

Sunnyvale Redistricting: Recommended District Maps

February 21, 2022, 6:34 PM

Contents

i.	Summary of responses	2
----	----------------------	---

Sunnyvale Redistricting: Recommended District Maps

Which is your preferred map?

Summary Of Responses

As of February 21, 2022, 6:34 PM, this forum had: **Topic Start**

Attendees:	217	February 11, 2022, 11:22 AM
Responses:	73	
Hours of Public Comment:	3.7	

QUESTION 1

Could you support this map? (101V2C)

		%	Count
Yes		79.5%	58
No		20.5%	15

QUESTION 2

Could you support this map? (102V7C)

		%	Count
Yes		65.7%	46
No		34.3%	24

QUESTION 3

Could you support this map? (104V2C)


		%	Count
Yes		62.5%	45
No		37.5%	27

Sunnyvale Redistricting: Recommended District Maps

Which is your preferred map?

QUESTION 4

Which map is your top choice?

		%	Count
Map 101 V2 C		31.5%	23
Map 102 V7 C		4.1%	3
Map 104 V2 C		12.3%	9
No Preference		30.1%	22
None		21.9%	16

QUESTION 5

Comment:

Answered	20
Skipped	53

QUESTION 6

What is your age category?

		%	Count
Under 29 years old		4.3%	3
30 to 49 years old		28.6%	20
50 to 69 years old		45.7%	32
70+ years old		21.4%	15

QUESTION 7

Sunnyvale Redistricting: Recommended District Maps

Which is your preferred map?

What is your family or household annual income?



QUESTION 8

What is your race/ethnicity?



QUESTION 9

What is your housing tenure?










Sunnyvale Redistricting: Recommended District Maps

Which is your preferred map?

QUESTION 10

What Council District do you live in?

		%	Count
District 1		24.7%	18
District 2		17.8%	13
District 3		19.2%	14
District 4		13.7%	10
District 5		9.6%	7
District 6		12.3%	9
I don't know		2.7%	2

QUESTION 5

Comment:

Answered

20

Skipped

53

I think district 6 is overly large.

I support any of the three.

I am happy with the allocations, but your site is NOT user friendly and I am extremely tech oriented. It never indicates that the survey was submitted.

It would be nice to know why other maps were eliminated

Population equality is supposed to be the top priority, but Map 101V2C doesn't get very close. Map 104V2C gets closer, and it does so without breaking up neighborhoods.

Residential areas of zone 6 (mostly between 237/101), need to be included in zone 5 as residential needs are very different.

The part of district 6 that is south of El Camino should be part of district 5. It has much more in common demographically, besides being separated by the major street of El Camino. The demographics - houses, populace, neighborhood type would all have more in common with district 5. But the division of the area by such a major street in itself should be enough to rule out that division.

Avoid carveouts that look like gerrymandering. Keep clean boundaries and what feels like the same neighborhoods together. For example, 102v7 & 104v2 each have a small extra notch out of it that I personally feel belong to D4 when I walk or drive those areas. Seems more like gerrymandering reasons than anything.

Do not create conflicts between the various population concentrations.

I'm in District 4 and like the way the boundaries mostly/completely align with SCUSD boundaries. I think it's important that our Council Person will be representing only us since we're not in the Sunnyvale Union School District or the Fremont Union High School District.

Its all gerrymandering.

At the start of this survey, the reason cited for moving Nimitz out of district 1 is to align on school boundries. How is moving to district 3 any better? Nimitz is assigned to CUSD for K-8, which is already consistent with most of District 1. For High School, the obvious discrepancy is that Nimitz is mapped to Fremont, while most of District 1 is mapped to Homestead. If the goal is to align our schools,redrawing the council district lines is not the solution.

The Nimitz area does not share similar concerns as the other side of Sunnyvale/Saratoga as much as our neighbors with along Hollenbeck corridor. This feels like political gerrymandering reminiscent of the epic redrawing of FUSD school boundaries along with the decision to close Sunnyvale High School in the 80's.

All maps are virtually the same, they look gerrymandered for one district. Keep Nimitz in D1. Why change so much from current maps?

Please allow us to vote on a minimal change map. All of these maps decrease the Asian CVAP in D1 by 3%, while increasing the Asian CVAP in D3, to preserve D3 as an "Asian Opportunity District", as stated by Commissioner Davis during the January 14th redistricting meeting. How do these changes benefit D1?

The maps offered are essentially the same, all D3-centric, appearing to support a narrative and fixed shape for that district. The D4 changes and attempt to move Nimitz from D1 are unnecessary, they do not benefit the affected neighborhoods. Nimitz should remain in D1. Adjusting the population imbalance in a straightforward manner has been demonstrated simply using minimal swaps between D2 and D3, the only districts out of balance in the 2020 census. These minimum adjustments would leave all other districts intact, result in more natural boundaries for D2, all north of El Camino Real, and better respect the major divisions of El Camino Real and Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road in districts D1, D2 and D3. Outside of a preferred minimum of changes, the Hollenbeck/Alberta Neighborhood would also be better represented on city council located in D1, which if possible, would effect a much deserved correction of the maps our population numbers were unable to support in 2019.

Nimitz should stay with D1

Nimitz area should stay in District 1 and not move to District 3

The 3 maps all include everything I have been asking for. Well done!

They all look the same