measures are not required for the currently proposed development. The vapor mitigation systems shall consist of impermeable vapor barriers installed beneath building foundations, passive or active sub-foundation venting systems, or other equivalent measures, and regular monitoring programs, and be approved by the overseeing regulatory agency. Other provisions of the RAP are summarized in Appendix F. Final approval that the site is suitable for the proposed land uses and development with the implementation of mitigation measures (including vapor mitigation systems) shall be issued by RWQCB and copied to the City prior to commencement of new construction activities.	Prior to construction, the applicant is responsible for obtaining final site approval from the RWQCB.  During construction, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing necessary measures identified by the RAP and/or RWQCB.	Documentation of final site approval for the proposed land uses by the RWQCB.	
	MM HAZ-1.7: All wells shall be protected during construction activities or properly destroyed prior to construction. This work shall be coordinated with RWQCB and Valley Water. Wells to be destroyed shall be destroyed in accordance with Valley Water requirements (Ordinance 90-1, as may be subsequently amended) prior to any work that could potentially damage or obscure the wells, such as demolition or earthwork activities. Destroyed wells may be	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.7.	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director; RWQCB Valley Water

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	required to be replaced by the oversight regulatory agency after project construction is completed.			
Impact HAZ-4: The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and is located within two miles of a public airport. The project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area with mitigation incorporated.	MM HAZ-4.1: Prior to the issuance of a building permit for above ground construction, if proposed structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1 for the permanent structure prior to submittal for the temporary construction equipment (outlined in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2 below). A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for any above ground improvements. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, the conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	Prior to issuance of building permit, if structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the permanent structure, as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	MM HAZ-4.2: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1, "Notice of Proposed Construction or	Prior to issuance of grading, demolition, and, building permits and at least 45 days prior to	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction	Federal Aviation Administration, Community

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	Alteration" to the FAA at least 45 days (60 to 90 days recommended) prior to construction of the project, which shall specify the equipment type (e.g., crane) and duration to be used. An Aeronautical Study Number for the permanent structure shall be included in the submittal form. A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for above ground activities. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, all conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	construction activities, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the construction equipment as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2.	documents, contracts, and project plans.	Development Director.

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact HAZ-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hazardous materials impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM HAZ-1.2, MM HAZ-1.3, MM	HAZ-1.7, MM HAZ-4.1	, and MM HAZ-4.2 above	
	NOISE AND VIBRA	TION		
Impact NOI-1: The project would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or local general plan or	MM NOI-1.1: Prior to the issuance of building permits, a qualified acoustical consultant shall prepare a report documenting the projected mechanical and emergency generator noise and identify specific noise reduction measures necessary to reduce noise to comply with the City's 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> nighttime residential noise limit at the shared property lines. Noise reduction measures could include, but are not limited to, selection of equipment that emits low noise levels and/or installation of noise barriers such as enclosures and parapet walls to block the line of	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for retaining a qualified acoustical consultant to implement mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies with mitigation incorporated.	sight between the noise source and the nearest receptors. The specific equipment shall be included on the approved building permit plan set.			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact NOI-4: The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable with Mitigation Incorporated	MM NOI-4.1: Future development shall prepare a noise control plan to be submitted for review and approval by the City prior to construction. The noise control plan shall be included in the approved building permit plan sets and address, at a minimum, the following:  1. Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds).  2. Impact tools (e.g., jackhammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools.	Prior to the construction, applicant are responsible for preparing noise control plans pursuant to mitigation measure MM NOI-4.1 and submitting the plans to the City for review and approval.  During construction, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for the	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

3. Construct temporary noise barriers, where feasible as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.  4. Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.  5. Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.  6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.  7. Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility	Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
generators.  8. Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive receptors as possible.  9. During final grading, substitute graders for bulldozers where feasible as determined by the		as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.  4. Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.  5. Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.  6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.  7. Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility companies shall be used instead of portable generators.  8. Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive receptors as possible.  9. During final grading, substitute graders for			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	City. Wheeled heavy equipment are quieter than			
	track equipment and should be used where			
	feasible, as determined by the City.			
	10. Substitute nail guns for manual hammering, where			
	feasible as determined by the City.			
	11. Avoid the use of circular saws, miter/chop saws,			
	and radial arm saws near the adjoining noise-			
	sensitive receptors. Where feasible as determined			
	by the City, shield saws with a solid screen with			
	material having a minimum surface density of two			
	pounds per square feet (e.g., such as ¾-inch plywood).			
	12. Maintain smooth vehicle pathways for trucks and			
	equipment accessing the site, and avoid local			
	residential neighborhoods as much as possible.			
	13. During interior construction, the exterior windows			
	facing noise-sensitive receptors shall be closed.			
	14. During interior construction, locate noise-			
	generating equipment within the building to break			
	the line-of-sight to the adjoining receptors.			
	15. The contractor shall prepare a detailed construction			
	schedule for major noise-generating construction			
	activities. The construction plan shall identify a			
	procedure for coordination with adjacent			
	residential land uses so that construction activities			
	can be scheduled to minimize noise disturbance.			
	16. Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would			
	be responsible for responding to any complaints			
	about construction noise. The disturbance			

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	coordinator would determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., bad muffler, etc.) and would require that reasonable measures be implemented to correct the problem. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include in it the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.			
Impact NOI-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable noise or vibration impacts with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1 and MM NOI-4.1 above	е		
Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	TRANSPORTATOIN/T	RAFFIC		
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRN-1.1: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040 Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.  MM TRN-1.3: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate improvement identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	Public Works Director

# **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.			
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.	3 above		
Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant	See mitigation measure MM TRN-1.2 above  MM TRN-C.1: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck  Avenue/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share contribution towards restriping the northbound and	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Public Works Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
transportation impact with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."	MM TRN-1.1, and MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN- C.7.	Documentation of fair share contributions.	
	MM TRN-C.2: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards adding an eastbound right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between the number two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.			
	MM TRN-C.3: Intersections 29: Mathilda Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution to the City's planned improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike			

### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue, including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: Intersection 38: Washington Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards converting the intersection to an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			
	MM TRN-C.6: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the City's TIF Program, specifically towards the identified improvement of adding a northbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto eastbound Remington Drive. In addition, the project shall pay a fair-share contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound right-turn lane. <sup>5</sup>			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> With the additional northbound right-turn lane, the intersection would improve from unacceptable LOS F to acceptable LOS E during the AM peak hour but would remain an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the results presented in the TIF Nexus Study. A dedicated southbound right-turn lane would be needed to fully mitigate the impact. However, there are right-of-way constraints that limit the physical feasibility of the dedicated

### TOWN CENTER SUB-BLOCK 6 SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

#### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM TRN-C.7: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.			
	MM TRN-C.8: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the onstreet parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about 200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane Avenue. The City, when implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			

southbound right-turn lane. An additional southbound right-turn lane would require an additional 11 feet of right-of-way from existing properties along the west side of Mathilda Avenue.

### MURPHY SQUARE SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT

### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	AIR QUALITY			
Impact AQ-2: The project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	<ul> <li>MM AQ-2.2: The development project shall implement the below BAAQMD-recommended measures to control dust, particulate matter, and diesel exhaust emissions during construction. This list of BAAQMD measures shall be incorporated into the approved building plan set.</li> <li>1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.</li> <li>2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.</li> <li>3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.</li> <li>4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).</li> <li>5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.</li> </ul>	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing these measures.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.</li> <li>All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.</li> <li>Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the City regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours.         BAAQMD's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.     </li> <li>All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be verified by lab samples or moisture probe.</li> <li>All excavation, grading, and/or demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph and visible dust extends beyond site boundaries.</li> </ol>			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	<ol> <li>Wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) shall be installed on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction adjacent to sensitive receptors. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity.</li> <li>Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.</li> <li>The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time.</li> <li>Avoid tracking of visible soil material on to public roadways by employing the following measures if necessary: (1) treat site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from public paved roads with a six to 12-inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel; (2) wash truck tires and construction equipment of prior to leaving the site, or (3) other methods to reduce the deposition of soil material on public roadways.</li> <li>Sandbags or other erosion control measures shall be installed to prevent silt runoff to public roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent.</li> </ol>			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	16. Minimizing the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes.			
	MM AQ-2.3: Prior to construction activities, the project applicant(s) shall develop a plan demonstrating that the offroad equipment (more than 25 horsepower) to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles) would achieve a project wide fleet-average 46 percent NO <sub>x</sub> reduction. The Murphy Square site shall demonstrate an overall 90 percent particulate matter exhaust reduction compared to modeling results in Appendix C of the EIR. Acceptable options for reducing emissions include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, add-on devices such as particulate filters, and/or other options as such become available. The following feasible methods shall be used unless an alternative plan that achieves this requirement is submitted and approved by the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of the building permit and shall be included in the approved plan set:	Prior to issuance of grading and demolition permits, applicant is responsible for developing a plan for off-road equipment as specified in mitigation measure MM AQ-2.3, and submitting the plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the plans.	All mitigation measures identified in the off-road equipment plans shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director
	1. All construction equipment larger than 25 horsepower used at the site for more than two continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet EPA Tier 4 emission standards for NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter, if feasible, otherwise,	During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	a. All construction equipment larger than 25			
	horsepower used at the site for more than	in the plans.		
	two continuous days or 20 hours total			
	shall meet EPA emission standards for			
	Tier 3 engines and include particulate			
	matter emissions control equivalent to			
	CARB Level 3 verifiable diesel emission			
	control devices that altogether achieve an			
	85 percent reduction in particulate matter			
	exhaust; alternatively (or in combination)			
	or			
	b. Use of alternatively-fueled equipment			
	with lower NO <sub>x</sub> emissions that meet the			
	NO <sub>x</sub> and particulate matter reduction			
	requirements above.			
	c. For special exceptions, a waiver to use			
	other equipment for specialized purposes			
	would have to be obtained from the City			
	after review of evidence that use of such			
	equipment meeting the above mitigation			
	requirements is not feasible.			
	2. Diesel engines, whether for off-road equipment or			
	on-road vehicles, shall not idle for more than two			
	minutes, except as provided in exceptions to the			
	applicable state regulations (e.g., traffic			
	conditions, safe operating conditions). The			
	construction sites shall have posted legible and			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	visible signs in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to clearly notify operators of idling limit.  3. All on-road heavy duty diesel trucks with a gross vehicle weight rating of 33,000 pounds or greater (EMission FACtors [EMFAC] Category heavyduty diesel truck [HDDT]) used at the six project sites (such as haul trucks, water trucks, dump trucks, and concrete trucks) shall be model year 2010 or newer.  4. Provide line power to the sites during the early phases of construction (demolition, site preparation, grading/excavation, and trenching) to minimize the use of diesel-powered stationary equipment, such as generators.			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM AQ-2.4: Approval of a TDM Plan to reduced operational NO <sub>x</sub> emissions consistent with City requirements. This Plan shall demonstrate a minimum six percent overall reduction in vehicle trips and shall be approved by the Public Works Director or designee. For buildings with an identified tenant, the project applicant(s) shall submit to the City, and the City approve, a TDM plan prior to issuance of building permits. For buildings without an identified tenant, the project applicant shall submit, and the City approve, the TDM Plan prior to the building occupancy. Potential measures in the TDM plan can include, but are not limited to, the following:  1. Unbundled parking 2. VTA SmartPass (formerly Eco Pass) for residents 3. On-site bicycle repair station 4. A bike share program 5. An on-site TDM coordinator that would provide rideshare matching services and coordinate walking/biking groups for residents 6. An on-site transportation kiosk that would provide information to residents and visitors about multimodel wayfinding and transit information 7. Caltrain Go Pass	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for preparing and submitting a TDM plan to the City. The City is responsible for reviewing and approving the plan.  After issuance of occupancy permit, applicant is responsible for ensuring the implementation of the TDM plan and submitting TDM Status Update Forms to the City.	City approved TDM plan.  The TDM Status Update Forms.	Public Works Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-3: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants (ROG, NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and/or PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 at	oove		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact AQ-C: The project would not cumulatively contribute to a cumulative significant air quality impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		
Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	BIOLOGICAL RESO	URCES		
Impact BIO-1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with	MM BIO-1.1: When possible, construction shall be scheduled to avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible. The nesting season for most birds, including most raptors, in the San Francisco Bay area extends from February 1 through August 31.  If it is not possible to schedule construction and tree removal between September and January, then preconstruction surveys for nesting birds shall be completed by a qualified ornithologist to ensure that no nests shall be disturbed during project implementation. This survey shall be completed no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of	Applicant is responsible for ensuring construction activities avoid the nesting season to the extent feasible.  Applicant is responsible for ensuring preconstruction surveys	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Mitigation Incorporated	grading, tree removal, or other demolition or construction activities during the early part of the breeding season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of these activities during the late part of the breeding season (May through August).  During this survey, the ornithologist shall inspect all trees and other possible nesting habitats within and immediately adjacent to the construction area for nests. If an active nest is found sufficiently close to work areas to be disturbed by construction, the ornithologist, in consultation with CDFW, shall determine the extent of a construction-free buffer zone to be established around the nest to ensure that nests of bird species protected by the MBTA or Fish and Game code shall not be disturbed during project construction.  A final report of nesting birds, including any protection measures, shall be submitted to the Director of Community Development prior to the start of grading or tree removal.	are completed (as described in mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1) if construction and tree removal occur between September and January. Any construction buffer zone must be implemented and maintained during construction activities.  Prior to the start of grading or tree removal, applicant is responsible for submitting a final report of nesting birds to the City.		
Impact BIO-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a	See mitigation measure MM BIO-1.1 above	1		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
significant cumulative biological resources impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	CULTURAL RESOU	RCES		
Impact CR-2: The project would not significantly impact archaeological resources, human remains, or tribal cultural resources with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	MM CR-2.1: Mechanical presence/absence exploration for Native American resources shall be completed prior to development related ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts. This work shall be conducted by an archaeologist who is trained in both local prehistoric and historical archaeology. Exploring for specific historicera features shall consist of creating shallow wide trenches down to the historic surface based on areas identified from historic-era maps. If any archaeological resources or human remains are exposed, these shall be briefly documented, tarped for protection, and left in place. Deeper trenches should be placed beyond the areas considered sensitive for historical resources.	Prior to ground-disturbance or in conjunction with any remediation efforts, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archeologist complete mechanical presence/absence exploration as described in mitigation measure MM CR-2.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	If archaeological deposits or features that appear potentially eligible to the CRHR are identified during exploration, an archaeological research design and work plan shall be prepared. The plan shall be designed to facilitate archaeological excavation and evaluate any cultural resources discovered to the CRHR to assess if any are historic properties.			
	The project applicant shall notify the City of Sunnyvale Community Development Director who shall notify the applicable Native American tribal representatives if any Native American resources are identified during presence/absence exploration.			
	MM CR-2.2: Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the project applicant shall have a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative provide appropriate cultural sensitivity training to all contractors and employees involved in the trenching and excavation.	Prior to ground-disturbing activities, applicant is responsible for having a qualified archaeologist or qualified Native American tribal representative and all contractors implement mitigation measure	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM CR-2.3: In the event that human remains are discovered during excavation and/or grading of the site, all activity within a 50-foot radius of the find shall be stopped. The Santa Clara County Coroner shall be notified and shall make a determination as to whether the remains are of Native American origin or whether an investigation into the cause of death is required. If the remains are determined to be Native American, the Coroner shall notify the NAHC immediately. Once NAHC identifies the most likely descendants, the descendants will make recommendations regarding proper burial, which will be implemented in accordance with Section 15064.5(e) of the CEQA Guidelines.	If human remains are found, applicant and their contractor are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM CR-2.3 at the time of discovery.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact CR-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative cultural resources impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM CR-2.1 through MM CR-2.3 ab	oove		
	ENERGY			
Impact EN-1: The project would not result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy, or wasteful use of energy resources,	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	oove		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
during project construction or operation with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-2: The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact EN-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2, MM AQ-2.3, and	d MM AQ-2.4 above		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

State Creatinghouse #2010032020				
Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
significant energy impact with mitigation incorporated.				
Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	GREENHOUSE	GAS		
Impact GHG-1: The project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 and MM AQ-2.3 about the second	ove		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact GHG-2: The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measure MM AQ-2.4 above			
Impact GHG-C: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a GHG emissions impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM AQ-2.2 through MM AQ-2.4 al	bove		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation		
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS					
Impact HAZ-1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through routine transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable upset of hazardous materials with mitigation incorporated.	MM HAZ-1.2: A SMP and Health Safety Plan (HSP) shall be prepared and implemented for construction-related earthwork activities under the proposed project. The purpose of the SMP and HSP is to establish appropriate management practices for handling impacted soil, soil vapor, and groundwater or other materials that may potentially be encountered during construction activities. The SMP shall provide the protocols for accepting imported fill materials and protocols for sampling of in-place soil to facilitate profiling of the soil for appropriate off-site disposal or reuse.  To evaluate potential impacts associated with prior on-site structures, the soil profiling shall include (but not be limited to) the collection of shallow soil samples (upper one-foot) and analyses for lead and organochlorine pesticides.  If there are no contaminants identified on the Murphy Square that exceed applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, its SMP does not need to be submitted to an oversight agency and only submitted to the City prior to construction earthwork activities. If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels at the Murphy Square site, the	Prior to construction activities, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.2 and prepare a SMP and HSP.  During construction, applicant and their contractors are responsible for implementing the approved SMP and HSP.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Project-specific SMPs (approved by the RWQCB or similar oversight agency) and HSPs.	Community Development Director; RWQCB (or similar oversight agency)		

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	SMP and planned remedial measures shall be reviewed and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH), and the HSP and approved SMP shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.			
	MM HAZ-1.5: Soil, soil vapor, and groundwater sampling shall be completed prior to construction earthwork activities to evaluate the extent of impact from up-gradient VOC releases at Town Center Sub-block 6. Groundwater shall also be analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons due to the reported former presence of up-gradient gasoline service stations.  The evaluation of soil quality at the Murphy Square parcel shall include an evaluation of shallow soil (upper one-foot) for contaminants commonly found along rail lines, such as metals, petroleum hydrocarbons, PAHs, PCBs and pesticides. Sampling of shallow soil on the parcel also shall include testing for constituents within the fungicides and insecticides reported to have been stored by Del Monte Corporation if they are typically considered to be persistent within the environment.	Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing mitigation measure MM HAZ-1.5.  During construction, the applicant and its contractors are responsible for implementing necessary mitigation measures approved by RWQCB (or similar oversight agency).	All mitigation measures required shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of final site approval for the proposed land uses by the RWQCB.	Community Development Director, RWQCB

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	All soil, soil vapor, and groundwater sampling and laboratory analyses shall be conducted in accordance with commonly accepted environmental protocols.  If contaminants are identified at concentrations exceeding applicable screening levels published by the RWQCB, DTSC and/or EPA, appropriate mitigation measures shall be incorporated into the proposed development and approved by an appropriate regulatory agency (i.e., RWQCB, DTSC or DEH). Approval that the site is suitable for the proposed land uses and development with the implementation of the mitigation measures shall be issued by the overseeing regulatory agency and copied to the City prior to the issuance of a permit for grading and excavation.	Prior to construction, the applicant is responsible for obtaining final site approval from the RWQCB and providing the documentation to the City.		
Impact HAZ-4: The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and is located within two miles of a public airport. The project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in	MM HAZ-4.1: Prior to the issuance of a building permit for above ground construction, if proposed structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1 for the permanent structure prior to submittal for the temporary construction equipment (outlined in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2 below). A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for any above ground improvements. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued,	Prior to issuance of building permit, if structures exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the permanent structure, as detailed in	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
the project area with mitigation incorporated.	the conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.1.		
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
	MM HAZ-4.2: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, the project applicant shall submit an FAA Form 7460-1, "Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration" to the FAA at least 45 days (60 to 90 days recommended) prior to construction of the project, which shall specify the equipment type (e.g., crane) and duration to be used. An Aeronautical Study Number for the permanent structure shall be included in the submittal form. A "Determination of No Hazard" or "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" shall be obtained prior to permit issuance for above ground activities. If a "Determination of No Hazard with Conditions" is issued, all conditions shall be included on the approved plan set and implemented.	Prior to issuance of grading, demolition, and, building permits and at least 45 days prior to construction activities, if construction equipment has the potential to exceed the FAA Part 77 Surface, applicant is responsible for submitting an FAA form 7460-1 for the construction equipment as detailed in mitigation measure MM HAZ-4.2.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Federal Aviation Administration, Community Development Director.

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact HAZ-C: The project would not have a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative hazardous materials impact with mitigation incorporated.  Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM HAZ-1.2, MM HAZ-1.5, MM	HAZ-4.1, and MM HAZ	Z-4.2 above	
	NOISE AND VIBRA	TION		
Impact NOI-1: The project would not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or local general plan or	MM NOI-1.1: Prior to the issuance of building permits, a qualified acoustical consultant shall prepare a report documenting the projected mechanical and emergency generator noise and identify specific noise reduction measures necessary to reduce noise to comply with the City's 50 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> nighttime residential noise limit at the shared property lines. Noise reduction measures could include, but are not limited to, selection of equipment that emits low noise levels and/or installation of noise barriers such as enclosures and parapet walls to block the line of	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for retaining a qualified acoustical consultant to implement mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1.	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies with mitigation incorporated.	sight between the noise source and the nearest receptors. The specific equipment shall be included on the approved building permit plan set.			
Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated				
Impact NOI-4: The project would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable with Mitigation Incorporated	MM NOI-4.1: Future development shall prepare a noise control plan to be submitted for review and approval by the City prior to construction. The noise control plan shall be included in the approved building permit plan sets and address, at a minimum, the following:  1. Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds).  2. Impact tools (e.g., jackhammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools.	Prior to the construction, applicant are responsible for preparing noise control plans pursuant to mitigation measure MM NOI-4.1 and submitting the plans to the City for review and approval.  During construction, applicant and their contractors shall be responsible for the	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Community Development Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

3. Construct temporary noise barriers, where feasible as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.  4. Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.  5. Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.  6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.  7. Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility expressions and the project site for the latest of the project site.	Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
generators.  8. Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive receptors as possible.  9. During final grading, substitute graders for bulldozers where feasible as determined by the		as determined by the City, to screen stationary noise-generating equipment. Temporary noise barrier fences would provide a five dBA noise reduction if the noise barrier interrupts the line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor and if the barrier is constructed in a manner that eliminates any cracks or gaps.  4. Unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines shall be strictly prohibited.  5. Construction staging areas shall be established at locations that would create the greatest distance between the construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction. Locate material stockpiles, as well as maintenance/equipment staging and parking areas, as far as feasible as determined by the City, from residential receptors.  6. Control noise from construction workers' radios to a point where they are not audible at existing residences bordering the project site.  7. Where feasible as determined by the City, temporary power service from local utility companies shall be used instead of portable generators.  8. Locate cranes as far from adjoining noise-sensitive receptors as possible.  9. During final grading, substitute graders for			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	City. Wheeled heavy equipment are quieter than			
	track equipment and should be used where			
	feasible, as determined by the City.			
	10. Substitute nail guns for manual hammering, where			
	feasible as determined by the City.			
	11. Avoid the use of circular saws, miter/chop saws,			
	and radial arm saws near the adjoining noise-			
	sensitive receptors. Where feasible as determined			
	by the City, shield saws with a solid screen with			
	material having a minimum surface density of two			
	pounds per square feet (e.g., such as ¾-inch plywood).			
	12. Maintain smooth vehicle pathways for trucks and			
	equipment accessing the site, and avoid local			
	residential neighborhoods as much as possible.			
	13. During interior construction, the exterior windows			
	facing noise-sensitive receptors shall be closed.			
	14. During interior construction, locate noise-			
	generating equipment within the building to break			
	the line-of-sight to the adjoining receptors.			
	15. The contractor shall prepare a detailed construction			
	schedule for major noise-generating construction			
	activities. The construction plan shall identify a			
	procedure for coordination with adjacent			
	residential land uses so that construction activities			
	can be scheduled to minimize noise disturbance.			
	16. Designate a "disturbance coordinator" who would			
	be responsible for responding to any complaints			
	about construction noise. The disturbance			

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation	
	coordinator would determine the cause of the noise complaint (e.g., bad muffler, etc.) and would require that reasonable measures be implemented to correct the problem. Conspicuously post a telephone number for the disturbance coordinator at the construction site and include in it the notice sent to neighbors regarding the construction schedule.				
Impact NOI-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable noise or vibration impacts with mitigation incorporated.	See mitigation measure MM NOI-1.1 and MM NOI-4.1 abov	e			
Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated					
	TRANSPORTATOIN/TRAFFIC				
Impact TRN-1: The project would conflict with an applicable plan,	MM TRN-1.1: Prior to issuance of building permits, future development under the proposed project shall pay a fair-share payment contribution to VTA's VTP 2040	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all	Public Works Director	

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Improvement VTP ID H3: SR 237 Express Lanes (North First Street to Mathilda Avenue). This improvement would convert HOV lanes to express lanes on SR 237 between North First Street and Mathilda Avenue.  MM TRN-1.2: Intersection 55: De Anza Boulevard/Homestead Road (Cupertino) – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the addition of a third westbound left-turn lane. This improvement can be accommodated within the existing right-of-way with modifications to the median and lane widths.  MM TRN-1.3: Intersection 76: Lawrence Expressway/Homestead Road (VTA/Santa Clara County) – Santa Clara County's Expressway Plan 2040 Study identifies an interim (near-term) improvement that includes the addition of an eastbound through lane on Homestead Road. With this improvement, intersection operations would improve, but the intersection would continue to operate at LOS F under both background and background plus project conditions. The ultimate improvement identified by the County's Expressway Plan 2040 is to grade-separate the intersection. The County designates the grade separation as a Tier 1 improvement and the project shall pay a fair-share contribution to this improvement.	responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.3.	construction documents, contracts, and project plans.  Documentation of fair share contributions.	

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
Impact TRN-2: The project would conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	See mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1 through MM TRN-1.	3 above		
Impact TRN-C: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant transportation impact	See mitigation measure MM TRN-1.2 above  MM TRN-C.1: Intersection 19: Hollenbeck Avenue/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share contribution towards restriping the northbound and southbound approaches on Hollenbeck Avenue to provide	Prior to issuance of building permits, applicant is responsible for implementing mitigation measures MM TRN-1.1, and	All mitigation measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans.	Public Works Director

## **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
with mitigation incorporated.  Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	for a dedicated left-turn and a shared through/right-turn lane. This improvement would require parking restrictions on east side of the northbound approach and the west side of the southbound approach for between 75 and 125 feet to accommodate the striping of the dedicated left-turn lane. The signal phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches could remain "permitted."	MM TRN-C.1 through MM TRN- C.7.	Documentation of fair share contributions.	
	MM TRN-C.2: Intersection 20: Hollenbeck Avenue/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards adding an eastbound right-turn lane from Fremont Avenue onto southbound Hollenbeck Avenue is required. A dedicated right-turn lane, through lane, and a bike lane would require a minimum width of 25 feet. The available width between the number two through lane and the curb is about 19 feet. This mitigation measure would require removing the raised median on the eastbound approach to allow for adequate ROW.			
	MM TRN-C.3: Intersections 29: Mathilda Avenue/Washington Avenue and Intersection 30: Mathilda Avenue/McKinley Avenue – The project shall pay its fair- share payment contribution to the City's planned improvements along Mathilda Avenue of providing bike lanes between El Camino Real and Washington Avenue,			

#### Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	including ROW costs for both the northbound and southbound sections.			
	MM TRN-C.4: Intersection 33: Mathilda Avenue/El Camino Real – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution toward the installation of a third eastbound left-turn lane.			
	MM TRN-C.5: Intersection 38: Washington Avenue/Frances Street – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards converting the intersection to an all-way stop-controlled intersection.			
	MM TRN-C.6: Intersection 52: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Remington Drive – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards the City's TIF Program, specifically towards the identified improvement of adding a northbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto eastbound Remington Drive. In addition, the project shall pay a fair-share contribution for the installation of the separated eastbound right-turn lane. <sup>6</sup>			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> With the additional northbound right-turn lane, the intersection would improve from unacceptable LOS F to acceptable LOS E during the AM peak hour but would remain an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the results presented in the TIF Nexus Study. A dedicated southbound right-turn lane would be needed to fully mitigate the impact. However, there are right-of-way constraints that limit the physical feasibility of the dedicated

### **Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program**

Downtown Specific Plan Amendments and Specific Development Project

Sunnyvale Planning Project #2017-8047 (Specific Plan Amendment) and #2016-7438, #2017-7848, #2017-7872 (Development Applications)

State Clearinghouse #2018052020

Impact	Mitigation Measure(s)	Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation	Method of Compliance	Oversight of Implementation
	MM TRN-C.7: Intersection 53: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road/Fremont Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution to the addition of a dedicated southbound right-turn lane from Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road onto westbound Fremont Avenue. The additional southbound right-turn lane would require modifying the bus duckout and northwest corner at Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Fremont Avenue.			
	MM TRN-C.8: Intersection 60: Fair Oaks Avenue/Duane Avenue – The project shall pay its fair-share payment contribution towards providing a second westbound left-turn lane from Duane Avenue onto southbound Fair Oaks Avenue and restripe the intersection and remove the onstreet parking on the south side of Duane Avenue for about 200 feet from the intersection. This improvement requires modification to the traffic signal and relocation of the bus stop on the south side of Duane Avenue. The City, when implementing this improvement, shall coordinate with VTA to relocate the existing bus stop.			

#### Sources:

City of Sunnyvale. Downtown Specific Plan Amendments and Specific Development Project Draft Environmental Impact Report. November 2019. City of Sunnyvale. Downtown Specific Plan Amendments and Specific Development Project Final Environmental Impact Report. March 2020.

southbound right-turn lane. An additional southbound right-turn lane would require an additional 11 feet of right-of-way from existing properties along the west side of Mathilda Avenue.