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## A. PRIORITIZED COLLISION DATA

Legend: Probability of Crash Type Exceeding Threshold Proportion ${ }^{1}$ : 90-100\% $\square$ 80-90\%

| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ | N 0 0 0 | W 0 0 0 0 0 | u 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{0} \\ & \hline i \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 잋 } \\ & \text { 잉 } \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 兴 | $\stackrel{\ddagger}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathilda Ave \& Maude Ave | 70 | 0.32 | - | - | 15 | 10 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 25 | 1 | 15 | 5 | - |
| Central Expwy \& Mary Ave | 69 | 0.25 | 1 | - | 18 | 6 | 31 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 29 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Wolfe Rd | 66 | 0.24 | - | 1 | 14 | 11 | 21 | 3 | 5 | - | 4 | 22 | 21 | 2 | 22 | 3 | - |
| El Camino Real \& S Mathilda Ave | 61 | 0.07 | - | - | 14 | 16 | 20 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 14 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 1 |
| Fremont Ave \& Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 54 | 0.16 | - | - | 7 | 8 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 11 | 5 | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& El Camino Real | 51 | 0.08 | - | - | 9 | 8 | 23 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 22 | - | 9 | 3 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Sunnyvale Ave | 50 | 0.17 | - | - | 6 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 4 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Fair Oaks Ave | 50 | 0.13 | - | 1 | 10 | 5 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 2 | - |
| Remington Dr \& Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 49 | 0.11 | - | - | 6 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 | - | 5 | 5 | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& Tasman Dr | 48 | 0.06 | - | - | 15 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 9 | - | - | 15 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Mary Ave | 48 | 0.05 | - | - | 6 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 14 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& 237 EB Ramp | 47 | -0.10 | - | - | 17 | 12 | 5 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 3 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Ross Dr | 46 | 0.14 | - | 2 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 11 | - | 12 | 4 | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& Kifer Rd | 43 | 0.05 | - | - | 10 | 8 | 20 | - | - | 1 | - | 8 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Hollenbeck Ave | 42 | 0.04 | - | 2 | 9 | 6 | 12 | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| Old San Francisco Rd \& Reed Ave | 42 | 0.23 | - | - | 8 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Henderson Ave | 39 | 0.11 | 1 | - | 8 | 3 | 17 | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 1 |
| Cezanne Dr \& El Camino Real | 39 | 0.20 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Almanor Ave/Ahwanee Ave | 36 | -0.17 | - | - | 7 | 9 | 10 | - | 3 | - | - | 9 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | - |
| Lakehaven Dr \& Sandia Ave | 35 | -0.03 | - | 1 | 8 | 8 | 12 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 16 | 1 | - |

[^0]| Intersection |  | N 0 0 0 0 0 0 | y 0 0 0 0 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \text { ס} \\ & \text { ס్ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \stackrel{ \pm}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { む }}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 잉 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 兰 | $\stackrel{せ}{\mathbf{N}}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont Ave \＆Wolfe Rd | 34 | 0.23 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 9 | － | － | 1 | － | 9 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 2 | － |
| Lawrence Expwy \＆Persian Dr | 34 | －0．03 | － | － | 2 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 1 | － | － | 10 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| Duane Ave \＆Oakmead Pkwy | 33 | －0．05 | － | － | 6 | 4 | 19 | 2 | － | － | 1 | 10 | 14 | － | 10 | 1 | － |
| Arques Ave \＆Wolfe Rd | 32 | 0.00 | － | 2 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 3 | － | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | － |
| Moffett Park Dr \＆Mathilda Ave | 31 | 0.02 | － | － | 11 | 4 | 12 | 1 | － | － | 2 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Mathilda Ave \＆WB SR 237 On／Off－ramps | 30 | －0．19 | － | － | 9 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | － | － | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 2 | － |
| Evelyn Ave \＆Mary Ave | 29 | 0.40 | － | － | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | － | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Arques Ave | 29 | 0.05 | － | － | 6 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | － | － | 6 | 12 | － | 3 | 2 | － |
| Duane Ave \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 28 | 0.04 | － | － | 2 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 16 | － | 5 | 2 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Old San Francisco Rd | 28 | 0.05 | － | － | 4 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 1 | － | － | 4 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 1 | － |
| Homestead Rd \＆Wolfe Rd | 28 | －0．04 | － | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Poplar Ave | 27 | －0．02 | － | － | 5 | 4 | 10 | － | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | － | 6 | 1 | － |
| Lawrence Expwy \＆Arques Ave | 27 | －0．14 | － | － | 4 | 8 | 10 | － | 2 | － | － | 7 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | － |
| California Ave \＆Mathilda Ave | 25 | －0．06 | － | － | 1 | 3 | 12 | － | 5 | － | 2 | 2 | 12 | － | 4 | 2 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Maria Ln | 25 | －0．04 | 1 | － | 3 | 2 | 7 | － | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Maude Ave | 25 | －0．02 | 1 | － | 8 | 4 | 8 | － | 3 | － | － | 7 | 8 | － | 8 | 3 | － |
| Heron Ave \＆Homestead Rd | 24 | 0.18 | － | － | 6 | 1 | 13 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | － | 5 | 2 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Olive Ave | 23 | 0.07 | － | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | － | 1 | 2 | － | 7 | 2 | － | 6 | 3 | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆San Aleso Ave | 23 | －0．11 | － | － | 3 | 7 | 9 | － | 2 | － | － | 5 | 8 | － | 5 | 2 | － |
| Bernardo Ave \＆Fremont Ave | 22 | 0.01 | － | － | 7 | 3 | 7 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Tasman Dr | 22 | 0.08 | － | － | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | － | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | － | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Indio Way | 22 | －0．09 | － | － | 1 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 4 | － | － | 5 | 11 | － | 4 | 2 | － |
| Kifer Rd \＆Wolfe Rd | 22 | －0．12 | － | 1 | 6 | － | 9 | － | 1 | － | 4 | 3 | 8 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Maria Ln \＆Wolfe Rd | 22 | 0.11 | － | － | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Washington Ave | 20 | －0．12 | － | － | － | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | － | 1 | 4 | 10 | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Grape Ave | 20 | －0．16 | － | － | 4 | 2 | 12 | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | 9 | － | 2 | 2 | － |
| Fremont Ave \＆Mary Ave | 20 | －0．03 | 1 | － | 3 | 3 | 8 | － | 1 | － | 3 | 4 | 6 | － | 7 | － | － |
| Caliente Dr \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 20 | －0．02 | － | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | － | 8 | 4 | － |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{0} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흫 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { v}}{\stackrel{y}{\infty}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{せ}{*}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ahwanee Av \& Fair Oaks Ave | 19 | -0.09 | - | - | 5 | 6 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Commercial St \& De Guigne Dr | 19 | 0.00 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Alberta Av \& Harwick Dr | 19 | -0.14 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Kifer Rd \& San Zeno Way | 19 | 0.14 | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Homestead Rd | 18 | -0.04 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 9 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Iowa Ave | 18 | -0.15 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Talisman Dr | 18 | -0.10 | - | - | 1 | 8 | 5 | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | 5 | - | 4 | 3 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 17 | -0.15 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Iris Ave \& South Wolfe Road | 17 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Bobwhite Ave \& Fremont Ave | 17 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& McKinley Ave | 17 | -0.13 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 8 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 17 | -0.13 | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Olive Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 16 | 0.02 | - | - | 7 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Vienna Dr \& Tasman Dr | 16 | 0.09 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | - | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Evelyn Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 16 | -0.13 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Murphy Ave | 16 | -0.18 | - | - | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Caribbean Dr \& Moffett Park Dr | 16 | 0.04 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 6 | - | 5 | 2 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Iris Ave | 15 | -0.08 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 5 | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Mathilda Ave \& Olive Ave | 15 | -0.18 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Fremont Ave \& Hollenbeck Ave | 15 | -0.12 | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Wright Ave | 15 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Central Expwy Ebon/R \& Wolfe Rd | 13 | -0.24 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Knickerbocker Dr \& Mary Ave | 13 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Central Expwy Wboff/R \& Wolfe Rd | 13 | -0.03 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Weddell Dr | 13 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Mathilda Ave | 13 | -0.07 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| California Ave \& Mary Ave | 13 | 0.07 | - | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| California Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 12 | 0.08 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 3 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  | y ज5 0 0 0 0 ज | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \frac{2}{z} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { v }}{\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}}$ |  |  |  | 兴 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd \& Connemara Way/Cheyenne Dr | 12 | -0.21 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Rt 85 Nboff/R | 12 | -0.25 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Remington Dr | 12 | -0.06 | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mc Kinley Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 11 | -0.03 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Reed Ave \& Timberpine Ave | 11 | -0.08 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 11 | -0.11 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Pastoria Ave \& Washington Ave | 11 | -0.04 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| California Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 11 | -0.20 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Danforth Dr \& Hollenbeck Ave | 10 | 0.05 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Mary Ave \& Maude Ave | 10 | -0.19 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Manet Dr \& Remington Dr | 10 | -0.15 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Marion Way \& Wolfe Rd | 10 | -0.20 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Rt 85 Sboff/R | 10 | -0.27 | - | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Washington Ave | 9 | -0.21 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Inverness Way \& Wolfe Rd | 9 | -0.24 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Stewart Dr \& Wolfe Rd | 9 | -0.23 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 9 | 0.00 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| Reed Ave/Monroe St \& Lawrence Expwy | 9 | -0.05 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 5 | - | - |
| Hendy Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 9 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 8 | -0.20 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Java Dr \& Lockheed Martin Way | 8 | -0.20 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Alberta Av \& Hollenbeck Ave | 8 | -0.18 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Danforth Dr | 8 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Central Expwy \& Oakmead Pkwy | 8 | -0.05 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Ave \& Washington Ave | 7 | -0.22 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Reed Ave | 7 | -0.19 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Charles St \& Evelyn Ave | 7 | -0.24 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Crossman Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 7 | -0.29 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \vdots \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \text { ज } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\%} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\vdots}{\vdots} \\ & \frac{1}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{0} \\ & \frac{10}{3} \\ & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{y}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 눙 } \\ & \text { 틀 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{せ}{\vdots}$ | 을 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mary Ave \& Remington Dr | 7 | -0.22 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Kifer Rd | 7 | -0.27 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Ticonderoga Dr | 7 | -0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Reed Ave \& Sequoia Dr | 7 | -0.23 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Lawrence Expwy | 7 | -0.30 | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Heatherstone Way | 6 | -0.18 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& The Dalles | 6 | -0.25 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Cascade Dr \& Mary Ave | 6 | -0.25 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Gail Ave \& Old San Francisco Rd | 6 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Aster Ave \& Evelyn Ave | 6 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 6 | -0.23 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Mary Ave | 6 | -0.27 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Amd PI \& Duane Ave | 6 | -0.22 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Homestead Rd | 6 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& EB SR 273 On-Ramp | 5 | 0.02 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Lakeside Dr \& Oakmead Pkwy | 5 | -0.28 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Belleville Way \& Fremont Ave | 5 | -0.30 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Enterprise Wy \& Manila Dr | 5 | -0.25 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Oakmead Pkwy \& Arques Ave | 5 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cascade Dr \& Hollenbeck Ave | 5 | -0.29 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Washington Ave | 5 | -0.26 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 4 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Persian Dr | 5 | -0.31 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Wright Ave | 5 | -0.22 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Fieldfair Ct \& Fremont Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Innovation Way \& Moffett Park Dr | 4 | -0.30 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Caribbean Dr \& Crossman Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Trinita Ave \& Arques Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Elko Dr \& Lawrence Station Rd | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 訁 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{y}{\stackrel{0}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \frac{10}{0} \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 늗 | $\stackrel{せ}{\vdots}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taaffe St \& Washington Ave | 4 | -0.24 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Innovation Way | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Fair Oaks Way | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Belleville Way \& Homestead Rd | 4 | -0.21 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Heatherstone Ave \& Mary Ave | 4 | -0.30 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| De Guigne Drive \& Duane Ave | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& 5th Ave | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Lakeside Dr \& Arques Ave | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Maude Ave | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Taaffe St | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Adobe Wells Mhp \& Reamwood Ave | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Frances St | 3 | -0.33 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Torrington Dr | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mango Ave \& Remington Dr | 3 | -0.29 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bordeaux Dr \& Java Dr | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Kennewick Dr | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |

Legend: Probability of Crash Type Exceeding Threshold Proportion ${ }^{1}$ : 90-100\% $\quad 80-90 \%$

| Intersection |  | N 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\%$ <br> $\%$ <br> $\%$ <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{5}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { y }}{\stackrel{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 윤 } \\ & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 늗 | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mary Ave \& Olive Ave | 26 | 0.84 | - | 1 | 16 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 4 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Sycamore Ter | 25 | 0.19 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 19 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 5 | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& 101 SB On Ramp | 24 | 0.00 | - | - | 9 | 2 | 9 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | - | 6 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Helen Ave | 23 | 0.13 | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 9 | - | 7 | - | - |
| Bayview Ave \& Maude Ave | 21 | 0.68 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Central Expwy \& Potrero Ave | 20 | 0.06 | - | - | - | 1 | 15 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Lawrence Expy \& Lakewood Dr | 19 | 0.07 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | - | 8 | 3 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Del Rey Ave | 18 | 0.05 | - | - | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - |
| Ayala Dr \& Bernardo Ave | 17 | 0.65 | - | 2 | - | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Blazingwood Dr \& Sandia Ave | 16 | 0.67 | - | - | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Taylor Ave | 15 | 0.13 | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Arcadia Ter \& Fair Oaks Ave | 14 | 0.11 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | 3 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Remington Dr | 14 | 0.08 | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | - | 6 | 1 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& US 101 SB Off-Ramp | 14 | 0.03 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Evelyn Ave \& Murphy Ave | 14 | 0.62 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Duane Ave \& San Luisito Way | 13 | 0.47 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Central Expwy \& Central Expwy Wbon/R | 12 | -0.06 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Columbia Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 12 | 0.08 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Grand Fir Ave \& Old San Francisco Rd | 12 | 0.25 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& Santa Elena Way | 12 | -0.01 | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - |

[^1]| Intersection |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \text { by } \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \text { iे } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}{\stackrel{1}{\oplus}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \underline{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 爰 | $\stackrel{せ}{*}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caribbean Dr \＆WB SR 273 On－ramp | 11 | 0.02 | － | － | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 |
| Bernardo Ave \＆Knickerbocker Dr | 11 | 0.18 | － | － | 9 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － |
| Bernardo Ave \＆lowa Ave | 11 | 0.27 | － | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | － | － | － | － | 6 | － | － | 5 | 2 | － |
| Homestead Rd \＆Ontario Dr | 10 | 0.06 | － | － | 4 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Eleanor Way \＆Fremont Ave | 10 | 0.06 | － | － | － | 2 | 4 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | － | 1 |
| Mathilda Ave \＆NB US 101 On－ramp | 10 | －0．07 | － | － | － | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | － | － | 2 | 5 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆NB US 101 Off－ramp | 10 | －0．07 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 6 | － | － | － | － | 3 | 6 | － | 4 | 2 | － |
| Lawrence Expwy \＆NB US 101 Off－ramp | 10 | －0．07 | － | － | 2 | 2 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 4 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Central Expwy \＆Mary Ave Off－ramp | 10 | －0．07 | － | － | － | － | 8 | － | 2 | － | － | 5 | 6 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Bernardo Ave \＆Mc Kinley Ave | 9 | 0.24 | － | － | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Knickerbocker Dr | 9 | 0.56 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 4 | － | 1 | － | － | 3 | 4 | － | 4 | － | － |
| Murphy Ave \＆Washington Ave | 9 | 0.44 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | 5 | － | － |
| Hollenbeck Ave \＆The Dalles | 9 | 0.11 | － | － | 1 | 3 | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Michelangelo Dr \＆Remington Dr | 9 | 0.07 | 1 | － | 4 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 5 | － | － |
| Fair Oaks Way \＆Persian Dr | 9 | 0.33 | － | － | 3 | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| Azure St \＆Remington Dr | 9 | 0.10 | － | － | 3 | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Duane Ave \＆San Rafael St | 9 | 0.17 | － | － | 4 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 4 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Arbor Ave \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 9 | 0.01 | － | － | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | 3 | － | 1 | 3 | 4 | － | 5 | 3 | － |
| Carroll St \＆Washington Ave | 8 | 0.36 | － | － | 4 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Mc Kinley Ave | 8 | 0.02 | － | － | 2 | 3 | 2 | － | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Carroll St \＆Evelyn Ave | 8 | 0.17 | － | － | 4 | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| California Ave \＆San Anselmo Way | 8 | 0.58 | － | － | 5 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Eden Ave \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 8 | －0．01 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | － | － | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | － |
| Persian Dr＠EB SR 273 Off－Ramp（to Fair Oaks Ave） | 8 | 0.03 | － | － | 4 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Crescent Ave \＆Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 8 | －0．05 | － | － | － | － | 7 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 7 | － | － | 2 | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Dunsmuir Ter | 8 | －0．04 | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | 4 | － | － | 2 | 5 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Evelyn Ave \＆Waverly St | 8 | 0.25 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | － | 3 | 4 | 1 | － | 3 | － | － |
| Henderson Ave \＆Valerian Way | 8 | 0.27 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{\$}{5} \\ & \frac{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { v }}{\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 兴 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\mathbf{o}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acalanes Dr \& Bernardo Ave | 7 | 0.17 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lakehaven Dr \& Meadowlake Dr | 7 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Weddell Dr | 7 | 0.10 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Cypress Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 7 | -0.03 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Grand Coulee Ave \& Hollenbeck Ave | 7 | 0.08 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| De Guigne Dr \& Santa Real Ave | 7 | 0.05 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Remington Dr \& Tilton Dr | 7 | 0.13 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Remington Dr \& Spinosa Dr | 7 | 0.11 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Sunset Ave \& Washington Ave | 7 | 0.17 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& San Juan Dr | 7 | 0.15 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Indio Way \& Soquel Way | 7 | 0.90 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Ahwanee Av \& San Aleso Ave | 7 | 0.20 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Central Expwy \& San Bernardino Way Off-ramp | 7 | -0.06 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Elizabeth Way \& Wolfe Rd | 7 | 0.00 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Dartshire Way \& Wolfe Rd | 7 | 0.00 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fairwood Ave \& Wildwood Ave | 7 | 0.90 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Fremont Ave \& Warner Ave | 7 | 0.01 | - | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& Middlefield Rd On-Ramp | 7 | -0.06 | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Carson Dr \& Mary Ave | 6 | 0.00 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Acalanes Dr \& Washington Ave | 6 | 0.49 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Carroll St \& Mc Kinley Ave | 6 | 0.49 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lakehaven Dr \& Silverlake Dr | 6 | 0.11 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave (NB) \& Evelyn Ave | 6 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Harvard Ave \& Hollenbeck Ave | 6 | 0.11 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Globalfoundries Dwy | 6 | -0.01 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Caliente Dr \& Johanna Ave | 6 | 0.72 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Mission College Blvd \& Sandia Ave | 6 | 0.28 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Valencia Ave | 6 | 0.02 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |


| Intersection | $y$ $\frac{y}{4}$ $\frac{0}{0}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{y}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{心}{心} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\%} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\%} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { む }}{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  | $\frac{y}{\vdots}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 눙 } \\ & \text { 틀 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{丷 ㅡ ㄴ ~}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\$}$ | \＃ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Rt 101 Nboff／R | 6 | －0．06 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 1 | － | 3 | 2 | － |
| Bernardo Ave \＆Olive Ave | 6 | 0.12 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Olive Ave \＆Pastoria Ave | 6 | 0.06 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Gary Ave \＆Primrose Ave | 6 | －0．03 | － | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Blair Ave \＆Mary Ave | 6 | 0.04 | － | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Taaffe St | 6 | －0．07 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Eleanor Way \＆Wolfe Rd | 6 | －0．03 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Angel Ave \＆Beemer Ave | 6 | 0.72 | － | － | － | － | 6 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | － |
| Lily Ave \＆Timberpine Ave | 6 | 0.07 | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | － | － | 5 | 1 | － | 5 | － | － |
| Rt 273 Auxiliary Rd \＆Rt 273 Ebon／R | 6 | 0.00 | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Glendale Ave \＆Morse Ave | 5 | 0.08 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Bernal Ave \＆Caliente Dr | 5 | 0.54 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Maude Ave \＆Murphy Ave | 5 | 0.02 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Henderson Ave \＆Rockrose Ave | 5 | 0.08 | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Taylor Ave \＆Wolfe Rd | 5 | －0．07 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | 3 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Persian Dr \＆Plaza Dr | 5 | 0.08 | － | － | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | － | － | 3 | － | － |
| Hollenbeck Ave \＆CVS／Orchard Dwy | 5 | －0．06 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Central Ave \＆Old San Francisco Rd | 5 | －0．02 | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Hollenbeck Ave \＆Sheraton Dr | 5 | 0.02 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Fall River Ter \＆Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 5 | 0.03 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Summerwinds Nursery Dwy | 5 | －0．09 | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | － |
| California Ave \＆Frances St | 5 | 0.18 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Bernardo Ave \＆Cortez Drive | 5 | 0.06 | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| Blair Ave \＆Grape Ave | 5 | 0.36 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 |
| Reed Ave \＆Bougainvillea Ter | 5 | －0．05 | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Maude Ave \＆Worley Ave | 5 | 0.02 | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － |
| America Ave \＆Arques Ave | 5 | 0.00 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Noriega Ave \＆Washington Ave | 5 | 0.08 | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 2 | 2 | 1 | － |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흫 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{\circ}}{\stackrel{1}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \frac{0}{\overline{0}} \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \frac{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 咅 | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{~}{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frances St \& Washington Ave | 5 | 0.18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Almanor Ave \& Mary Ave | 5 | 0.01 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - |
| All America Way \& Olive Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Blazingwood Dr \& Bridgewood Way | 5 | 0.36 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Yorktown Dr | 5 | 0.10 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Charles St \& lowa Ave | 5 | 0.06 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 5 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Golden Oak Dr \& Iris Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Pinto Palm Ter | 5 | -0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& San Bernardino Way | 5 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Foxtail Dr \& Iris Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Alberta Av \& Quebec Ct | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| All America Way \& Mathilda Ave | 5 | -0.07 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Lawrence Expwy/Caribbean Dr \& EB SR 273 On-Ramp | 5 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ahwanee Av \& Morse Ave | 4 | -0.02 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Blair Ave \& Blair Ct | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Aster Ave \& Willow Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Nelson Way | 4 | -0.08 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Poinciana Dr \& Tamarack Ln | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Blair Ave | 4 | 0.01 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bryan Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Valley Forge Dr \& Yorktown Dr | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Sydney Dr | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& Johanna Ave | 4 | -0.01 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Britton Ave \& Duane Ave | 4 | -0.01 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Henderson Ave \& Lily Ave | 4 | -0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Duane Ave | 4 | -0.02 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Sunset Ave | 4 | 0.01 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential2 | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $y$ 5 5 5 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 웅 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circlearrowright}{\stackrel{0}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \underline{\underline{\xi}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\star}{\mathbf{0}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont Ave \& Kingfisher Ter | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& Worley Ave | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Potrero Ave | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bend Dr \& Hollenbeck Ave | 4 | -0.04 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& Morse Ave | 4 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Blythe Ave \& Blythe Ct | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Morse Ave | 4 | 0.22 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Calla Dr \& Gail Ave | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Albatross Dr \& Bittern Dr | 4 | 0.35 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Morse Ave \& Persian Dr | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Inverness Way \& Longspur Ave | 4 | 0.15 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hazelton Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Ayala Dr \& Butano Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Garland Ave | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Marshall Ave | 4 | -0.04 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Remington Dr \& Apricot Pit Apts Dwy | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Kirkland Dr \& Ontario Dr | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Commercial St \& Central Expwy Ramps | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 4 | -0.09 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Bayview Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Belladonna Ct \& Grand Fir Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Morse Ave | 4 | -0.03 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Cezanne Dr \& Old San Francisco Rd | 4 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Palo Verde Way \& Wolfe Rd | 4 | -0.09 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Persian Dr \& Ross Dr | 4 | -0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Calle Dorita \& Calle Maria | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Henderson Ave \& Lupine Dr | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Stowell Ave | 4 | -0.03 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{\bar{y}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & 0 \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { by } \end{aligned}$ | y $\frac{5}{5}$ 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ๖. } \\ & \stackrel{7}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}{\frac{1}{\oplus}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 픛 }}{\stackrel{1}{\circ}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont Ave \& Lillian Ave | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Helen Ave \& Tamarack Ln | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Gainsborough Dr \& Manet Dr | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Picasso Dr | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Morse Ave \& Roosevelt Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Balsam Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 4 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| San Zeno Way \& Sonora Ct | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Mathilda PI | 4 | 0.01 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Ayala Dr \& Leota Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Lincoln Ave | 4 | -0.04 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Avenue-El Camino Oaks Dwy | 4 | -0.10 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Cumulus Ave \& Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 4 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Rt 101 Sboff/R \& Lawrence Expwy | 4 | -0.10 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Rt 273 Eboff/R \& Lawrence Expwy | 4 | -0.10 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Crescent Ave \& Manet Dr | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Acalanes Dr \& Cortez Drive | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Garner Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& La Bella Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Ithaca Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deodar Way \& Evelyn Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Charles St \& Washington Ave | 3 | -0.02 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Sunset Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| California Ave \& Murphy Ave | 3 | 0.03 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Moffett Park Dr | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bayview Ave \& California Ave | 3 | 0.03 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Eden Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 3 | -0.10 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Selo Dr | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Brookfield Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 흫 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{y}{\bar{\omega}}$ |  |  |  | 兴 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bittern Dr \& Harwick Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Caribbean Dr \&WB SR 273 Off-ramp | 3 | -0.11 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Bellomo Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 3 | -0.10 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Cobalt Way \& Central Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Aries Way \& Washington Ave | 3 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| California Ave \& Sobrante Way | 3 | 0.00 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Socorro Ave \& Wildwood Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Lillick Dr \& Thunderbird Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Ferndale Ave \& Morse Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hammerwood Ave \& Old Mountain View Alviso Rd | 3 | -0.07 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Persian Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Florence St \& Washington Ave | 3 | -0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Coolidge Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Booker Ave | 3 | -0.10 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Floyd Ave \& Fremont Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bayview Ave \& Evelyn Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Hendy Ave \& Kifer Rd | 3 | -0.11 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Sutter Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Reed Ave \& Willow Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Kern Ave \& Lawrence Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Dunholme Way \& Floyd Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Silicon Image Dwy | 3 | -0.09 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Alvarado Ave \& San Juan Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Cobalt Way \& Central Expwy On-ramp | 3 | -0.11 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Miramar Way \& Tamarack Ln | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Columbine Ave \& Timberpine Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bellomo Ave \& Maria Ln | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buena Vista Ave \& Private Mobile Home Rd | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{心} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\overline{0}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 末 } \\ & \text { ず } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{y}{\square}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 늖ㅇ | $\stackrel{\star}{*}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arques Ave \＆Murphy Ave | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － |
| Carroll St \＆lowa Ave | 3 | 0.08 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Leota Ave \＆Olive Ave | 3 | 0.22 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Amador Ave \＆San Ramon Ave | 3 | －0．06 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Larkspur Ave \＆Ponderosa Ave | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － |
| Miraloma Way \＆Lawrence Expwy On／Off－ramps | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Alberta Av \＆Norland Dr | 3 | －0．05 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Alberta Av \＆Richelieu PI | 3 | －0．05 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Central Avenue \＆Evelyn Ave | 3 | －0．08 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Rt 101 Sbon／R | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | － | 1 | － |
| Leota Ave \＆Mc Kinley Ave | 3 | 0.08 | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Frances St | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Inverness Way \＆Meadowlark Ln | 3 | －0．06 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Sequoia Dr \＆Shasta Fir Way | 3 | －0．05 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| California Ave \＆Commercial St | 3 | 0.08 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Frances St \＆Olson Wy | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Miraloma Way \＆San Vincente Way | 3 | －0．09 | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Bradford Dr \＆Ross Dr | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Wolfe Rd \＆Sunrise Ter | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Tasman Dr \＆L St | 3 | －0．08 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 3 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Alberta Av \＆Tenaka PI | 3 | －0．05 | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － |
| Bayview Ave \＆Duane Ave | 3 | －0．06 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Lakebird Dr \＆Silverlake Dr | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Carroll St \＆Old San Francisco Rd | 3 | －0．09 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Anchor Bay Ter \＆Sunnyvale Ave | 3 | －0．05 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 |
| Kiel Ct \＆Weddell Dr | 3 | －0．07 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | 2 | － | 1 |
| Arques Ave \＆Lastreto Ave | 3 | 0.17 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Begonia Way \＆Grand Fir Ave | 3 | 0.17 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ס } \\ & \text { ס} \\ & \text { 区్ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { м }}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 이 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \underline{\vdots} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 느̄ | $\stackrel{せ}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calle Dorita \& Calle Lupe | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Morse Ave \& Taylor Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Blazingwood Dr \& Prescott Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cascade Dr \& Selo Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Heron Ave \& Inverness Way | 3 | 0.04 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Knickerbocker Dr \& Persimmon Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Avenida Ricardo \& Calle Isabella | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Roosevelt Ave \& Taylor Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& San Angelo Ave | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| California Ave \& Pajaro Ave | 3 | 0.00 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Carl Rd | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arbor Ave \& Worley Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bellflower Ave \& Grand Fir Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lakebird Dr \& Palamos Ave |  | $-0.11$ | $1$ |  |  |  | $1$ | - | $2$ | - |  | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Samedra St | 3 | -0.04 |  | - |  | - | $1$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| The Dalles \& Wright Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Santa Elena Way \& Arques Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Candlewood Ave \& Candlewood Ct | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Duane Ct \& Santa Ynez St | 3 | 0.00 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| California Ave \& Oak Ct | 3 | 0.03 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Ave \& Taylor Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Apollo Way \& Cobalt Way | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 3Rd St \& 4Th St | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& EB Central Expwy Off-ramp | 3 | -0.10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Coronach Ave \& Helena Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Helena Dr \& Mary Ave | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Soquel Way \& Centra Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | -0.11 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 <br> $\frac{2}{3}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\vdots}{\square} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{9}{\text { en }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \frac{10}{\circ} \\ & \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 늗 | $\stackrel{\#}{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~L}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foxtail Dr \& Golden Oak Ct | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Roosevelt Ave | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& El Capitan Ter | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Reed Ave \& Reed Ter | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bremerton Dr \& Mary Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Miraloma Way \& Central Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | -0.10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Middlefield Rd | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - |

Table A3: Corridor Segment Types Prioritized by Total Number of Collisions (July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)
Legend: Probability of Crash Type Exceeding Threshold Proportion¹: 90-100\% 80-90\%

| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { IT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { y }}{\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 융 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 틍 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 듳 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Expressway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Expy | Wolfe Rd East Ramps | Wolfe Rd West Ramps | 51 | 0.54 | - | - | - | 3 | 48 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Central Expy | Arques Ave East Ramps | Arques Ave West Ramps | 13 | 0.52 | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 11 | - | - | 5 | - |
| Central Expy | Commercial St | San Vincente Way | 12 | 0.50 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Central Expy | Mary Ave Intersection | Mary Ave East Ramps | 8 | 0.61 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Central Expy | Commercial St | Wolfe Rd | 7 | 0.80 | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Lawrence Expy | Reed Ave | San Zeno Way | 5 | 0.55 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Central Expy | San Bernardino Way | Soquel Way | 5 | 0.67 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Lawrence Expy | SB Lawrence Expy to SB US 101 Ramp | NB US 101 to Lawrence Expy Ramp | 4 | 0.68 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Central Expy | Middlefield Rd | City Limit | 4 | 0.57 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Lawrence Expy | Kifer Rd | San Zeno Way | 3 | 0.59 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Lawrence Expy | Oakmead Pkwy | US 101 SB Off-Ramp | 3 | 0.60 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Central Expy | Middlefield Rd Off-Ramp | Middlefield Rd On-Ramp | 3 | 0.62 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Lawrence Expy | Persian Dr | SR 237 EB Ramps | 3 | 0.63 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Class I Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| El Camino Real | Maria Ln | Fair Oaks Ave | 21 | 0.41 | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 7 | - | 6 | 2 | - |
| El Camino Real | Pastoria Ave | Mary Ave | 20 | 0.42 | - | - | 6 | 4 | 6 | - | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Fremont Ave | Crescent Ave | 18 | 0.47 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Mathilda Ave | Pastoria Ave | 14 | 0.48 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Fair Oaks Ave | Cezanne Dr | 14 | 0.49 | - | - | - | 3 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Cezanne Dr | Sunnyvale Ave | 14 | 0.51 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 7 | 2 | - |

[^2]| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{8}{5} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{0}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { y }}{\stackrel{y}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\star}{3}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathilda Ave | Maude Ave | Indio Way | 12 | 0.50 | - | - | - | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Henderson Ave | Poplar Ave | 11 | 0.55 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Bernardo Ave | Grape Ave | 10 | 0.48 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Wolfe Rd | Maria Ln | 9 | 0.48 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave | Ahwanee Ave | US 101 SB Ramps | 9 | 0.47 | - | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Fremont Ave | Cheyenne Dr | 8 | 0.46 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Remington Dr | Crescent Ave | 8 | 0.53 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave | Dunsmuir Terrace | California Ave | 8 | 0.53 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Harwick Way | Homestead Rd | 7 | 0.46 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave | Ahwanee Ave | San Aleso Ave | 7 | 0.55 | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Mary Ave | Grape Ave | 6 | 0.46 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Wolfe Rd | Kifer Rd | Evelyn Ave | 5 | 0.50 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave | Maude Ave | Del Rey Ave | 4 | 0.53 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave | Innovation Way | Java Dr | 4 | 0.53 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Alberta Ave | Cheyenne Dr | 3 | 0.50 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave | El Camino Real | Danforth Dr | 3 | 0.57 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Knickerbocker Dr | City Limit | 3 | 0.51 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Class II Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wolfe Rd | Iris Ave | Reed Ave | 13 | 0.57 | - | - | - | 6 | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Evelyn Ave | Mary Ave | City Limit | 12 | 0.75 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Fremont Ave | Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Bobwhite Ave/Manet Dr | 11 | 0.65 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | El Camino Real | Fall River Ter | 8 | 0.92 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Wolfe Rd | El Camino Real | Maria Ln | 7 | 0.63 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Mary Ave | The Dalles Ave | Cascade Dr | 6 | 0.81 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Wolfe Rd | Gary Ave/Primrose Ave | Iris Ave | 6 | 0.60 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Evelyn Ave | Wolfe Rd | Fair Oaks Ave | 6 | 0.70 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |


| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 |  |  | y 0 0 0 0 8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \frac{2}{z} \\ & \frac{d}{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { 仓̀ }}{\stackrel{1}{\oplus}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흥 } \\ & \frac{1}{\circ} \\ & \text { 틀 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 养 | $\stackrel{せ}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair Oaks Ave | California Ave | Arques Ave | 6 | 0.66 | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Wolfe Rd | Homestead Rd | Inverness Way | 5 | 0.55 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Wolfe Rd | Inverness Way | Marion Way | 4 | 0.54 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Mary Ave | Fremont Ave | Bremerton Dr | 4 | 0.89 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | 2 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave | Reed Ave | Olive Ave | 4 | 0.68 | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 4 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | － | － |
| Mary Ave | Homestead Rd | Helena Dr | 3 | 0.79 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Wolfe Rd | Fremont Ave | Eleanor Way | 3 | 0.74 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Wolfe Rd | Eleanor Way | Elizabeth Way | 3 | 0.71 | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Wolfe Rd | Ponderosa Ave | Periwinkle Ter | 3 | 0.70 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Sunnyvale Ave | Old San Francisco Rd | Olive Ave | 3 | 0.79 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave | Garland Ter | Reed Ave | 3 | 0.71 | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 2 | － |
| Commercial／Industrial Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maude Ave | Pastoria Ave | Mathilda Ave | 10 | 0.54 | － | － | 7 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | － | － |
| Maude Ave | Sunnyvale Ave | Bayview Ave | 6 | 0.71 | － | － | 3 | － | 2 | － | 3 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Weddell Dr | Ross Dr | Borregas Ave | 6 | 0.45 | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | 1 | 5 | － | － | 5 | － | 2 | 2 | － | 1 |
| Almanor Ave | Vaqueros Ave | Mathilda Ave | 5 | 0.59 | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Maude Ave | Mathilda Ave | San Angelo Ave | 4 | 0.84 | － | － | 3 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Lawrence Station Rd | Elko Dr | Anvilwood Ave | 4 | 0.49 | － | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | 4 | － | － | 3 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Kifer Rd | Commercial St | San Zeno Way | 3 | 0.33 | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Kifer Rd | San Zeno Way | City Limit | 3 | 0.79 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Lakeside Dr | Oakmead Pkwy | Lakeway Dr | 3 | 0.44 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| Residential Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hollenbeck Ave | Homestead Rd | Grand Coulee Ave | 15 | 0.48 | － | － | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Bernardo Ave | Ayala Dr | Cortez Dr | 6 | 0.73 | － | － | 2 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 1 |
| Ahwanee Ave | Mathilda Ave | San Aleso Ave | 6 | 0.65 | － | － | － | 3 | 2 | － | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Bernardo Ave | Homestead Rd | Helena Dr | 4 | 0.96 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Henderson Ave | El Camino Real | Valerian Way | 4 | 0.84 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | 3 | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Duane Ave | Worley Ave | Fair Oaks Ave | 4 | 0.89 | － | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | 4 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － |


| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $\frac{y}{\frac{y}{y}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \frac{10}{4} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{y}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \frac{\underline{10}}{0} \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{\mathbf{U}}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 늧 | $\stackrel{\star}{3}$ | 은 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernardo Ave | Helena Ave | The Dalles | 3 | 1.07 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave | El Camino Real | Danforth Dr | 3 | 0.66 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ahwanee Ave | Fair Oaks Ave | San Junipero Dr | 3 | 0.60 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acalanes Dr | McKinley Ave | Bernardo Ave | 6 | 1.24 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| San Zeno Way | Sonora Ct | Kifer Rd | 5 | 1.43 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Wildwood Ave | Torrance Ave | Fairwood Ave | 5 | 1.26 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Rockefeller Dr | Mary Ave | Lime Dr | 4 | 1.43 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Middlefield Rd | Central Expy | City Limit | 4 | 2.10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Westside Ave | Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Freemont High School (dead end) | 3 | 1.98 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arbutus Ave | Azara PI/Firloch Ave | Azara Pl/Hawthorn Ave | 3 | 1.79 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Vaqueros Ave | Alamana Ave | Del Rey Ave | 3 | 1.32 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Innovation Way | Moffett Park Dr | Mathilda Ave | 3 | 1.13 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |

Legend：Probability of Crash Type Exceeding Threshold Proportion： $90-100 \%$ 80－90\％

| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\overleftarrow{E}} \end{aligned}$ | N 0 0 0 0 0 | y 0 0 0 0 0 | y y 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { き }}{\frac{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \underline{\underline{I}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 網 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evelyn Ave \＆Mary Ave | 29 | 0.4 | － | － | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | － | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Maude Ave | 70 | 0.32 | － | － | 15 | 10 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 25 | 1 | 15 | 5 | － |
| Central Expwy \＆Mary Ave | 69 | 0.25 | 1 | － | 18 | 6 | 31 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 29 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \＆Wolfe Rd | 66 | 0.24 | － | 1 | 14 | 11 | 21 | 3 | 5 | － | 4 | 22 | 21 | 2 | 22 | 3 | － |
| Old San Francisco Rd \＆Reed Ave | 42 | 0.23 | － | － | 8 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 4 | － | 1 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Fremont Ave \＆Wolfe Rd | 34 | 0.23 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 9 | － | － | 1 | － | 9 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 2 | － |
| Cezanne Dr \＆El Camino Real | 39 | 0.2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 2 | － |
| Heron Ave \＆Homestead Rd | 24 | 0.18 | － | － | 6 | 1 | 13 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | － | 5 | 2 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Sunnyvale Ave | 50 | 0.17 | － | － | 6 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 4 | － |
| Fremont Ave \＆Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 54 | 0.16 | － | － | 7 | 8 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 11 | 5 | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Ross Dr | 46 | 0.14 | － | 2 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 11 | － | 12 | 4 | － |
| Kifer Rd \＆San Zeno Way | 19 | 0.14 | － | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 50 | 0.13 | － | 1 | 10 | 5 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 2 | － |
| Remington Dr \＆Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 49 | 0.11 | － | － | 6 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 | － | 5 | 5 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Henderson Ave | 39 | 0.11 | 1 | － | 8 | 3 | 17 | － | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 1 |
| Maria Ln \＆Wolfe Rd | 22 | 0.11 | － | － | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| Vienna Dr \＆Tasman Dr | 16 | 0.09 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | － | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | － | 1 |
| Bernardo Ave \＆El Camino Real | 51 | 0.08 | － | － | 9 | 8 | 23 | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 22 | － | 9 | 3 | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Tasman Dr | 22 | 0.08 | － | － | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | － | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | － | － |
| California Ave \＆Sunnyvale Ave | 12 | 0.08 | － | － | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | － | 6 | 3 | － |

[^3]| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{\ddot{U}}{5} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{y}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \text { 잉 } \\ & \underline{\xi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 兴 | $\stackrel{せ}{*}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| El Camino Real \& S Mathilda Ave | 61 | 0.07 | - | - | 14 | 16 | 20 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 14 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Olive Ave | 23 | 0.07 | - | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 2 | - | 6 | 3 | - |
| California Ave \& Mary Ave | 13 | 0.07 | - | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& Tasman Dr | 48 | 0.06 | - | - | 15 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 9 | - | - | 15 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Mary Ave | 48 | 0.05 | - | - | 6 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 14 | 2 | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& Kifer Rd | 43 | 0.05 | - | - | 10 | 8 | 20 | - | - | 1 | - | 8 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Arques Ave | 29 | 0.05 | - | - | 6 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 12 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Old San Francisco Rd | 28 | 0.05 | - | - | 4 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 1 | - |
| Danforth Dr \& Hollenbeck Ave | 10 | 0.05 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Hollenbeck Ave | 42 | 0.04 | - | 2 | 9 | 6 | 12 | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| Duane Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 28 | 0.04 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 16 | - | 5 | 2 | - |
| Caribbean Dr \& Moffett Park Dr | 16 | 0.04 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 6 | - | 5 | 2 | - |
| Moffett Park Dr \& Mathilda Ave | 31 | 0.02 | - | - | 11 | 4 | 12 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Bobwhite Ave \& Fremont Ave | 17 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Olive Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 16 | 0.02 | - | - | 7 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Maude Ave \& EB SR 273 On-Ramp | 5 | 0.02 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Fremont Ave | 22 | 0.01 | - | - | 7 | 3 | 7 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Arques Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 32 | 0 | - | 2 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Commercial St \& De Guigne Dr | 19 | 0 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Arques Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 9 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Poplar Ave | 27 | -0.02 | - | - | 5 | 4 | 10 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | - | 6 | 1 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Maude Ave | 25 | -0.02 | 1 | - | 8 | 4 | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | 7 | 8 | - | 8 | 3 | - |
| Caliente Dr \& Fair Oaks Ave | 20 | -0.02 | - | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | - | 8 | 4 | - |
| Lakehaven Dr \& Sandia Ave | 35 | -0.03 | - | 1 | 8 | 8 | 12 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 16 | 1 | - |
| Lawrence Expwy \& Persian Dr | 34 | -0.03 | - | - | 2 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 10 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| Fremont Ave \& Mary Ave | 20 | -0.03 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 6 | - | 7 | - | - |
| Central Expwy Wboff/R \& Wolfe Rd | 13 | -0.03 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | 4 0 0 0 0 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{y}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underline{\xi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 느̃ | $\stackrel{\star}{\omega}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mc Kinley Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 11 | -0.03 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Wolfe Rd | 28 | -0.04 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Maria Ln | 25 | -0.04 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 7 | - | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Homestead Rd | 18 | -0.04 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 9 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| Pastoria Ave \& Washington Ave | 11 | -0.04 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& Oakmead Pkwy | 33 | -0.05 | - | - | 6 | 4 | 19 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 10 | 14 | - | 10 | 1 | - |
| Reed Ave/Monroe St \& Lawrence Expwy | 9 | -0.05 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 5 | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& Oakmead Pkwy | 8 | -0.05 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| California Ave \& Mathilda Ave | 25 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 12 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | 12 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Weddell Dr | 13 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Remington Dr | 12 | -0.06 | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Knickerbocker Dr \& Mary Ave | 13 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Evelyn Ave \& Mathilda Ave | 13 | -0.07 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Iris Ave \& South Wolfe Road | 17 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Iris Ave | 15 | -0.08 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 5 | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Reed Ave \& Timberpine Ave | 11 | -0.08 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Hendy Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 9 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Aster Ave \& Evelyn Ave | 6 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Indio Way | 22 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 11 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Ahwanee Av \& Fair Oaks Ave | 19 | -0.09 | - | - | 5 | 6 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Wright Ave | 15 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& 237 EB Ramp | 47 | -0.1 | - | - | 17 | 12 | 5 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 3 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Talisman Dr | 18 | -0.1 | - | - | 1 | 8 | 5 | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | 5 | - | 4 | 3 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& San Aleso Ave | 23 | -0.11 | - | - | 3 | 7 | 9 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 8 | - | 5 | 2 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 11 | -0.11 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Kifer Rd \& Wolfe Rd | 22 | -0.12 | - | 1 | 6 | - | 9 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 3 | 8 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Washington Ave | 20 | -0.12 | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 4 | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ | N 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 훘 } \\ & \text { ٪ } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { б } \\ & \text { ס} \\ & \text { ס̈ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{y}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \text { 잉 } \\ & \underline{\xi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 느̄ | $\stackrel{せ}{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont Ave \& Hollenbeck Ave | 15 | -0.12 | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& McKinley Ave | 17 | -0.13 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 8 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 17 | -0.13 | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 16 | -0.13 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Lawrence Expwy \& Arques Ave | 27 | -0.14 | - | - | 4 | 8 | 10 | - | 2 | - | - | 7 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - |
| Alberta Av \& Harwick Dr | 19 | -0.14 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& lowa Ave | 18 | -0.15 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 17 | -0.15 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Manet Dr \& Remington Dr | 10 | -0.15 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Grape Ave | 20 | -0.16 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 12 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 9 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Almanor Ave/Ahwanee Ave | 36 | -0.17 | - | - | 7 | 9 | 10 | - | 3 | - | - | 9 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | - |
| Mary Ave \& Ticonderoga Dr | 7 | -0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Murphy Ave | 16 | -0.18 | - | - | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Olive Ave | 15 | -0.18 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Alberta Av \& Hollenbeck Ave | 8 | -0.18 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Heatherstone Way | 6 | -0.18 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& WB SR 237 On/Off-ramps | 30 | -0.19 | - | - | 9 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Mary Ave \& Maude Ave | 10 | -0.19 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Reed Ave | 7 | -0.19 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| California Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 11 | -0.2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Marion Way \& Wolfe Rd | 10 | -0.2 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 8 | -0.2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Java Dr \& Lockheed Martin Way | 8 | -0.2 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd \& Connemara Way/Cheyenne Dr | 12 | -0.21 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Mary Ave \& Washington Ave | 9 | -0.21 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Belleville Way \& Homestead Rd | 4 | -0.21 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Ave \& Washington Ave | 7 | -0.22 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | 4 0 5 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c } \\ & \frac{10}{c} \\ & \frac{5}{d} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}{\stackrel{1}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 릉 } \\ & \text { ㅌ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \mathbf{U} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 늗 | $\stackrel{\star}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{1}{\circ}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mary Ave \& Remington Dr | 7 | -0.22 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Amd PI \& Duane Ave | 6 | -0.22 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Wright Ave | 5 | -0.22 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Stewart Dr \& Wolfe Rd | 9 | -0.23 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Reed Ave \& Sequoia Dr | 7 | -0.23 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Maude Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 6 | -0.23 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Central Expwy Ebon/R \& Wolfe Rd | 13 | -0.24 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Inverness Way \& Wolfe Rd | 9 | -0.24 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Charles St \& Evelyn Ave | 7 | -0.24 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Taaffe St \& Washington Ave | 4 | -0.24 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Rt 85 Nboff/R | 12 | -0.25 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - |
| Mary Ave \& The Dalles | 6 | -0.25 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Cascade Dr \& Mary Ave | 6 | -0.25 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Enterprise Wy \& Manila Dr | 5 | -0.25 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Danforth Dr | 8 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Gail Ave \& Old San Francisco Rd | 6 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Homestead Rd | 6 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Oakmead Pkwy \& Arques Ave | 5 | -0.26 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Washington Ave | 5 | -0.26 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 4 | 1 | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Rt 85 Sboff/R | 10 | -0.27 | - | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Kifer Rd | 7 | -0.27 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Mary Ave | 6 | -0.27 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Lakeside Dr \& Oakmead Pkwy | 5 | -0.28 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Crossman Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 7 | -0.29 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Cascade Dr \& Hollenbeck Ave | 5 | -0.29 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mango Ave \& Remington Dr | 3 | -0.29 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Lawrence Expwy | 7 | -0.3 | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ | N 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}{\frac{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 듫 | $\stackrel{\star}{ \pm}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\text { O }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belleville Way \& Fremont Ave | 5 | -0.3 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Innovation Way \& Moffett Park Dr | 4 | -0.3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Heatherstone Ave \& Mary Ave | 4 | -0.3 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Persian Dr | 5 | -0.31 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Elko Dr \& Lawrence Station Rd | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Innovation Way | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Fair Oaks Way | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| De Guigne Drive \& Duane Ave | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& 5th Ave | 4 | -0.31 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fieldfair Ct \& Fremont Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Caribbean Dr \& Crossman Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Trinita Ave \& Arques Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 4 | -0.32 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Frances St | 3 | -0.33 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Lakeside Dr \& Arques Ave | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Maude Ave | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Iowa Ave \& Taaffe St | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Adobe Wells Mhp \& Reamwood Ave | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Torrington Dr | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bordeaux Dr \& Java Dr | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Homestead Rd \& Kennewick Dr | 3 | -0.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |

Legend: Probability of Crash Type Exceeding Threshold Proportion ${ }^{1}$ : 90-100\% $\quad 80-90 \%$

| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{\ddot{U}}{0} \end{aligned}$ | N 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | y 흔 0 0 ज | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \frac{6}{\circ} \\ & \text { iे } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{5}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \frac{10}{0} \\ & \underline{E} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indio Way \& Soquel Way | 7 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Fairwood Ave \& Wildwood Ave | 7 | 0.9 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Mary Ave \& Olive Ave | 26 | 0.84 | - | 1 | 16 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 4 | - |
| Caliente Dr \& Johanna Ave | 6 | 0.72 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Angel Ave \& Beemer Ave | 6 | 0.72 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Bayview Ave \& Maude Ave | 21 | 0.68 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 8 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Blazingwood Dr \& Sandia Ave | 16 | 0.67 | - | - | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Ayala Dr \& Bernardo Ave | 17 | 0.65 | - | 2 | - | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Murphy Ave | 14 | 0.62 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| California Ave \& San Anselmo Way | 8 | 0.58 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Knickerbocker Dr | 9 | 0.56 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Bernal Ave \& Caliente Dr | 5 | 0.54 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Acalanes Dr \& Washington Ave | 6 | 0.49 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Carroll St \& Mc Kinley Ave | 6 | 0.49 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& San Luisito Way | 13 | 0.47 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Murphy Ave \& Washington Ave | 9 | 0.44 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Carroll St \& Washington Ave | 8 | 0.36 | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Blair Ave \& Grape Ave | 5 | 0.36 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Blazingwood Dr \& Bridgewood Way | 5 | 0.36 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Blair Ave \& Blair Ct | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |

[^4]| Intersection | $\frac{y}{\frac{y}{4}}$ | Local CCR Differentia\| ${ }^{2}$ | 4 5 5 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\vdots}{\vdots} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{0}}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \frac{10}{0} \\ & \underline{\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { 는 }}{\text { O}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { * }}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aster Ave \& Willow Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Valley Forge Dr \& Yorktown Dr | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Blythe Ave \& Blythe Ct | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Albatross Dr \& Bittern Dr | 4 | 0.35 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Ayala Dr \& Butano Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Kirkland Dr \& Ontario Dr | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Commercial St \& Central Expwy Ramps | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Bayview Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Belladonna Ct \& Grand Fir Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Calle Dorita \& Calle Maria | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Morse Ave \& Roosevelt Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - |
| San Zeno Way \& Sonora Ct | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Ayala Dr \& Leota Ave | 4 | 0.35 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Way \& Persian Dr | 9 | 0.33 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Mission College Blvd \& Sandia Ave | 6 | 0.28 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& lowa Ave | 11 | 0.27 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 5 | 2 | - |
| Henderson Ave \& Valerian Way | 8 | 0.27 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Grand Fir Ave \& Old San Francisco Rd | 12 | 0.25 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Waverly St | 8 | 0.25 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Mc Kinley Ave | 9 | 0.24 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Arques Ave \& Morse Ave | 4 | 0.22 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Leota Ave \& Olive Ave | 3 | 0.22 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Ahwanee Av \& San Aleso Ave | 7 | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| El Camino Real \& Sycamore Ter | 25 | 0.19 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 19 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 5 | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 11 | 0.18 | - | - | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - |
| California Ave \& Frances St | 5 | 0.18 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Frances St \& Washington Ave | 5 | 0.18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{\$}{5} \\ & \frac{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ón } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{2}{c} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oర } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { סָ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { N }}{\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}}$ |  |  |  | 爫 | $\frac{せ}{\mathbf{N}}$ | ¢ ¢ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duane Ave \& San Rafael St | 9 | 0.17 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Carroll St \& Evelyn Ave | 8 | 0.17 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Acalanes Dr \& Bernardo Ave | 7 | 0.17 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lakehaven Dr \& Meadowlake Dr | 7 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sunset Ave \& Washington Ave | 7 | 0.17 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Acalanes Dr \& Cortez Drive | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Garner Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Cobalt Way \& Central Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Socorro Ave \& Wildwood Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Kern Ave \& Lawrence Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Dunholme Way \& Floyd Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Alvarado Ave \& San Juan Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bellomo Ave \& Maria Ln | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buena Vista Ave \& Private Mobile Home Rd | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Murphy Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Larkspur Ave \& Ponderosa Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Miraloma Way \& Lawrence Expwy On/Off-ramps | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Frances St \& Olson Wy | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Bradford Dr \& Ross Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lakebird Dr \& Silverlake Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arques Ave \& Lastreto Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Begonia Way \& Grand Fir Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Calle Dorita \& Calle Lupe | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Blazingwood Dr \& Prescott Ave | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Avenida Ricardo \& Calle Isabella | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Carl Rd | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Apollo Way \& Cobalt Way | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  | Local CCR Differentia\|² | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { ig } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | y y 0 0 0 0 w | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\frac{2}{3}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흫 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}{\stackrel{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underline{\xi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { 늧 }}{\text { on }}$ | $\stackrel{せ}{*}$ | 을 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Rd St \& 4Th St | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Coronach Ave \& Helena Dr | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Foxtail Dr \& Golden Oak Ct | 3 | 0.17 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& San Juan Dr | 7 | 0.15 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Inverness Way \& Longspur Ave | 4 | 0.15 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Helen Ave | 23 | 0.13 | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 9 | - | 7 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Taylor Ave | 15 | 0.13 | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Remington Dr \& Tilton Dr | 7 | 0.13 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Olive Ave | 6 | 0.12 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Arcadia Ter \& Fair Oaks Ave | 14 | 0.11 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | 3 | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& The Dalles | 9 | 0.11 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Remington Dr \& Spinosa Dr | 7 | 0.11 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Lakehaven Dr \& Silverlake Dr | 6 | 0.11 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Harvard Ave \& Hollenbeck Ave | 6 | 0.11 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Azure St \& Remington Dr | 9 | 0.1 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Weddell Dr | 7 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Yorktown Dr | 5 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| El Camino Real \& Remington Dr | 14 | 0.08 | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | - | 6 | 1 | - |
| Columbia Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 12 | 0.08 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Grand Coulee Ave \& Hollenbeck Ave | 7 | 0.08 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Glendale Ave \& Morse Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Henderson Ave \& Rockrose Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Persian Dr \& Plaza Dr | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Noriega Ave \& Washington Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - |
| All America Way \& Olive Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Golden Oak Dr \& Iris Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Foxtail Dr \& Iris Ave | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{=} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differentia\|² | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ón } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { y }}{\stackrel{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 잉 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underline{\xi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 늧 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{せ}{せ}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alberta Av \& Quebec Ct | 5 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Lillick Dr \& Thunderbird Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Carroll St \& lowa Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Leota Ave \& Mc Kinley Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| California Ave \& Commercial St | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Morse Ave \& Taylor Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Roosevelt Ave \& Taylor Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arbor Ave \& Worley Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bellflower Ave \& Grand Fir Ave | 3 | 0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& Lakewood Dr | 19 | 0.07 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | - | 8 | 3 | - |
| Michelangelo Dr \& Remington Dr | 9 | 0.07 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - |
| Lily Ave \& Timberpine Ave | 6 | 0.07 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& Potrero Ave | 20 | 0.06 | - | - | - | 1 | 15 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Homestead Rd \& Ontario Dr | 10 | 0.06 | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Eleanor Way \& Fremont Ave | 10 | 0.06 | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 |
| Olive Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 6 | 0.06 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Cortez Drive | 5 | 0.06 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Charles St \& lowa Ave | 5 | 0.06 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& Del Rey Ave | 18 | 0.05 | - | - | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - |
| De Guigne Dr \& Santa Real Ave | 7 | 0.05 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blair Ave \& Mary Ave | 6 | 0.04 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Heron Ave \& Inverness Way | 3 | 0.04 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& US 101 SB Off-Ramp | 14 | 0.03 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Persian Dr @ EB SR 273 Off-Ramp (to Fair Oaks Ave) | 8 | 0.03 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fall River Ter \& Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 5 | 0.03 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| California Ave \& Murphy Ave | 3 | 0.03 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bayview Ave \& California Ave | 3 | 0.03 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{!}{4} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential2 | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{5}{4} \end{aligned}$ | y y 0 0 0 0 ज |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅎ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{y}{\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & \underline{\underline{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 壴 | $\stackrel{せ}{\mathbf{*}}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California Ave \& Oak Ct | 3 | 0.03 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Caribbean Dr \& WB SR 273 On-ramp | 11 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Mc Kinley Ave | 8 | 0.02 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Mary Ave \& Valencia Ave | 6 | 0.02 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Murphy Ave | 5 | 0.02 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Sheraton Dr | 5 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Worley Ave | 5 | 0.02 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 5 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Poinciana Dr \& Tamarack Ln | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Duane Ave \& Worley Ave | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Calla Dr \& Gail Ave | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Morse Ave \& Persian Dr | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Hazelton Ave \& Sunnyvale Ave | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Henderson Ave \& Lupine Dr | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Helen Ave \& Tamarack Ln | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Gainsborough Dr \& Manet Dr | 4 | 0.02 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arbor Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 9 | 0.01 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | - | 5 | 3 | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Warner Ave | 7 | 0.01 | - | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Almanor Ave \& Mary Ave | 5 | 0.01 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave \& Blair Ave | 4 | 0.01 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Sunset Ave | 4 | 0.01 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Evelyn Ave \& Mathilda PI | 4 | 0.01 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Lawrence Expy \& 101 SB On Ramp | 24 | 0 | - | - | 9 | 2 | 9 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | - | 6 | - | - |
| Elizabeth Way \& Wolfe Rd | 7 | 0 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Dartshire Way \& Wolfe Rd | 7 | 0 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Carson Dr \& Mary Ave | 6 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Rt 273 Auxiliary Rd \& Rt 273 Ebon/R | 6 | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |


| Intersection | $\frac{y}{\frac{y}{4}}$ | Local CCR Differentia｜² | Fatal Crashes |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \frac{0}{7} \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 末 } \\ & \text { ず } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{y}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 릉 } \\ & \text { ㄹ } \end{aligned}$ |  | Distracted | 듣 | $\stackrel{\star}{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| America Ave \＆Arques Ave | 5 | 0 | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Aries Way \＆Washington Ave | 3 | 0 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| California Ave \＆Sobrante Way | 3 | 0 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | － |
| California Ave \＆Pajaro Ave | 3 | 0 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Duane Ct \＆Santa Ynez St | 3 | 0 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Central Expwy \＆Santa Elena Way | 12 | －0．01 | － | － | － | 1 | 10 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 10 | － | － | － | － |
| Eden Ave \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 8 | －0．01 | － | － | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | － | － | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | － |
| Arques Ave \＆Globalfoundries Dwy | 6 | －0．01 | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Duane Ave \＆Johanna Ave | 4 | －0．01 | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Britton Ave \＆Duane Ave | 4 | －0．01 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Central Ave \＆Old San Francisco Rd | 5 | －0．02 | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Ahwanee Av \＆Morse Ave | 4 | －0．02 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Henderson Ave \＆Lily Ave | 4 | －0．02 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 3 | － | － |
| Borregas Ave \＆Duane Ave | 4 | －0．02 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | 1 | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| Persian Dr \＆Ross Dr | 4 | －0．02 | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Charles St \＆Washington Ave | 3 | －0．02 | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － |
| Florence St \＆Washington Ave | 3 | －0．02 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Cypress Ave \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 7 | －0．03 | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | 2 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | － |
| Gary Ave \＆Primrose Ave | 6 | －0．03 | － | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Eleanor Way \＆Wolfe Rd | 6 | －0．03 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Maude Ave \＆Morse Ave | 4 | －0．03 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 |
| Maude Ave \＆Stowell Ave | 4 | －0．03 | － | － | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Dunsmuir Ter | 8 | －0．04 | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | 4 | － | － | 2 | 5 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Bend Dr \＆Hollenbeck Ave | 4 | －0．04 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Evelyn Ave \＆Marshall Ave | 4 | －0．04 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 3 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Evelyn Ave \＆Lincoln Ave | 4 | －0．04 | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Homestead Rd \＆Samedra St | 3 | －0．04 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ón } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{2}{c} \\ & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む̀ } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { v}}{\stackrel{1}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 인 } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \underline{\underline{E}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 늗 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{せ}{\mathbf{N}}$ | ¢ ¢ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crescent Ave \& Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 8 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Reed Ave \& Bougainvillea Ter | 5 | -0.05 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Duane Ave \& Morse Ave | 4 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Cezanne Dr \& Old San Francisco Rd | 4 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Ithaca Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bittern Dr \& Harwick Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Ferndale Ave \& Morse Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Persian Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Coolidge Ave \& Pastoria Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miramar Way \& Tamarack Ln | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Columbine Ave \& Timberpine Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Alberta Av \& Norland Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Alberta Av \& Richelieu PI | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sequoia Dr \& Shasta Fir Way | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Alberta Av \& Tenaka PI | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Anchor Bay Ter \& Sunnyvale Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Cascade Dr \& Selo Dr | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Ave \& Taylor Ave | 3 | -0.05 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& Central Expwy Wbon/R | 12 | -0.06 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& San Bernardino Way Off-ramp | 7 | -0.06 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Central Expwy \& Middlefield Rd On-Ramp | 7 | -0.06 | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Rt 101 Nboff/R | 6 | -0.06 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& CVS/Orchard Dwy | 5 | -0.06 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Sydney Dr | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Kingfisher Ter | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Remington Dr \& Apricot Pit Apts Dwy | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Lillian Ave | 4 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - |


| Intersection | y <br> $\frac{0}{0}$ <br> $\frac{0}{0}$ | Local CCR Differential2 | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{y} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { y }}{\stackrel{0}{\omega}}$ |  |  |  | 網 | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iowa Ave \& Sunset Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Brookfield Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Amador Ave \& San Ramon Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Inverness Way \& Meadowlark Ln | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bayview Ave \& Duane Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Knickerbocker Dr \& Persimmon Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| The Dalles \& Wright Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Candlewood Ave \& Candlewood Ct | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Bremerton Dr \& Mary Ave | 3 | -0.06 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& NB US 101 On-ramp | 10 | -0.07 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave \& NB US 101 Off-ramp | 10 | -0.07 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 6 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| Lawrence Expwy \& NB US 101 Off-ramp | 10 | -0.07 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Central Expwy \& Mary Ave Off-ramp | 10 | -0.07 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Mathilda Ave (NB) \& Evelyn Ave | 6 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Taaffe St | 6 | -0.07 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Taylor Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 5 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| All America Way \& Mathilda Ave | 5 | -0.07 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Bryan Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Maude Ave \& Potrero Ave | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave \& Garland Ave | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Picasso Dr | 4 | -0.07 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Hammerwood Ave \& Old Mountain View Alviso Rd | 3 | -0.07 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Kiel Ct \& Weddell Dr | 3 | -0.07 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Hollenbeck Ave \& Knickerbocker Dr | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& San Angelo Ave | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Helena Dr \& Mary Ave | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Roosevelt Ave | 3 | -0.07 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential2 | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{y}{y} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \text { 후 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 <br> $\frac{0}{5}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{10}{4} \\ & \frac{6}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}{\stackrel{1}{\omega}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \mathbf{U} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 늗 }}{0}$ | $\stackrel{せ}{3}$ | 으은 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| El Camino Real \& Pinto Palm Ter | 5 | -0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Central Expwy \& San Bernardino Way | 5 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Nelson Way | 4 | -0.08 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Balsam Ave \& Fair Oaks Ave | 4 | -0.08 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Crescent Ave \& Manet Dr | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Deodar Way \& Evelyn Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Bayview Ave \& Evelyn Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Reed Ave \& Willow Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Central Avenue \& Evelyn Ave | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Tasman Dr \& L St | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Reed Ave \& Reed Ter | 3 | -0.08 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| El Camino Real \& Summerwinds Nursery Dwy | 5 | -0.09 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Lawrence Expwy/Caribbean Dr \& EB SR 273 On-Ramp | 5 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave \& Wolfe Rd | 4 | -0.09 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Palo Verde Way \& Wolfe Rd | 4 | -0.09 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Cumulus Ave \& Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | 4 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& La Bella Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Borregas Ave \& Moffett Park Dr | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fremont Ave \& Selo Dr | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Floyd Ave \& Fremont Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Sutter Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Arques Ave \& Silicon Image Dwy | 3 | -0.09 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Miraloma Way \& San Vincente Way Carroll St \& Old San Francisco Rd | 3 3 | $\begin{aligned} & -0.09 \\ & -0.09 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | - | - 1 |  |  |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Santa Elena Way \& Arques Ave | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Duane Ave \& El Capitan Ter | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Mary Ave \& Middlefield Rd | 3 | -0.09 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection | $\frac{y}{4}$ $\frac{0}{0}$ $\frac{0}{6}$ | N 0 0 0 | y 0 0 0 0 0.0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 弟 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { м }}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { "。 } \\ & \underline{\underline{\xi}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 壴 | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{3}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| El Camino Real \＆Avenue－El Camino Oaks Dwy | 4 | －0．1 | － | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － |
| Rt 101 Sboff／R \＆Lawrence Expwy | 4 | －0．1 | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － |
| Rt 273 Eboff／R \＆Lawrence Expwy | 4 | －0．1 | － | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Eden Ave \＆Fair Oaks Ave | 3 | －0．1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Bellomo Ave \＆Wolfe Rd | 3 | －0．1 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － |
| Mathilda Ave \＆Booker Ave | 3 | －0．1 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Mary Ave \＆EB Central Expwy Off－ramp | 3 | －0．1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Miraloma Way \＆Central Expwy On／Off－ramps | 3 | －0．1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Caribbean Dr \＆WB SR 273 Off－ramp | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － |
| Hendy Ave \＆Kifer Rd | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Cobalt Way \＆Central Expwy On－ramp | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － |
| Fair Oaks Ave \＆Rt 101 Sbon／R | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | － | 1 | － |
| El Camino Real \＆Frances St | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Wolfe Rd \＆Sunrise Ter | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Lakebird Dr \＆Palamos Ave | 3 | －0．11 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Soquel Way \＆Centra Expwy On／Off－ramps | 3 | －0．11 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － |

Table A6：Corridor Segment Types Prioritized by Critical Crash Rate Differential（July 1， 2013 －June 30，2018）
Legend：Probability of Crash Type Exceeding Threshold Proportion¹：90－100\％80－90\％

| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $y$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{0}{0}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \hline \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { پ̀ } \\ & \frac{ \pm}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { y }}{\text { M }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 는 } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅎ } \\ & \text { tu } \\ & \frac{0}{\hbar} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{.}{\frac{1}{⿺ ⿻}}$ | $\stackrel{\star}{3}$ | 을 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Expressway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Expy | Commercial St | Wolfe Rd | 7 | 0.8 | － | － | － | 1 | 6 | － | － | － | － | － | 6 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Lawrence Expy | SB Lawrence Expy to SB US 101 Ramp | NB US 101 to Lawrence Expy Ramp | 4 | 0.68 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Central Expy | San Bernardino Way | Soquel Way | 5 | 0.67 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 2 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Lawrence Expy | Persian Dr | SR 237 EB Ramps | 3 | 0.63 | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － |
| Central Expy | Middlefield Rd Off－Ramp | Middlefield Rd On－Ramp | 3 | 0.62 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － |
| Central Expy | Mary Ave Intersection | Mary Ave East Ramps | 8 | 0.61 | － | － | － | － | 8 | － | － | － | － | － | 7 | － | － | 1 | － |
| Lawrence Expy | Oakmead Pkwy | US 101 SB Off－Ramp | 3 | 0.6 | － | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | － |
| Lawrence Expy | Kifer Rd | San Zeno Way | 3 | 0.59 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | － | － |
| Central Expy | Middlefield Rd | City Limit | 4 | 0.57 | 1 | － | － | － | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | 3 | 1 | － |
| Lawrence Expy | Reed Ave | San Zeno Way | 5 | 0.55 | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | － | 1 |
| Central Expy | Wolfe Rd East Ramps | Wolfe Rd West Ramps | 51 | 0.54 | － | － | － | 3 | 48 | － | － | － | － | 2 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Central Expy | Arques Ave East Ramps | Arques Ave West Ramps | 13 | 0.52 | － | － | － | 1 | 8 | － | 4 | － | － | 1 | 11 | － | － | 5 | － |
| Central Expy | Commercial St | San Vincente Way | 12 | 0.5 | － | 1 | － | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | － | 1 | 3 | 6 | － | 3 | － | － |
| Class I Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathilda Ave | El Camino Real | Danforth Dr | 3 | 0.57 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Henderson Ave | Poplar Ave | 11 | 0.55 | 1 | － | 1 | 4 | 5 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 4 | 5 | － | 1 | 1 | － |
| Mathilda Ave | Ahwanee Ave | San Aleso Ave | 7 | 0.55 | － | － | － | 2 | 4 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 3 | － | 5 | 1 | － |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Remington Dr | Crescent Ave | 8 | 0.53 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 5 | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | － | 1 | 2 | － |
| Mathilda Ave | Dunsmuir Terrace | California Ave | 8 | 0.53 | － | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | 4 | － | 2 | － | － |
| Mathilda Ave | Maude Ave | Del Rey Ave | 4 | 0.53 | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 1 | － | － |

[^5]| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{\$}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\overline{0}} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흫 } \\ & \frac{1}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{y}{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 이 } \\ & \text { ㅎ } \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { ⿸ㅡㄴ }}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { さ }}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathilda Ave | Innovation Way | Java Dr | 4 | 0.53 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| El Camino Real | Cezanne Dr | Sunnyvale Ave | 14 | 0.51 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 7 | 2 | - |
| El Camino Real | Knickerbocker Dr | City Limit | 3 | 0.51 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mathilda Ave | Maude Ave | Indio Way | 12 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Wolfe Rd | Kifer Rd | Evelyn Ave | 5 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Alberta Ave | Cheyenne Dr | 3 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Fair Oaks Ave | Cezanne Dr | 14 | 0.49 | - | - | - | 3 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Mathilda Ave | Pastoria Ave | 14 | 0.48 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Bernardo Ave | Grape Ave | 10 | 0.48 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Wolfe Rd | Maria Ln | 9 | 0.48 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Fremont Ave | Crescent Ave | 18 | 0.47 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Mathilda Ave | Ahwanee Ave | US 101 SB Ramps | 9 | 0.47 | - | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Fremont Ave | Cheyenne Dr | 8 | 0.46 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Harwick Way | Homestead Rd | 7 | 0.46 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| El Camino Real | Mary Ave | Grape Ave | 6 | 0.46 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| El Camino Real | Pastoria Ave | Mary Ave | 20 | 0.42 | - | - | 6 | 4 | 6 | - | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| El Camino Real | Maria Ln | Fair Oaks Ave | 21 | 0.41 | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 7 | - | 6 | 2 | - |
| Class II Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | El Camino Real | Fall River Ter | 8 | 0.92 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Mary Ave | Fremont Ave | Bremerton Dr | 4 | 0.89 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Mary Ave | The Dalles Ave | Cascade Dr | 6 | 0.81 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Mary Ave | Homestead Rd | Helena Dr | 3 | 0.79 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Sunnyvale Ave | Old San Francisco Rd | Olive Ave | 3 | 0.79 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Evelyn Ave | Mary Ave | City Limit | 12 | 0.75 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Wolfe Rd | Fremont Ave | Eleanor Way | 3 | 0.74 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |


| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{80}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{\circ}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む̀ } \\ & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 을 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 늧 }}{6}$ | $\stackrel{せ}{3}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wolfe Rd | Eleanor Way | Elizabeth Way | 3 | 0.71 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave | Garland Ter | Reed Ave | 3 | 0.71 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Evelyn Ave | Wolfe Rd | Fair Oaks Ave | 6 | 0.7 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Wolfe Rd | Ponderosa Ave | Periwinkle Ter | 3 | 0.7 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave | Reed Ave | Olive Ave | 4 | 0.68 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| Fair Oaks Ave | California Ave | Arques Ave | 6 | 0.66 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Fremont Ave | Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Bobwhite Ave/Manet Dr | 11 | 0.65 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Wolfe Rd | El Camino Real | Maria Ln | 7 | 0.63 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Wolfe Rd | Gary Ave/Primrose Ave | Iris Ave | 6 | 0.6 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Wolfe Rd | Iris Ave | Reed Ave | 13 | 0.57 | - | - | - | 6 | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Wolfe Rd | Homestead Rd | Inverness Way | 5 | 0.55 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Wolfe Rd | Inverness Way | Marion Way | 4 | 0.54 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Commercial/Industrial Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maude Ave | Mathilda Ave | San Angelo Ave | 4 | 0.84 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Kifer Rd | San Zeno Way | City Limit | 3 | 0.79 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maude Ave | Sunnyvale Ave | Bayview Ave | 6 | 0.71 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Almanor Ave | Vaqueros Ave | Mathilda Ave | 5 | 0.59 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Maude Ave | Pastoria Ave | Mathilda Ave | 10 | 0.54 | - | - | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Lawrence Station Rd | Elko Dr | Anvilwood Ave | 4 | 0.49 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Weddell Dr | Ross Dr | Borregas Ave | 6 | 0.45 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Lakeside Dr | Oakmead Pkwy | Lakeway Dr | 3 | 0.44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Kifer Rd | Commercial St | San Zeno Way | 3 | 0.33 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Residential Collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bernardo Ave | Helena Ave | The Dalles | 3 | 1.07 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Bernardo Ave | Homestead Rd | Helena Dr | 4 | 0.96 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Duane Ave | Worley Ave | Fair Oaks Ave | 4 | 0.89 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| Henderson Ave | El Camino Real | Valerian Way | 4 | 0.84 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |


| Facility | Cross Street 1 | Cross Street 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ | Local CCR Differential² | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{10}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 末 } \\ & \frac{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{y}{\omega}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 틍 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 픈 | $\stackrel{\star}{3}$ | 은 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernardo Ave | Ayala Dr | Cortez Dr | 6 | 0.73 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Hollenbeck Ave | El Camino Real | Danforth Dr | 3 | 0.66 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ahwanee Ave | Mathilda Ave | San Aleso Ave | 6 | 0.65 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Ahwanee Ave | Fair Oaks Ave | San Junipero Dr | 3 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Hollenbeck Ave | Homestead Rd | Grand Coulee Ave | 15 | 0.48 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middlefield Rd | Central Expy | City Limit | 4 | 2.1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Westside Ave | Sunnyvale Saratoga Rd | Freemont High School (dead end) | 3 | 1.98 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Arbutus Ave | Azara PI/Firloch Ave | Azara Pl/Hawthorn Ave | 3 | 1.79 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| San Zeno Way | Sonora Ct | Kifer Rd | 5 | 1.43 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Rockefeller Dr | Mary Ave | Lime Dr | 4 | 1.43 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vaqueros Ave | Alamana Ave | Del Rey Ave | 3 | 1.32 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Wildwood Ave | Torrance Ave | Fairwood Ave | 5 | 1.26 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Acalanes Dr | McKinley Ave | Bernardo Ave | 6 | 1.24 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Innovation Way | Moffett Park Dr | Mathilda Ave | 3 | 1.13 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |

## B. COLLISION ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

## FehrłPeers

# Memorandum 

Date: July 6, 2020
To: Ralph Garcia; City of Sunnyvale
From: $\quad$ Steve Davis and Taylor Whitaker; Fehr \& Peers
Darryl DePencier, Ben Huie, and Devin Ciriaco; Kimley-Horn
Subject: Sunnyvale Roadway Safety Plan Collision Analysis
SJ18-1842

This memorandum describes the data collection, analysis techniques, and results for collisions analyses conducted for the Sunnyvale Roadway Safety Plan. The primary goal of this analysis is the identification of locations with elevated risk of collisions either through their collision histories or their similarities to other locations that have more active collision patterns.

## 1. Data Collection

Collision data for the five-year period spanning July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2018 - the five most recent years of data available at the time the project was undertaken - were collected from the City of Sunnyvale's Crossroads Collision Software (Crossroads). This system has access to the latest police reports, allowing validation of the City's data with Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS), which provides access to California crash data using the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) data for injury and fatal collisions. This helps to confirm that all relevant data is included.

Vehicular count data and roadway attributes (e.g. number of lanes, intersection traffic control, functional classification) for the Citywide roadway network was sourced through information provided by the City and outputs from the City's travel demand model. The citywide vehicular traffic volumes are visually represented in Figure 1. Traffic volumes from Caltrans freeways were excluded from this evaluation.

The collected data were spatially referenced and mapped in ArcGIS. Each collision was assigned to the nearest intersection within 250 feet, or the nearest roadway segment if no intersection was within range. A raw count of crashes was calculated for each intersection and roadway segment, and intersection collisions were separated by signalized and unsignalized locations.


$\square$
Sunnyvale City Limits Average Daily Traffic

|  | $\leq 5,000$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | $\leq 10,000$ |
|  | $\leq 30,000$ |
|  | Greater than 30,000 |

## 2. Collision History Analysis

### 2.1 Total Collisions

Approximately 5,811 collisions occurred within public right-of-way between July 1, 2013 and June 30,2018 according to reported data. The time periods have been re-classified into five discrete one-year periods for the purposes of this analysis:

- Year 1 - July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014
- Year 2 - July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015
- Year 3 - July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016
- Year 4 - July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017
- Year 5 - July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

Figure 2 displays all Citywide collision activity for the five-year study period using data processed through Crossroads. Figure $\mathbf{3}$ shows all collisions by type for each year and indicates that rearend collisions are consistently the most common collision type within the City, followed by broadside and sideswipe collisions.

Knowing the recorded causes of collisions can help identify safety factors systemwide that may contribute to collisions. Figure $\mathbf{4}$ provides a breakdown of causality for all recorded collisions. Of all the causes of collisions, approximately 62 percent are a result of unsafe speed, unsafe lane changing, unsafe starting/backing, following too closely, and improper turning.


Figure 2
Citywide Collision Locations
(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)

Figure 3: Citywide Collisions by Type (July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)


Collision types describe how a crash is reported by law enforcement based upon the parties who were involved and generally describes the manner in which contact was made between the involved parties.

Vehicle-Pedestrian collisions are any crash involving both a motor vehicle and a pedestrian.

Vehicle-Bicycle collisions are any collision involving both a motor vehicle and a bicyclist.

An Overturned collision is any type of crash that results in at least one vehicle rotating 90 degrees or more side-to-side or end-to-end (also known as a "rollover.")

A Head-on collision is between two vehicles where the primary point of contact was the front of both vehicles.

Hit Object collisions are between a vehicle and nonvehicular object in or near the roadway.

Sideswipe collisions are between vehicles, typically traveling the same direction, where the primary point of contact was the side of the vehicles.

A Rear-end collision is between two vehicles traveling in the same direction where the front of one vehicle contacts the rear of another.

Broadside collisions are between vehicles on conflicting paths where the front of one vehicle contacts the side of another.

Other collisions describe any reported collision that was not consistent with one of the primary collision types above.

Figure 4: Citywide Collision Causes (July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)


Collision causes describe the primary reason(s) for a crash reported by law enforcement based upon citations or violations of the California Vehicle Code (CVC).

Auto Right-of-Way (R/W) Violation refers to a driver infringing upon the right-of-way of another party in violation of CVC 21800-21809.

Improper Turning identifies a collision where a party made a left or right turn in violation of CVC 2210022113.

Unsafe Speed refers to a collision where a party is identified to be traveling at a speed exceeding that deemed reasonable or prudent for conditions in violation of CVC 22350.

Traffic Signals and Signs describes a party disobeying a traffic control device such as a traffic signal or roadside sign in violation of CVC 38280-38302.

Following Too Closely refers to a driver of a motor vehicle driving behind another vehicle at distance that is too short to be reasonable or prudent for conditions in violation of CVC 21703.

Driving Under Influence identifies a collision where a driver is found to have been operating a vehicle while impaired by a substance - typically alcohol - in violation of CVC 23152.

Unsafe Lane Change describes a collision where a party moves between two lanes or deviates course in a hazardous manner and/or without signaling appropriately in violation of CVC 22107.

Unsafe Starting or Backing refers to a driver unsafely beginning movement of a stopped vehicle or backing a vehicle onto a roadway in violation of CVC 22106.

Unknown/Other refers to a collision for which the primary cause was either not reported or was not consistent with any of the CVC violations described above.

Identifying the outcomes of the collision (the injuries or type of damage which occurred) is a key part of assessing the environment and safety factors around the site of the collision. Figure 5 displays the collision outcomes and severities for each year in the study period. Over the five-year period studied, there were a total of 24 fatal collisions and 65 collisions resulting in severe injury.

Figure 5: Citywide Collision Outcomes (July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)


Figure 6 shows the ten intersections and ten roadway segments which had the highest number of collisions. The highest collision locations align with arterial roadways, including El Camino Real and the County Expressways. In many cases, these coincide with the High Injury Network identified in the Sunnyvale Vision Zero Plan, which accounts for $60 \%$ of the fatal and severe injury collisions in the City on just $7 \%$ of its roadway network. The top five collision intersections and roadway segments by classification have been identified in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 1: Top Collision Intersections

| Location | Total Collisions <br> (5-year Period) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Signalized Intersections |  |
| Mathilda Avenue \& Maude Avenue | 70 |
| Central Expressway \& Mary Avenue | 69 |
| El Camino Real \& Wolfe Road | 66 |
| El Camino Real \& S Mathilda Avenue | 61 |
| Fremont Avenue \& Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road | 54 |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |
| Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue | 26 |
| El Camino Real \& Sycamore Terrace | 25 |
| Lawrence Expressway \& 101 SB On-Ramp | 24 |
| El Camino Real \& Helen Avenue | 23 |
| Bayview Avenue \& Maude Avenue | 21 |

[^6]

Table 2: Top Collision Roadway Segments

| Segment | From | To | Total Collisions <br> (5-year Period) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## County Expressway

| Central Expressway | Wolfe Rd East Ramps | Wolfe Rd West Ramps | 51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Central Expressway | Arques Ave East Ramps | Arques Ave West Ramps | 13 |
| Central Expressway | Commercial St | San Vincente Way | 12 |
| Central Expressway | Mary Ave Intersection | Mary Ave East Ramps | 8 |
| Central Expressway | Commercial St | Wolfe Rd | 7 |

## Class I Arterial

| El Camino Real | Maria Ln | Fair Oaks Ave | 21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| El Camino Real | Pastoria Ave | Mary Ave | 20 |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road | Fremont Ave | Crescent Ave | 18 |
| El Camino Real | Mathilda Ave | Pastoria Ave | 14 |
| El Camino Real | Fair Oaks Ave | Cezanne Dr | 14 |

Class II Arterial

| Wolfe Road | Iris Ave | Reed Ave | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Evelyn Avenue | Mary Ave | City Limit | 12 |
| Fremont Avenue | Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Bobwhite Ave/Manet Dr | 11 |
| Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road | El Camino Real | Fall River Ter | 9 |
| Wolfe Road | El Camino Real | Maria Ln | 7 |

## Commercial/Industrial Collector

| Maude Ave | Pastoria Ave | Mathilda Ave | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Maude Ave | Sunnyvale Ave | Bayview Ave | 6 |
| Weddell Dr | Ross Dr | Borregas Ave | 6 |
| Almanor Ave | Vaqueros Ave | Mathilda Ave | 5 |
| Maude Ave | Mathilda Ave | San Angelo Ave | 4 |

## Residential Collector

| Hollenbeck Ave | Homestead Rd | Grand Coulee Ave | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Bernardo Ave | Ayala Dr | Cortez Dr | 6 |
| Ahwanee Ave | Mathilda Ave | San Aleso Ave | 6 |
| Bernardo Ave | Homestead Rd | Helena Dr | 4 |
| Henderson Ave | El Camino Real | Valerian Way | 4 |

Local

| Acalanes Dr | McKinley Ave | Bernardo Ave | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| San Zeno Way | Sonora Ct | Kifer Rd | 5 |
| Wildwood Ave | Torrance Ave | Fairwood Ave | 5 |
| Rockefeller Dr | Mary Ave | Lime Dr | 4 |
| Westside Avenue | Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd | Dead End | 3 |
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### 2.2 Fatal \& Severe Injury Collisions

Identifying locations and contributing factors of fatal and severe injury collisions - also known as Killed or Severely Injured (KSI) collisions - is a key step in detecting any patterns in location or design of the roadway/ intersection that are potentially affecting their occurrence. Figure $\mathbf{8}$ shows the locations of the 89 fatal and severe injury collisions that occurred within the City. Most KSI collisions occurred at or near intersections rather than at mid-block locations along roadway segments. In addition, the fatal collisions typically occurred on a Class I or Class II arterial. Figure 7 displays the percentage of KSI collisions by their cited cause, with unsafe speed and pedestrian violations representing the greatest causes by citation.

Of the 89 total KSI collisions, 48 involved active transportation modes -33 were pedestrianinvolved and 15 were bicycle-involved. The top intersections and roadway segments where KSI collisions occurred are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Figure 7: Citywide Fatal and Severe Injury Collision Causes
(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)



Figure 8
Citywide Fatal and Severe Injury Collision Locations (July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)

## Table 3: Top KSI Collision Intersections

| Location | KSI Collisions | Total Collisions <br> (5-year Period) | Bike/Ped Collisions <br> $(5-y e a r ~ P e r i o d) ~$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Signalized

| El Camino Real \& Cezanne Drive | 3 | 39 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mathilda Avenue \& Ross Drive | 2 | 46 | 4 |
| El Camino Real \& Hollenbeck Avenue | 2 | 42 | 7 |
| Fremont Avenue \& Wolfe Road | 2 | 34 | 1 |
| Wolfe Road \& Arques Avenue | 2 | 32 | 1 |
| Fremont Avenue \& Bobwhite Avenue / Manet <br> Drive | 2 | 17 | 5 |
| Central Expressway \& Mary Avenue | 1 | 69 | 3 |
| El Camino Real \& Wolfe Road | 1 | 66 | 4 |
| El Camino Real \& Fair Oaks Avenue | 1 | 50 | 6 |
| El Camino Real \& Henderson Avenue | 1 | 39 | 6 |

## Unsignalized

| El Camino Real \& Helen Avenue | 4 | 23 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernardo Avenue \& Ayala Drive | 2 | 17 | 3 |
| Wolfe Road \& Dartshire Way | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| Evelyn Avenue \& Pastoria Avenue | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue | 1 | 26 | 3 |
| Fair Oaks Avenue \& Taylor Avenue | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks Avenue \& Columbia Avenue | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| Bernardo Avenue \& lowa Avenue | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| Remington Drive \& Michelangelo Drive | 1 | 9 | 3 |
| Hollenbeck Avenue \& Grand Coulee Avenue | 1 | 7 | 1 |

Source: Fehr \& Peers, Kimley-Horn, 2020.

Table 4: Top KSI Collision Roadway Segments

| Location | From | To | KSI Collisions (5-year Period) | Total Collisions (5-year Period) | Bike/Ped Collisions (5-year Period) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## County Expressway

| Central Expressway | Commercial Street | San Vicente WB <br> On-Ramp | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Central Expressway | Middlefield Road | City Limit | 1 | 4 | 0 |

Class I Arterial

| El Camino Real | Maria Lane | Fair Oaks Avenue | 1 | 21 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| El Camino Real | Cezanne Drive | Sunnyvale Avenue | 1 | 14 | 2 |
| El Camino Real | Henderson <br> Avenue | Poplar Avenue | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| El Camino Real | Bernardo Avenue | Grape Avenue | 1 | 10 | 2 |

Class II Arterial

| Fremont Avenue | Sunnyvale- <br> Saratoga Road | Bobwhite Avenue/ <br> Manet Drive | 1 | 11 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residential Collector |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bernardo Avenue | Helena Avenue | The Dalles Avenue | 1 | 3 | 0 |

Source: Fehr \& Peers, Kimley-Horn, 2020.

### 2.3 Bicycle- and Pedestrian-involved Collisions

## Pedestrian Collisions

Collisions between vehicles and pedestrians more frequently result in a severe injury or fatality. Identifying the historical patterns of these collisions is a large component in the analysis process. As shown in Figure 9, during the study period, a total of 201 pedestrian-involved collisions occurred. Of the 201 collisions, 12 resulted in fatality, 21 were reported with severe injury, 78 with visible injuries, and 79 with complaints of pain. Figure $\mathbf{1 0}$ displays the locations of pedestrian collisions and Table 5 identifies what action the pedestrian was taking at the time of collision. Pedestrians in these collisions were most frequently identified as crossing in a crosswalk at an intersection. The location with the greatest number of pedestrian collisions was the intersection of El Camino Real and Cezanne Drive, which includes permissive left-turn movements across parallel crosswalks.

Figure 9: Citywide Pedestrian Collision Outcomes
(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)


Table 5: Action of Pedestrian in Collision

| Year | Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection | Crossing in Crosswalk Not at Intersection | Crossing Not in Crosswalk | In Road <br> (Walking along Roadway) | Not in Road | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 1 | 28 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 35 |
| Year 2 | 35 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 45 |
| Year 3 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 19 |
| Year 4 | 31 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 40 |
| Year 5 | 28 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 37 |
| Total | 138 | 5 | 36 | 18 | 23 | 176 |
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Figure 10
Pedestrian-involved Collisions

## Bicycle Collisions

Like pedestrian collisions, the identification of vehicle-bicycle collision locations is important in understanding areas of the network where there are factors that may be affecting the safety of these transportation modes. As seen in Figure 11, of the 333 bicycle-involved collisions, 3 resulted in a fatality, 12 were reported with severe injury, 173 with visible injury, and 114 with complaints of pain. These collisions are visually represented in Figure 12. The location with the highest number of bicycle-involved collisions is the intersection of El Camino Real and Sunnyvale Saratoga Road, which was substantially modified between mid-2016 and mid-2017 to eliminate channelized right-turns and improve crosswalks. Six of the seven bicycle-involved collisions at this location occurred prior to completion of this improvement project, and five of these collisions were of types that would have been addressed by the implemented countermeasures.

The locations with the next highest number of bicycle-involved collisions are the intersections of Fremont Avenue at Sunnyvale Saratoga Road, which is adjacent to Fremont High School and two corners currently being planned for redevelopment, and El Camino Real at Mary Avenue. Both are relatively large intersections with a high level of exposure for bicyclists crossing either roadway.

Figure 11: Citywide Bicycle Collision Outcomes
(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)
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### 2.4 Vehicular Run-off-Road and Night-time Collisions

## Single Vehicle/Run-off-Road Collisions

A reported vehicular collision with fixed objects typically indicates that the vehicle collided with immobile objects outside of the travel lanes. These are often collisions with light poles, signage, personal property, or other objects outside of the roadway surface. Fixed-object collisions are most frequently classified as head-on, sideswipe, broadside, or overturned vehicles. Figure 13 shows the locations of collisions of vehicles from 2013-2018. Tasman Drive between Fair Oaks Avenue and Lawrence Expressway has experienced a high number of fixed object collisions within the study period. The highest number of fixed object collisions occurs at the intersection of Evelyn Avenue and Mary Avenue. A notable characteristic of both locations is a high level of side friction caused by adjacent modes within a constrained right-of-way that includes objects within the desired clear zone on both shoulders as well as in the median.

## Vehicular Night-time Collisions

Collisions occurring during periods of darkness (typically at night) are often categorized by whether streetlights are present and operating. Figure 14 visually displays the locations of vehicular collisions during the study period during dark periods both with and without the presence of functioning streetlights reported. Collisions of both types may occur at some locations, which is typically indicative of infrastructure changes or equipment malfunction.

El Camino Real within the study area has a high number of collisions at dark at most major crossstreets. In addition, Mathilda Avenue between Maude Avenue and SR-237 has a high number of collisions at dark. Each is a wide, major arterial roadway corridor that relies upon median lighting for some segments. Mathilda Avenue north of Ahwanee Avenue/Almanor Avenue is currently being reconstructed, which will include adjustments to roadway lighting.

The two intersections with the highest number of collisions at dark are the intersection of Central Expressway and Mary Avenue and the intersection of El Camino Real and Wolfe Road. Both locations are large intersections which are owned by partner agencies.
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Note: Locations may have collisions of both types resulting from changes to or malfunction of lighting infrastructure.

Figure 14

### 2.5 Vehicular Risky Driving Collisions

## Driving Under the Influence

Identifying locations of collisions involving drivers under the influence of substances is important in understanding if there are areas of the roadway network where these occur in greater concentration. Of the 549 collisions in which at least one driver was impaired, 21 resulted in severe injuries and six resulted in a fatality. Over 200 of these collisions resulted in either visible injury or complaint of pain by the involved parties. These collisions are visually represented in
Figure 15. These collisions are often addressed through education and enforcement in addition to engineering solutions which seek to reduce collision severity and frequency.

## Aggressive Driving

Aggressive driving identifies collisions where the responsible driver engaged in unsafe speeding, following too closely, or improper passing. Of the 1,655 aggressive driving collisions, 623 resulted in either visible injury or complaint of pain by the involved parties. Eight collisions resulted in severe injury and four resulted in a fatality. These collisions are visually represented in Figure 16. The location with the highest number of aggressive driving collisions is the intersection of Mary Avenue and Central Expressway, which is likely in part because it is one of the few signalized intersections along a largely access-controlled portion of Central Expressway stretching from Mountain View to Santa Clara.

In addition, there are many aggressive driving collisions on Mathilda Avenue between SR-237 and El Camino Real and on El Camino Real throughout the study area. Both are busy, high-capacity arterial roadways with coordinated signal timing and/or long cycle lengths, which can lead to speeding during off-peak periods.

## Distracted Driving

Distracted driving is a challenge area that identifies collisions where the driver responsible for the collision was engaging in another activity that took their attention away from driving, thus increasing the chance of a crash. The database includes an attribute for inattention as a factor in crashes. It also has a field for cell phone use. Both crashes with inattention and handheld cell phone use have been trending upward in recent years, which is a growing concern for many communities. Of the 175 distracted driving collisions, 69 resulted in either visible injury or complaint of pain by the involved parties. No severe injury or fatal collisions were reported in distracted driving collisions. These collisions are visually represented in Figure 17.
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Driver-impaired Collisions
(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)


Aggressive Driving Collisions
(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2018)


Figure 17

## 3. Collision Rate \& Systemic Analysis

A systemic approach to safety focuses on evaluating an entire roadway network, identifying highrisk roadway characteristics and driver behaviors based upon crash history. A systemic analysis can also employ analysis of collision rates to identify locations with a proportionally higher number of collisions rather than relying upon the raw number of collisions identified in the collision history. These methods can both help to identify locations in the City which may have potential for future collisions rather than strictly identifying those where collisions have already occurred.

### 3.1 Local Roads Safety Manual Methods

The Local Roadway Safety Manual: A Manual for California's Local Road Owners (Version 1.5, April 2020) purpose is to encourage local agencies to pursue a proactive approach to identifying and analyzing safety issues, while preparing to compete for project funding opportunities. A proactive approach is defined as analyzing the safety of the entire roadway network through either a onetime, network wide analysis, or by routine analyses of the roadway network. ${ }^{1}$

According to the Local Roadway Safety Manual (LRSM), "The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) - Division of Local Assistance is responsible for administering California's federal safety funding intended for local safety improvements."

To provide the most benefit and to be competitive for funding, the analysis leading to countermeasure selection should focus on both intersections and roadway segments and be considerate of roadway characteristics and traffic volumes. The result should be a list of locations that are most likely to benefit from cost-effective countermeasures, preferably prioritized by benefit/cost ratio. The manual suggests using a mixture of quantitative and qualitative measures to identify and rank locations that considers both crash frequency and crash rates. These findings should then be screened for patterns such as crash types and severity to aid in the determination of issues causing higher numbers of crashes and the potential countermeasures that could be most effective. Qualitative analysis should include field visits and a review of existing roadway characteristics and devices. The specific roadway context can then be used to assess what conditions may increase safety risk at the site and systemic level.

Countermeasure selection should be supported using Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) or Crash Reduction Factors (CRFs). These factors are the peer reviewed product of before and after research that quantifies the expected rate of collision reduction that can be expected from a given countermeasure. If more than one countermeasure is under consideration, the LRS provides guidance on how to apply CMFs appropriately.
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### 3.2 Highway Safety Manual Methods

"The AASHTO Highway Safety Manual (HSM), published in 2010, presents a variety of methods for quantitively estimating crash frequency or severity at a variety of locations."2 This four-part manual is divided into Parts: A) Introduction, Human Factors, and Fundamentals, B) Roadway Safety Management Process, C) Predictive Method, D) Crash Modification Factors.

Chapter 4 of Part B of the HSM discusses the Network Screening process. The Network Screening Process is a tool for an agency to analyze their entire network and identify/rank locations that (based on the implementation of a countermeasure) are most likely to realize a reduction in the frequency of collisions.

The HSM identifies five steps in this process: ${ }^{3}$

1. Establish Focus: Identify the purpose or intended outcome of the network screening analysis. This decision will influence data needs, the selection of performance measures and the screening method that can be applied.
2. Identify Network and Establish Reference Populations: Specify the types of sites or facilities being screened (i.e., segments, intersections, geometrics) and identify groupings of similar sites or facilities.
3. Select Performance Measures: There are a variety of performance measures available to evaluate the potential to reduce crash frequency at a site. In this step, the performance measure is selected as a function of the screening focus and the data and analytical tools available.
4. Select Screening Method: There are three principle screening methods described in this chapter (i.e., ranking, sliding window, peak searching). Each method has advantages and disadvantages; the most appropriate method for a given situation should be selected.
5. Screen and Evaluate Results: The final step in the process is to conduct the screening and analysis and evaluate the results.

The HSM provides several statistical methods for screening roadway networks to identify high risk locations based on overall collision histories. In addition to flat crash quantities, the method used in this study is referred to as Critical Crash Rate.

### 3.3 Critical Crash Rate

Reviewing the number of collisions at a location is a good way to understand the cost to society incurred at the local level but does not give a complete indication of the level of risk for those who use that intersection or roadway segment daily. The Highway Safety Manual describes the Critical Crash Rate method which provides a statistical review of locations to determine where risk

[^10]is higher than that experienced by other similar locations. It is also the first step in analyzing for patterns that may suggest systemic issues that can be addressed at that location, and proactively at others to prevent new safety challenges from emerging.

The Critical Crash Rate compares the observed crash rate to the expected crash rate at a location based on facility type and volume using a locally calculated average crash rate for the specific type of intersection or roadway segment being analyzed. Based on traffic volumes and a weighted citywide crash rate for each facility type, a critical crash rate threshold is established at the $95 \%$ confidence level to determine locations with higher crash rates that are unlikely to be random. The threshold is calculated for each location individually based on its traffic volume and the crash profile of similar facilities.

## Figure 1: Critical Crash Rate Formula

$$
R_{c, i}=R_{a}+\left[P \times \sqrt{\frac{R_{a}}{M E V_{i}}}\right]+\left[\frac{1}{\left(2 \times\left(M E V_{i}\right)\right)}\right]
$$

Where,
$R_{c, i}=$ Critical crash rate for intersection $i$
$R_{a}=$ Weighted average crash rate for reference population
$P=P$-value for corresponding confidence level
$M E V_{i}=$ Million entering vehicles for intersection i

Source: Highway Safety Manual

## Data Needs

CCR can be calculated using:

- Daily entering volume for intersections, or VMT for roadway segments;
- Intersection control types to separate them into like populations;
- Roadway functional classification to separate them into like populations;
- Collision records in GIS or tabular form including coordinates or linear measures.


## Strengths

- Reduces low volume exaggeration
- Considers variance
- Establishes comparison threshold


### 3.3 Critical Crash Rate Methodology

The Process of analyzing the CCR and comparing locations (separately by intersections and segments) is a multi-step process. The following is a high-level description of the process undertaken to develop the initial ranking of locations.

The first step in the process was to establish a city-wide crash rate for each facility population. These populations are broken into two categories with sub-categories:

- Intersection:
- Signalized
- Unsignalized
- Roadway Classification:
- County Expressway
- Class I and Class II Arterial
- Commercial/Industrial Collector
- Residential Collector
- Local

The individual crash rate for each location was then calculated based on the associated traffic volume. This volume was either collected through data count resources or calculated based on the roadway classification. The next step was to establish a Significance Threshold. This Threshold was used to determine what level of exceedance (how much the crash rate exceeded the critical crash rate) a location needs to have based on traffic volume to provide a high level of confidence that the collision occurring at the location is not random. For this study, a confidence level of $95 \%$ was used. The local crash rates were then compared to Significance Threshold to see if each location exceeded the expected CCR and if so, by how much.

After this analysis was completed, the locations were ranked by their categories according to that level of exceedance. The critical crash rate analysis identified locations that have statistically higher crash rates than other similar locations as shown in Appendix A.

### 3.4 Probability of Specific Crash Types Exceeding Threshold Proportion

The Highway Safety Manual describes the methodology for determining the probability that crash type is greater than an identified threshold proportion. This helps to identify locations where a crash type is more likely to occur.

## Data Needs

The probability of a specific crash type can be determined using collisions records with location data, and classifications of the locations (intersections or segments) studied.

## Strengths

- Can be used as a diagnostic tool
- Considers variance in data
- Not affected by selection bias

The HSM methodology first determines the frequency of a specific collision type at an individual location, then determines the observed proportion of that collision type relative to all collision types at that location. A threshold proportion is then determined for the specific collision type; HSM suggests utilizing the proportion of the collision type observed in the entire reference population (e.g. throughout the entire City of Sunnyvale).

These proportions are then utilized to determine the probability that the proportion of a specific crash type is greater than the long-term expected proportion of that crash type.

## Figure 2: Probability of Specific Crash Types Exceeding Threshold Proportion

$$
\left.P\left(p_{i}>\overline{p_{i}^{*}} / N_{\text {observedi } ;}, N_{\text {obsenedi(TOTAL) }}\right)=1-\text { betadist }\left(\overline{p_{i}^{*}}, a+N_{\text {obsevedij } ;} \beta+N_{\text {observedi(TOTALL }}\right)-N_{\text {observedi } j}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{p_{i}^{*}} & =\text { Threshold proportion } \\
p_{i} & =\text { Observed proportion } \\
N_{\text {observed }, i} & =\text { Observed target crashes for a site } i \\
N_{\text {observed }, i(\text { TOTAL })} & =\text { Total number of crashes for a site } i
\end{aligned}
$$

Source: Highway Safety Manual

Tables included in Appendix A show the number of crashes occurring at locations in Sunnyvale by crash type, and highlights locations in which the probability of those crash types exceeding the threshold proportion is greater than $33 \%$. The tables separately reflect roadway segments/corridors, signalized intersections, and unsignalized intersections.

The rankings include a breakdown of crash type as well as crashes occurring in the dark, in wet conditions, or with an impaired driver. Causality types were not included in this analysis, as there are often inconsistencies in recorded causality data which limit the accuracy of intersection or segment-level analysis.

### 3.5 Collision Profiles

Based upon the analysis of collision history, collision rates, and contextual factors, collision profiles or typologies can be identified. These profiles describe roadway characteristics and/or driver behaviors that are found to be leading to collisions, and can therefore be used in a systemic methodology to proactively identify locations which have similar contexts but may have experienced fewer collisions in the past.

Collision profiles were also developed as part of the Sunnyvale Vision Zero Plan, with ten specific profiles representing the top KSI collision patterns across the City defined and evaluated. The Vision Zero Plan also identified candidate countermeasures for each of the ten profiles. The Roadway Safety Plan data analysis indicates that the same ten profiles, shown in Table 6, continue to represent a large proportion of the fatal and severe injury crashes.

Table 6: Collision Profiles

| Collision Profile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of All KSI } \\ & \text { (\# of All KSI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of Auto KSI } \\ & \text { (\# of Auto KSI) } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Bike KSI <br> (\# of Bike KSI) | \% of Ped KSI <br> (\# of Ped KSI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Through Movement at Intersection | 31\% (28) | 46\% (19) | 60\% (9) |  |
| Left Turn at Signalized Intersection | 25\% (22) | 24\% (10) | 20\% (3) | 27\% (9) |
| Walking or Bicycling on Major Roadway (Expressway, Arterial, or Collector) | 18\% (16) |  | 67\% (10) | 18\% (6) |
| 60+ Year Old Pedestrians at Intersection | 17\% (15) |  |  | 45\% (15) |
| Unmarked Pedestrian Crossing | 15\% (13) |  |  | 39\% (13) |
| Speed-related Conflict | 13\% (12) | 20\% (8) | 7\% (1) | 9\% (3) |
| Influence of Drugs or Alcohol | 11\% (10) | 17\% (7) | 13\% (2) | 3\% (1) |
| Midblock Bicycle Conflict | 7\% (6) |  | 43\% (6) |  |
| Red Light Violation at Signalized Intersection | 7\% (6) | 7\% (3) | 13\% (2) | 3\% (1) |
| Children Walking or Biking Near School | 2\% (2) |  | 13\% (2) |  |

Note: Because an individual collision may be categorized under multiple profiles, the values in the tables do not sum to $100 \%$. Cells without a percentage represent profiles where zero KSI collisions occurred for a given mode.

Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2020.

Investigation into the locations, associated collisions types, and contextual characteristics for these profiles was conducted. Additional considerations for some typologies were identified, including:

- Signalized intersections which do not have protected left-turn phases for all movements were found to have an increased occurrence of undesirable interactions between all modes. Ten intersections with this condition were collectively found to be the site $11 \%$ of KSI collisions and $7 \%$ of bicycle/pedestrian-involved collisions Citywide. As identified in the Vision Zero "Left Turn at Signalized Intersection" profile, the addition of protected turn phases and/or leading pedestrian intervals may be appropriate countermeasures for this condition.
- Unsignalized intersections where queuing and/or a lack of available gaps make maneuvers challenging, particularly at side-street stops, were found to have an increased potential for "Conflicting Through Movement at Intersection" collisions. Sight distance was observed to be limited by vehicles parked or queued on the major roadway in many locations. In addition to previously identified countermeasures, adjustments to intersection geometrics and control features may help to improve visibility.
- Many intersections with the greatest occurrence of "Red Light Violation at Signalized Intersection" collisions have signal equipment that is not standard with current guidance from the California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) pertaining to the number, placement, or size of signal heads. Most intersections along El Camino Real have a single mast-arm signal head to govern three through travel lanes, while some older traffic signal installations in the City still employ 8 " traffic signal heads. As visibility of signal indications is positively correlated to driver compliance, upgrading traffic signal hardware may be an appropriate countermeasure at locations with this collision type in addition to previously identified countermeasures such as dilemma-zone detection and signal timing adjustments.


## C. SAFETY COUNTERMEASURE TOOLBOX

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

## Safety Countermeasures Toolbox

This Toolbox presents 88 safety countermeasures applicable in different roadway contexts across Sunnyvale. Many of these countermeasures are recommended for the 20 project locations of interest included in this report.

Many of the countermeasures are Caltrans-approved, with an associated Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) and crash type (i.e., all modes, bicycle and pedestrian crashes only, etc.) as outlined in the 2020 California Local Roadway Safety Manual (LRSM). The higher the CRF (100\% being the highest), the greater the expected reduction in crashes. Countermeasures not in the LRSM are scored on a "low-medium-high" AVAILABILITY OF RESEARCH scale based on proven safety studies; otherwise, denoted as "N/A" when limited safety studies are available. The higher the AVAILABILITY OF RESEARCH rating, the greater the expected reduction in crashes.
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Safety Research Sources
A Vision for Transportation Safety, SFMTA and SFDPH for TRB, 2015.
Application of Pedestrian Crossing Treatments for Streets and Highways, NCHRP, 2016.
California Local Roadway Safety Manual, Caltrans, FHWA \& SafeTrec, 2020.
Development of Crash Modification Factors for Uncontrolled Pedestrian Crossing Treatments, NCHRP, 2017.
Evaluation of Bicycle-Related Roadway Measures, Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center, 2014.
Evaluation of Pedestrian-Related Roadway Measures, Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center, 2014.

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

## Safety Countermeasures Toolbox

SUMMARY OF COUNTERMEASURES
A. SIGNAL TIMING \& PHASING

Additional Signal Heads $\mathbb{C}$
Extend Green Time For Bikes
Extend Pedestrian Crossing Time
Extend Yellow and All Red Time
Flashing Yellow Turn Phase
Green Wave
Leading Pedestrian Interval
New Traffic Signal
Pedestrian Phase Recall
Pedestrian Scramble
Permissive Lefts To Protected
Reduce Cycle Lengths $C$
Separate Right-Turn Phasing

## B. INTERSECTION \& ROADWAY DESIGN

Close Slip Lane
Convert 2-Way Stop to All-Way Stop
Lane Narrowing
New Sidewalk
Partial Closure/Diverter
Protected Intersection
Raised Intersection
Raised Median
Realign Intersection to 90 Degrees
Repurpose Extra Travel Lanes
Road Diet
Roundabout
Speed Humps or Speed Tables
Splitter Island
Turn Radius Reduction
Widen Shoulder

## C. SIGNS \& MARKINGS

Advance Stop Bar
Advance Yield Markings
Bicycles May Use Full Lane Sign
No Right Turn On Red
Parking Restrictions/Daylighting
Radar Speed Feedback Sign
Time-Based Turn Restriction
Turn Prohibition
Wayfinding
Yield To Pedestrians Sign $\varnothing$
D. BIKEWAY DESIGN

Bicycle Crossing (Solid Green Paint)
Bicycle Ramps
Bicycle Signal/Exclusive Bike Phase
Bike Box
Bike Detection
Bike Friendly Drains

INCLUDED IN LRSM

## D. BIKEWAY DESIGN (continued)

Class I Bicycle Path Or Trail $Q$
Class II Bike Lane
Class IV Separated Bikeway
Floating Transit Island
Green Bike Lane Conflict Zone Markings
Mixing Zone
"On Roadway" Bicycle Sign
Parking Buffer
Shared Sidewalk Sign
Signing and Striping in Support of Bicycle Boulevard
Traffic Calming in Support of Bicycle Boulevard
Trail Crossing
Two-Stage Turn Queue Bike Box
Widen Sidewalk

## E. PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

ADA Ramps \& Audible Push Button Upgrades
Curb Extensions
Extended Time Pushbutton
High-Visibility Crosswalk
Pedestrian Countdowns $C$
Pedestrian Detection
Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon
Pedestrian Lighting
Pedestrian Median Barrier $C$
Pedestrian Refuge Island $\mathbb{C}$
Raised Crosswalk
Remove Crossing Prohibition
Restripe Crosswalk
Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon
Upgrade Curb Ramp

## F. OTHER

Access Management/Close Driveway
Curbside Management
Far-Side Bus Stop
Intersection, Street-Scale Lighting
Keep Roadway Clear Of Debris
Remove Obstructions For Sightlines

## G. LOW-COST AND QUICK-BUILD

Hardened Centerline
Paint and Plastic Curb Extension
Paint and Plastic Median $C$
Paint and Plastic Mini Circle
Paint and Plastic Pedestrian Refuge Area
Paint and Plastic Separated Bikeway $\downarrow$
Paint and Plastic Turn Radius Reduction
Traffic Diverter

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

## A. SIGNAL TIMING \& PHASING

Additional Signal Heads


Additional signal heads allow drivers to anticipate signal changes farther away from intersections, decreasing the likelihood of driver error resulting in a collision.

COUNTERMEASURE

## _RSM CODE: S03

## Extend Pedestrian Crossing Time



CRF 15\%


Increases time for pedestrian walk phases, especially to accommodate vulnerable populations such as children and the elderly.

## countermeasure

## Flashing Yellow Turn Phase



Flashing yellow turn arrow alerts drivers to proceed with caution and decide if there is a sufficient gap in oncoming traffic to safely make a turn. To be used only when a pedestrian walk phase is not called. Protected only phases should be used when pedestrians are present.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S03
Extend Green Time For Bikes


CRF $15 \%$


Prolongs the green phase when bicyclists are present to provide additional time for bicyclists to clear the intersection. Can occur automatically in the signal phasing or when prompted with bicycle detection. Topography should be considered in clearance time.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: 503
Extend Yellow and All Red Time


CRF 15\%


Extending yellow and all red time allows drivers and bicyclists to safely cross through a signalized intersection before conflicting traffic movements are permitted to enter the intersection.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: S03
Green Wave


CRF 15\%


Occurs when a series of traffic signals are coordinated to allow for uninterrupted bicycle traffic flow through those intersections in at least one direction. Coordinating signals to allow for bicyclist progression gives bicyclists and pedestrians more time to safely cross through the 'green wave' intersections.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S03
Leading Pedestrian Interval


Gives people walking a head start, making them more visible to drivers turning right or left. "WALK" signal comes on a few seconds before the cars get their green light. May be used in combination with No Right Turn on Red restrictions.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: NS03
New Traffic Signal


New traffic signals can help to organize travel of all modes at an intersection, limiting interactions between vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists with conflicting movements. Using this countermeasure for HSIP applications requires documentation of signal warrants.

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

## A. SIGNAL TIMING \& PHASING

LRSM COUNTERMEASURE

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Pedestrian Phase Recall



Signals can be put in "recall" for key time periods of day such as peak business hours or school drop-off/pick-up times. During these periods the "WALK" signal would be displayed every signal cycle without prompting by a pedestrian push button.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: S06/S07
Permissive Lefts to Protected


Provides a protected green arrow phase for left turning vehicles while showing a red light for both on-coming traffic and parallel pedestrian crossings. Eliminates conflicts between pedestrians and left-turning vehicles.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S19PB

## Pedestrian Scramble



This is a form of pedestrian 'walk' phase at a signalized intersection in which all vehicular traffic is required to stop, allowing pedestrians to safely cross through the intersection in any direction, including diagonally.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S03

## Reduce Cycle Lengths



Traffic signal cycles should be kept short (preferably 90 seconds maximum) to reduce pedestrian delay. When delay is significant, pedestrians are more inclined to ignore signal indications.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Separate Right-Turn Phasing


Provides a green arrow phase for right-turning vehicles. Avoids conflicts between right-turning traffic and bicyclists or pedestrians crossing the intersection on their right.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## B. INTERSECTION \& ROADWAY DESIGN

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Close Slip Lane



Modifies the corner of an intersection to remove the sweeping right turn lane for vehicles. Results in shorter crossings for pedestrians, reduced speed for turning vehicles, better sight lines, and space for landscaping, green infrastructure, and other amenities.

COUNTERMEASURE

## Lane Narrowing



A reduction in lane width produces a traffic calming effect by encouraging motorists to travel at slower speeds where existing lanes are over-designed, lowering the risk of collision with bicyclists, pedestrians, and other motorists.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S14/NS15
Partial Closure/Diverter


A roadway treatment that restricts through vehicle movements using physical diversion while allowing bicyclists and pedestrians to proceed through an intersection in all directions.

Raised Intersection


Elevates the intersection to bring vehicles to the sidewalk level. Serves as a traffic calming measure by extending the sidewalk context across the road.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: NS02

## Convert 2-Way Stop to All-Way Stop



Converting 2-way stops to all-way stops prevents motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians from having to cross free-flowing lanes of traffic at a side-street stop-controlled intersection and reduces the risk of collision.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: R34PB
New Sidewalk


Sidewalks and walkways are "pedestrian lanes" that provide people with space to travel within the public right-of-way that is separated from roadway vehicles. They are associated with reduced crashes where pedestrians were walking along the roadway.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Protected Intersection



## AVAILABILITY

 OF RESEARCHLOW MED HIGH

Protected intersections use corner islands, curb extensions, and colored paint to delineate the bicycle path across an intersection and allow a two-stage left-turn for bicycles parallel to the crosswalk. Provides space for drivers to yield outside the travel lane.

## countermeasure

LRSM CODE: S12/NS14/R08
Raised Median


A concrete or landscaped area, between the two directions of travel. Reduces vehicular speeding and discourages risky turning movements.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## B. INTERSECTION \& ROADWAY DESIGN

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Realign Intersections to 90 Degrees



By eliminating acute or obtuse angles between intersection roadways, intersection sight distance may be improved, allowing motorists to see pedestrians more easily.

LRSM CODE: R14

## Road Diet



Depending on the street, road diets may change the number of lanes, turn lanes, center turn lanes, bike lanes, parking lanes, and/or sidewalks. Road diets optimize street space to benefit all users by improving the safety and comfort of pedestrians and bicyclists, and reducing vehicle speeds and the potential for rear end collisions.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Speed Humps or Speed Tables



These traffic calming devices use vertical deflection to raise the entire wheelbase of a vehicle and encourage motorists to travel at slower speeds to avoid damage to the undercarriage of an automobile.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Turn Radius Reduction


AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
LoW MED HIGH

Modifies the corner of an intersection to reduce turning radii for vehicles. Results in shorter crossings for pedestrians, reduced speed for turning vehicles, better sight lines, and space for landscaping, green infrastructure, and other amenities.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Repurpose Extra Travel Lanes



Repurposing travel lanes at spot locations, such as extra receiving lanes at an intersection, is a strategy used to make space for other safety improvements such as, widening sidewalks, creating space for bicycle, pedestrian, or transit lanes, and other improvements.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S16/NS04/NS05
Roundabout


Roundabouts are circular intersections designed to eliminate left turns by requiring traffic to travel in a counter-clockwise direction and exit to the right. Installed to manage vehicular speeds, reduce pedestrian exposure, improve safety at intersections through eliminating angle collisions, and help traffic flow more efficiently.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: NS13

## Splitter Island



A raised area that separates the two directions of travel on the minor street approach at an unsignalized intersection or roundabout. Helps channelize traffic in opposing directions of travel. Typically installed at skewed intersections or where speeds on minor roads are high.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: R15
Widen Shoulder


Widened shoulders create a separated space for bicyclists and also provide motor vehicle safety benefits, such as space for inoperable vehicles to pull out of the travel lane.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## C. SIGNS \& MARKINGS

## COUNTERMEASURE

Advance Stop Bar


A stop bar placed 5 to 7 feet ahead of the crosswalk at stop signs and signals reduces instances of vehicles encroaching on the crosswalk.

COUNTERMEASURE

## LRSM CODE: NS05

Bicycles May Use Full Lane Sign


A sign placed on roads with lanes that are too narrow to allow safe side-by-side passing to indicate that bicyclists may occupy the full lane. This discourages unsafe passing by motorists.

## countermeasure

LRSM CODE: NS11
Parking Restrictions/Daylighting


By restricting parking at curbs in front of intersection crosswalks, sight lines are cleared between pedestrian crossings and oncoming motorists, reducing the risk of collision. Reducing visibility obstructions caused by parked vehicles, known as daylighting, allows all users to better gauge interactions.
countermeasure
Time-Based Turn Restriction


AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Restricts left-turns or right-turns during certain time periods when there may be increased potential for conflict (e.g., peak periods, school hours).

COUNTERMEASURE
Advance Yield Markings


CRF 25\%


Yield lines are placed 20 to 50 feet in advance of pedestrian crossings to increase visibility of pedestrians. Can reduce the likelihood of a multiple-threat crash at unsignalized midblock crossings.

COUNTERMEASURE
No Right Turn On Red


AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Can help prevent crashes between vehicles turning right on red from one street and through vehicles on the cross street, and crashes involving pedestrians. Should be considered where exclusive pedestrian "WALK" phases, LPIs, sight distance issues, or high pedestrian volumes are present.

## countermeasure

LRSM CODE: R26
Radar Speed Feedback Sign


A roadway treatment that uses radar to alert drivers to their actual speed relative to the posted speed limit, encouraging drivers who exceed to the speed limit to slow down.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Turn Prohibition



## AVAILABILITY

OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Bans left or right turns at locations where a turning vehicle may conflict with pedestrians in the crosswalk or where opposing traffic volume is high. Reduces pedestrian interaction with vehicles when crossing. Important tool when road diets are infeasible and a grid network of streets is present.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## C. SIGNS \& MARKINGS

LRSM COUNTERMEASURE
countermeasure
Wayfinding


A network of signs that highlight nearby pedestrian and bicycle facilities. Can help to reduce crossings at locations with poor sight distance or limited crossing enhancements.
countermeasure
Yield To Pedestrians Sign


CRF 15\%


The "Yield Here to Pedestrians" (e.g. R1-5, R1-5a) signs alert drivers about the presence of pedestrians. These signs are required with Advance Yield Lines. Other sign types (e.g. R1-6) can be placed on the centerline in the roadway.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## D. BIKEWAY DESIGN

LRSM COUNTERMEASURE

## countermeasure

Bicycle Crossing (Solid Green Paint)


AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Solid green paint across an intersection that signifies the path of the bicycle crossing. Increases visibility and safety of bicyclists traveling through an intersection.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: S03
Bicycle Signal/Exclusive Bike Phase


CRF 15\%


A traffic signal directing bicycle traffic across an intersection. Separates bicycle movements from conflicting motor vehicle, streetcar, light rail, or pedestrian movements. May be applicable for Class IV facilities when the bikeway is brought up to the intersection.

## countermeasure

## Bike Detection



Bike detection is used at signalized intersections, either through use of push-buttons, in-pavement loops, or by video or infrared cameras, to call a green light for bicyclists and reduce delay for bicycle travel. Discourages red light running by bicyclists and increases convenience of bicycling.
countermeasure
LRSM CODE: R34PB
Class I Bicycle Path or Mixed Use Trail


Provides a completely separate right of way that is designated for the exclusive use of people riding bicycles and walking with minimal cross-flow traffic. Paths and trails offer opportunities for the lowest stress bicycle travel.
countermeasure
Bicycle Ramps


Connects bicyclists from the road to the sidewalk or a shared use path.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: S20PB
Bike Box


A designated area at the head of a traffic lane at a signalized intersection that provides bicyclists with a safe and visible way to get ahead of queuing traffic during the red signal phase.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Bike Friendly Drains



Bike friendly drains avoid placing grating in the right-of-way that may pose a hazard to bicyclists by increasing their risk of falling.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Class II Bike Lane


CRF 35\%

CRASH
TYPE


Using designated lane markings, pavement legends, and signage, bike lanes provide dedicated street space for bicyclists, typically adjacent to the outer vehicle travel lane.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## D. BIKEWAY DESIGN

Class IV Separated Bikeway


Space on the roadway set aside for the exclusive use of bicycles and physically separated from vehicle traffic. Types of separation may include, but are not limited to, grade separation, flexible posts, physical barriers, or on-street parking.
countermeasure

## Green Bike Lane Conflict Zone Markings



Green pavement within a bicycle lane to increase visibility of bicyclists and to reinforce bicycle priority. The green pavement can be either as a corridor treatment or as a spot treatment in conflict areas such as frequently used driveways.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: NS06/R22
"On Roadway" Bicycle Sign


Street sign that communicates to drivers that bicyclists are on the road. Signs enhance visibility for bicyclists, reminding drivers that they are on the road.
countermeasure
Shared Sidewalk Sign


Signs communicate to pedestrians that bicyclists may also use the sidewalk and that bicyclists must yield to pedestrians.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Floating Transit Island



An in-street transit boarding island is used in conjunction with a Class IV bike facility, separating transit traffic from bicycle traffic, reducing conflict between the two modes and lowering the risk of collision.

COUNTERMEASURE
Mixing Zone


Places a suggested bike lane within the inside portion of a dedicated motor vehicle turn lane. Lane markings delineate space for bicyclists and motorists within the same lane and indicate the intended path for bicyclists to reduce conflict with turning motor vehicles.

## countermeasure

## Parking Buffer



Pavement markings denoting door zone of parked vehicles to help bicyclists maintain safe positioning on the roadway.

COUNTERMEASURE

## Signing and Striping in Support of Bicycle Boulevard



Bicycle boulevards are roads that encourage low automobile traffic volumes and speeds through signing and striping while giving bicyclists priority and encouraging non-motorized travel.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## D. BIKEWAY DESIGN

LRSM COUNTERMEASURE

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Traffic Calming in Support of Bicycle Boulevard



Traffic calming includes measures that encourage slower speeds to bring automobile speeds closer to those of bicyclists. This has the effect of reducing in-lane passing, improving driver perception and reaction time, and reducing the severity of collisions.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Two-Stage Turn Queue Bike Box


This roadway treatment provides bicyclists with a means of safely making a left or right turn at a multi-lane signalized intersection from a bike lane or cycle track on the opposite side of the lane. In this way, bicyclists are protected from the flow of traffic while waiting to turn.
countermeasure
Trail Crossing


A continental crosswalk with a bike stamp in the middle, placed at locations where trails intersect with or cross the roadway.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Widen Sidewalk



Wide sidewalks can provide space for both pedestrians and bicyclists to use a shared facility. Wide sidewalks can be important for locations with high volumes of pedestrians.

# SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan 

## E. PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: S17PB
ADA Ramps \& Audible Push Button Upgrades


Curb ramps and push buttons must comply with Americans with Disability Act (ADA) standards for accessibility. Pushbuttons should be visible and conveniently located for pedestrians waiting at a crosswalk. Accessible pedestrian signals, including audible push buttons, improve access for pedestrians who are blind or have low vision.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: S17PB
Extended Time Pushbutton


CRF 25\%


A pushbutton that can be pressed to request extra time for crossing the crosswalk, beyond the standard crossing time. Ideal near seniorserving land uses.

## countermeasure

LRSM CODE: S17PB
Pedestrian Countdowns


CRF 25\%


Displays "countdown" of seconds remaining on the pedestrian signal. Countdown indications improve safety for all road users, and are required for all newly installed traffic signals where pedestrian signals are installed.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: NS23PB
Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon


Pedestrian-activated beacon used at mid-block crosswalks and side-street stop controlled crossing locations to notify oncoming motorists to stop with a series of red and yellow lights.

COUNTERMEASURE

## Curb Extensions



Widens the sidewalk at intersections or midblock crossings to shorten the pedestrian crossing distance, to make pedestrians more visible to vehicles, and to reduce the speed of turning vehicles.

## COUNTERMEASURE <br> High-Visibility Crosswalk

 LRSM CODE: NS6/NS17/NS18

A crosswalk that is designed to be more visible to approaching drivers. Crosswalks should be designed with continental markings, also known as ladder markings, and use high-visibility material such as inlay tape or thermoplastic tape instead of paint.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S17PB
Pedestrian Detection


CRF 25\%
$\underset{\text { TYPE }}{\text { CRASH }} \boldsymbol{R}$

An intersection treatment that relies on sensors to detect when a pedestrian is waiting at a crosswalk and automatically trigger the pedestrian 'walk' phase.

## countermeasure

LRSM CODE: S1, NS1, R1
Pedestrian Lighting


At pedestrian crossings, research indicates pedestrian lighting should be placed 10 feet from the crosswalk, in between the approaching vehicles and the crosswalk. At intersections, pedestrian lighting should also be placed before the crosswalk on the approach into the intersection.

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

## E. PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S13PB/R10PB
Pedestrian Median Barrier


Pedestrian median barriers restrict pedestrians from crossing the median at locations where nearby crossings are available and midblock crossings may have poor sight distance or insufficient crossing enhancements for the conditions.

COUNTERMEASURE
LRSM CODE: R36PB
Raised Crosswalk


The crosswalk is elevated to match the sidewalk to make pedestrians more visible to approaching vehicles. Typically located at midblock crossings, they encourage motorists to yield to pedestrians and reduce vehicle speed.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: NS07

## Restripe Crosswalk



Periodic restriping of crosswalks is necessary to ensure the traffic markings are visible. Crosswalk may be restriped with high visibility markings.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S12/NS19PB

## Pedestrian Refuge Island



Pedestrian refuge islands provide a 6' minimum protected area for pedestrians at the center of the roadway. They reduce the exposure time for pedestrian crossing the intersection. They simplify crossings by allowing pedestrians to focus in one direction of traffic at a time.

## COUNTERMEASURE <br> Remove Crossing Prohibition

LRSM CODE: S18PB


Removes existing crossing prohibitions and provides marked crosswalk and other safety enhancements for pedestrians to cross the street.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: NS22PB/R37PB
Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon


CRF 35\%


Pedestrian-activated flashing lights and additional signage enhance the visibility of marked crosswalks and alert motorists to pedestrian crossings.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Upgrade Curb Ramp


AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Curb ramps must follow Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) design guidelines. Tactile warning devices must be detectable to visually impaired pedestrians.

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

## F. OTHER

- LRSM Countermeasure


## COUNTERMEASURE

## Access Management/Close Driveway



OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Vehicles entering and exiting driveways may conflict with pedestrians and with vehicles on the main road, especially at driveways within 250 feet of intersections. Closing driveways near intersections with high crash rates related to driveways may reduce potential conflicts.

COUNTERMEASURE
Far-Side Bus Stop


Far-side bus stops are located immediately after an intersection, allowing the bus to pass through the intersection before stopping for passenger loading and unloading. Far-side stops encourage pedestrians to cross behind the bus for greater visibility, and can improve transit service reliability.

COUNTERMEASURE

## Curbside Management



Curbside management can better prioritize reliable transit and safe bicycling infrastructure, freight deliveries, passenger pick-ups/dropoffs, green stormwater infrastructure, public spaces, and parking management.

## COUNTERMEASURE

## Intersection, Street-Scale Lighting



CRF $35 \%-40 \%$

CRASH
TYPE
 NIGHT TIME

Street and intersection lighting helps make other road users or hazards more visible to motorists at night, improving driver perception and reaction time and reducing the risk of collision.

## countermeasure

## Remove Obstructions For Sightlines



Remove objects that may prevent drivers and pedestrians from having a clear sightline. May include installing red curb at intersection approaches to remove parked vehicles (also called "daylighting"), trimming or removing landscaping, or removing or relocating large signs.

## SunnyvaleRoadwaySafetyPlan

Hardened Centerline


Uses paint to widen left-turn radii and rubber curb with plastic bollards on the receiving roadway's centerline to modify the angle of motorists turning left. Widening the turning radii of left-turning vehicles expands the field of vision for drivers and increases the visibility of pedestrians.

## COUNTERMEASURE

LRSM CODE: S12/NS14/R08
Paint and Plastic Median


CRF 25\%
$\underset{\text { TYPE } i \text { Co }}{\text { CRASH }}$

A painted median with plastic posts, between the two directions of travel. Reduces vehicular speeding and discourages risky turning movements.

COUNTERMEASURE
Paint and Plastic Pedestrian Refuge Area


CRF 25\%-45\%
$\underset{\text { TYPE is }}{\text { CRASH }}$

Paint and plastic post pedestrian refuge spaces provide a designated area for pedestrians at the center of the roadway. Pedestrian refuge areas constructed from paint and plastic should be implemented in conjunction with additional safety projects, such as an Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) or road diet, to reduce pedestrian exposure.

## countermeasure

Paint and Plastic Turn Radius Reduction


A painted corner with plastic posts to reduce the turning radii at an intersection. Results in reduced speed for turning vehicles, better sight lines, and reduced pedestrian exposure.
countermeasure
Paint and Plastic Curb Extension


Widens the sidewalk at intersections or midblock crossings to shorten the pedestrian crossing distance, to make pedestrians more visible to motorists, and to reduce the speed of turning vehicles.

## countermeasure

## Paint and Plastic Mini Circle



AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
LOW MED HIGH

Mini circles use paint and soft hit posts to replace stop-controlled intersections with a circular design that calms traffic and eliminates left turns. Installed to reduce vehicular speeds, improve safety at intersections by reducing severe collisions, and help traffic flow more efficiently.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Paint and Plastic Separated Bikeway


CRF 45\%
CRASH


A lane on the roadway dedicated to bicycles that is physically separated from vehicles by a raised barrier of plastic posts and painted pavement.

## COUNTERMEASURE

Traffic Diverter


AVAILABILITY
OF RESEARCH
Low MED HIGH

Traffic diverters use paint, plastic posts, and/or planters to divert auto traffic from a residential street. The diverters do allow bicycles to enter the approach, reducing conflict between bicyclists and vehicles.

## D. OUTREACH SUMMARY

# FEHRケPEERS 

City of Sunnyvale Roadway Safety Plan<br>Community Workshop Key Takeaways, April 2019

The City of Sunnyvale hosted the first Roadway Safety Plan Community Workshop on April 22, 2019. The workshop goals were to provide an introduction to the project, describe what a Roadway Safety Plan is, and gather feedback from residents and other stakeholders on preferred countermeasures and treatments to address safety concerns in Sunnyvale. Twenty-three participants provided 115 total comments on a countermeasure toolbox poster as well as posters that highlighted safety treatments which had already been implemented in Sunnyvale. Participants also put stickers on aerial maps where they would like to see transportation improvements for bicycles, pedestrians, or vehicles in Sunnyvale.

## PRIORITY LOCATION COUNTERMEASURES

## Workshop Overview

Participants provided their input on preferred transportation safety countermeasures in Sunnyvale. Common themes across the locations are summarized in Figure 1. Bicycle infrastructure was the most requested countermeasure at the priority project locations, followed by pedestrian infrastructure and vehicular traffic and signals. Separated bike lanes and bicycle detection at traffic signals were the most requested specific countermeasures, followed by widening sidewalks, and traffic calming measures (such as roundabouts). Figure $\mathbf{2}$ summarizes the specific countermeasures people indicated they would like to see in Sunnyvale.

Figure 1: Preferred Countermeasures at Priority Locations, by Category (Workshop)
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Figure 2: Preferred Countermeasures City-Wide, Detailed (Workshop)


## WHAT TREATMENTS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE IN SUNNYVALE?

## Workshop Overview

Participants were asked to provide their input on what features they liked or had concerns with at two separate locations: S. Mary Avenue at W. Remington Drive- Adjacent to Sunnyvale Middle School, and W. McKinley Avenue West of S. Taaffe Street- Adjacent to Target Downtown.

Location \#1: South Mary Avenue at West Remington Drive

Workshop Comments

Responses for Location \#1 noted they liked the narrower travel lanes, lower speeds, and the high visibility crosswalk. Respondents noted that the roadway needed a separated bike lane, and pedestrian protection at the intersection (bulbouts). Figure 3 shows the image that participants were asked to view.

Figure 3: South Mary Avenue Treatment Location


Location \#2: West McKinley Avenue West of South Taaffe Street

## Workshop Comments

Responses for Location \#1 noted they liked the raised crosswalks, wide median, low speeds, curb extensions, trees, and lighting. Respondents noted that they liked all of the design features as a bicyclist. Out of those who responded to the board, 2 drove through the intersection, 5 cycled through the intersection, 1 was a pedestrian, another didn't use the roadway. One respondent did not like the lack of a bike lane. Figure 4 shows the image that participants were asked to view.

Figure 4: West McKinley Avenue Treatment Location


## CITYWIDE TREATMENT COMMENTS

## Workshop Overview

Participants provided their input on preferred transportation improvements throughout Sunnyvale. Out of 68 responses, $57 \%$ were about bicycle improvements, $35 \%$ were pedestrian improvements, and $8 \%$ were vehicle improvements. Common themes were to add bicycle lanes, add protected intersections, add pedestrian crosswalks, and reduce speeding. Figure $\mathbf{5}$ shows the distribution of comments throughout Sunnyvale.

Figure 5: Total Sunnyvale Transportation Improvement Comments


# FEHR $\upharpoonright$ PEERS 

City of Sunnyvale Roadway Safety Plan Community Workshop Key Takeaways, January 2020

The City of Sunnyvale hosted the second Roadway Safety Plan Community Workshop on January 22, 2020. The workshop goals were to provide an introduction to the project approach, describe what a Roadway Safety Plan and Systemic Analysis is, and solicit feedback from residents and other stakeholders on the safety improvements identified for the 20 representative project locations in Sunnyvale. A total of thirteen participants provided comments on the project boards, comment cards, and through e-mails regarding the identified safety countermeasures for each project location and/or what they would like to see as transportation safety improvements for bicycles, pedestrians, or vehicles at each project location in Sunnyvale.

## WORKSHOP OVERVIEW

After a brief presentation about the workshop purpose, participants were asked to provide their feedback and input on the identified safety countermeasures and what features they liked or had concerns with at the 20 separate project locations in Sunnyvale. Outreach materials were subsequently posted to the project website and additional public comment was facilitated through e-mail submissions to City staff.

## REPRESENTATIVE PROJECT LOCATION FEEDBACK

## Location A: El Camino Real \& Cezanne Drive



## Feedback Summary

Responses for Location A noted that bike detection does not work at the intersection, and the lack of left-turn signal confuses drivers on Cezanne Drive. Vehicles often turn into oncoming traffic in

the existing condition, which would be addressed by the identified plan. In addition, participants noted lack of curb extension at the southwest corner of the intersection in the identified plan, which is due to the vehicle turning requirements.

The addition of bike box on northbound Cezanne Drive was requested, though this cannot be marked as a bike box without a suitable bike lane from which to entire it. A longer and more explicit mixing zone at the north leg of Cezanne Drive to provide higher level of comfort for cyclists was requested and could be investigated further during future design development of improvements. Finally, implementation of Dutch protected intersection was noted as a desirable improvement requiring more significant geometric modification.

Location B: Fair Oaks Avenue \& Maude Avenue


## Feedback Summary

One respondent indicated general support for the improvements shown for location B, and further noted that signal timing coordination between intersections of Fair Oaks Avenue/Maude Avenue and Fair Oaks Avenue/Wolfe Road can help to facilitate the traffic flow during peak periods and reduce the number of vehicles stopping between intersections.

Location C: Wolfe Road \& Arques Avenue


Feedback Summary

One respondent noted that pedestrian accessibility could be improved to the west of Location C by addressing sidewalk gaps along Arques Avenue. This improvement may be considered as part of a future project.

Location D: Fair Oaks Avenue \& Olive Avenue


Feedback Summary

No public comments were recorded for this project location.

## Location E: Wolfe Road \& Kifer Road



## Feedback Summary

Responses for Location E inquired as to whether crosswalks would be "painted and visible" and suggested the addition of physical barriers in bike lane buffers. Crosswalks will be marked in accordance with City policy, and the bicycle facilities on Wolfe Road are envisioned to be investigated further as part of a future project.

Location F: Caribbean Drive \& Moffett Park Drive


Feedback Summary

No public comments were recorded for this project location.

Location G: Hollenbeck Avenue \& Danforth Drive


## Feedback Summary

No public comments were recorded for this project location.

Location H: Wolfe Road \& WB Central Expressway Ramps


Preliminary Concept Improvement Layouts - Detailed Engineering Design and Analysis Required.

Feedback Summary

No public comments were recorded for this project location.

Location I: Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue


Preliminary Concept Improvement Layouts - Detailed Engineering Design and Analysis Required.

Feedback Summary

One respondent for noted that queuing from the traffic signal at El Camino Real can reach the Olive Avenue intersection. Signal interconnect and traffic signal coordination would be pursued because of this concern.

Location J: Fremont Avenue \& Eleanor Way


Preliminary Concept Improvement Layouts - Detailed Engineering Design and Analysis Required.

## Feedback Summary

Responses for Location J felt that parking restriction near the intersection and pedestrian improvements are good ideas. One response requested addition of a continuous buffered bike lane on eastbound Fremont Avenue to Eleanor Way by narrowing down traffic lanes. Respondents also expressed their concerns about the lack of pedestrians directly at the intersection of Fremont Avenue and Eleanor Way. In addition, respondents requested the addition of a dedicated rightturn lane on westbound Fremont Avenue at Wolfe Road to separated bicyclists and drivers.

Each of the above improvements could be considered in the implementation of long-term solutions at this location, but are not suitable for implementation with the provided quick-build project.

Location K: Fair Oaks Avenue \& Taylor Avenue


## Feedback Summary

One respondent was strongly supportive of the parking reductions and geometric modifications to improve sight distance shown on Fair Oaks Avenue at Location K. The respondent requested that the red curb be extended further on Fair Oaks Avenue if possible, though notes concern with increased parking on Taylor Avenue as a result. The respondent also supported the addition of dedicated left-turn lanes on Fair Oaks Avenue.

## Location L: Bernardo Avenue \& Ayala Drive



Preliminary Concept Improvement Layouts - Detailed Engineering Design and Analysis Required.

## Feedback Summary

A comment received for Location $L$ requested the installation of protected bike lanes flush with the sidewalk along Bernardo Avenue. Potential bicycle facilities along the Bernardo Avenue corridor will be investigated as part of the ongoing 2020 Sunnyvale Active Transportation Plan.

Location M: Evelyn Avenue \& Murphy Avenue


Preliminary Concept Improvement Layouts - Detailed Engineering Design and Analysis Required.

## Feedback Summary

One commenter for Location M noted that the potential Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) is "really important for future transit riders."

Another respondent noted that raised pedestrian crosswalks may help to reduce vehicle speed; this would be subject to City guidelines for traffic calming devices. The respondent also suggested considering closure or modification of access to/from parking facilities on both sides of Evelyn Avenue between Murphy Avenue and Frances Street to address bicycle interactions. Finally, the respondent suggested restricting Murphy Avenue to right-in/right-out access at Evelyn Avenue to reduce pedestrian conflicts, particularly during the evening commute. Changes to access would require further study and evaluation as part of a separate project.

Location N: Evelyn Avenue \& Pastoria Avenue


## Feedback Summary

One respondent for Location N suggested addition of a protected bikeway and elimination of the mixing zone shown on Evelyn Avenue, allowing a Dutch protected intersection at Pastoria Avenue. Another respondent indicated the greatest concern for cyclists at this location is being able to identify turning vehicles as early as possible since cyclists are often also traveling at greater speeds along Evelyn Avenue. Additional bicycle facility improvements will be evaluated as part of the 2020 Sunnyvale Active Transportation Plan and potential future bikeway improvement.

Location O: Fremont Avenue - Sunnyvale Saratoga Road to Bobwhite Avenue/Manet Drive


## Feedback Summary

One response for Location O noted lack of safety countermeasures for high school students making left turns into the Fremont high school campus coming from northbound Sunnyvale Saratoga Road or eastbound Fremont Avenue. These improvements would fall beyond the limits of the identified project segment, but could be considered for inclusion in future improvement projects.

Another respondent indicated that the intersection of Fremont Avenue and Sunnyvale Saraotga Road is very busy with many students walking and biking, making it "almost impassible in the morning just before school starts and in [the] afternoon let out time." It was further suggested that the median on Fremont Avenue could be reduced and pilons could be added between the bike lane and vehicle lanes. The addition of delineators could potentially be included in some locations during design development.

Participants also expressed support for the identified protected intersection layout at Fremont Avenue and Sunnyvale Saratoga Road both verbally and in writing.

Location P: Arques Avenue - Wolfe Road to Lawrence Expressway


## Feedback Summary

No public comments were recorded for this project location.
Location Q: Sunnyvale Saratoga Road - El Camino Real to Fall River Terrace


Feedback Summary

Responses for Location Q indicated a desire for wider and potentially buffered bike lanes on both sides of Sunnyvale Saratoga Road if the roadway width allows. The existing bike facilities are relatively narrower and include the gutter pan. One respondent also noted that the shown project could make left turns more difficult, and that the nearby intersection of Sunnyvale Saratoga Road and Mathilda Avenue can be difficult for bicyclists to navigate.

Location R: Reed Avenue - Wolfe Road to Evelyn Avenue


Feedback Summary

Response for Location R requested separated/raised bikeways on Reed Avenue. Additionally, a need to more clearly indicate the correct direction of travel for bicyclists and restrict bicycling on sidewalks along Reed was identified.

Respondents expressed concerns about drainage issues at the southwest corner of Reed Avenue and Sequoia Drive due to flooding during rain events.

Location S: Sandia Avenue - Lawrence Expressway to Wildwood Avenue



## Feedback Summary

No public comments were recorded for this project location.

## Location T: Hollenbeck Avenue - Bend Drive to The Dalles Avenue



## Feedback Summary

Multiple responses for Location T requested marked bike lanes - preferably buffered - on Hollenbeck Avenue.

One respondent noted high traffic volumes on Hollenbeck Avenue near the south end of the project segment related to three nearby schools, resulting in turning issues at The Dalles Avenue and difficulty for residents exiting driveways. The respondent suggested restricting parking on both sides of Hollenbeck Avenue near The Dalles Avenue to allow through vehicles to pass vehicles waiting to turn. Multiple respondents suggested considering additional traffic control such as stop signs or signalization to address school peak traffic, as well as new marked crosswalks.

While parking restrictions to allow illegal passing of vehicles waiting to turn is typically not advisable, future design development could include investigation of turn lanes or traffic control modifications pending evaluation of traffic volumes and delays.

## OTHER FEEDBACK

One respondent commented that bicycle volumes are not utilized as part of the collision analysis for this study, resulting in many important routes not being considered for needed bike safety improvements. In other words, a very low bicycle volume due to lack of suitable comfortable facilities results in low collision rates for cyclists.

The Roadway Safety Plan, utilizing a systemic approach, does consider existing facilities as part of the analysis. However, identification of representative project locations is based upon a variety of factors, including suitability for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding applications which rely upon collision history as part of the scoring criteria. Additional evaluation of bicycle facilities is also being performed for the 2020 Sunnyvale Active Transportation Plan.

## E. PROJECT LOCATIONS COLLISION DATA



Table D2: Representative Project Locations Prioritized by Estimated B/C Ratio

| Loc | Project Segment / Intersection | Location Type | B/C Ratio | Crashes | Fatal | Severe Injury | Visible Injury | Complaint of Pain | PDO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Taylor Avenue | Unsignalized | 234.98 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| N | Evelyn Avenue and Pastoria Avenue | Unsignalized | 53.94 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| L | Bernardo Avenue \& Ayala Drive | Unsignalized | 42.37 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| A | Cezanne Drive \& El Camino Real | Signalized | 39.39 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 20 |
| T | Hollenbeck Drive from Bend to The Dalles | Residential Collector | 16.11 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 0 | Fremont Avenue from Sunnyvale Saratoga to Bobwhite / Manet | Class II Arterial | 14.69 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 14 | 49 |
| M | Evelyn Avenue \& Murphy Avenue | Unsignalized | 8.61 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| D | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Olive Avenue | Signalized | 8.37 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 14 |
| J | Fremont Avenue \& Eleanor Way | Unsignalized | 7.21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| P | Arques Avenue from Wolfe to Lawrence Expressway | Class II Arterial | 6.93 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 19 |
| E | Wolfe Road \& Kifer Road | Signalized | 6.26 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| C | Wolfe Road \& Arques Avenue | Signalized | 5.22 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 21 |
| B | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Maude Avenue | Signalized | 5.21 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 |
| I | Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue | Unsignalized | 5.06 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 |
| S | Sandia Avenue from Lawrence Expressway to Wildwood | Residential Collector | 4.30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 |
| G | Danforth Drive \& Hollenbeck Avenue | Signalized | 4.15 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Q | Sunnyvale Saratoga Road from El Camino Real to Fall River Terrace | Class II Arterial | 2.58 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| H | Wolfe Road and Central WB off-ramp | Signalized | 1.70 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| R | Reed Avenue from Wolfe to Evelyn | Residential Collector | 0.86 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 35 |
| F | Caribbean Drive \& Moffett Park Drive | Signalized | N/A | 16 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 |

Table D3: Representative Project Locations Prioritized by Number of Fatal \& Severe Injury Collisions

| Loc | Project Segment / Intersection | Location Type | B/C Ratio | Crashes | Fatal | Severe Injury | Total KSI | Visible Injury | Complaint of Pain | PDO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Fremont Avenue from Sunnyvale Saratoga to Bobwhite / Manet | Class II Arterial | 14.69 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 14 | 49 |
| A | Cezanne Drive \& El Camino Real | Signalized | 39.39 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 20 |
| P | Arques Avenue from Wolfe to Lawrence Expressway | Class II Arterial | 6.93 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 19 |
| C | Wolfe Road \& Arques Avenue | Signalized | 5.22 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 21 |
| L | Bernardo Avenue \& Ayala Drive | Unsignalized | 42.37 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| N | Evelyn Avenue and Pastoria Avenue | Unsignalized | 53.94 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue | Unsignalized | 5.06 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 |
| B | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Maude Avenue | Signalized | 5.21 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 15 |
| D | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Olive Avenue | Signalized | 8.37 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 14 |
| E | Wolfe Road \& Kifer Road | Signalized | 6.26 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| F | Caribbean Drive \& Moffett Park Drive | Signalized | N/A | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| K | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Taylor Avenue | Unsignalized | 234.98 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| T | Hollenbeck Drive from Bend to The Dalles | Residential Collector | 16.11 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| G | Danforth Drive \& Hollenbeck Avenue | Signalized | 4.15 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| R | Reed Avenue from Wolfe to Evelyn | Residential Collector | 0.86 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 35 |
| S | Sandia Avenue from Lawrence Expressway to Wildwood | Residential Collector | 4.30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 |
| M | Evelyn Avenue \& Murphy Avenue | Unsignalized | 8.61 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| H | Wolfe Road and Central WB off-ramp | Signalized | 1.70 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| J | Fremont Avenue \& Eleanor Way | Unsignalized | 7.21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Q | Sunnyvale Saratoga Road from El Camino Real to Fall River Terrace | Class II Arterial | 2.58 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |

Table D4: Representative Project Locations Prioritized by Total Number of Collisions

| Loc | Project Segment / Intersection | Location Type | B/C Ratio | Crashes | Fatal | Severe Injury | Visible Injury | Complaint of Pain | PDO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | Fremont Avenue from Sunnyvale Saratoga to Bobwhite / Manet | Class II Arterial | 14.69 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 14 | 49 |
| R | Reed Avenue from Wolfe to Evelyn | Residential Collector | 0.86 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 35 |
| A | Cezanne Drive \& El Camino Real | Signalized | 39.39 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 20 |
| P | Arques Avenue from Wolfe to Lawrence Expressway | Class II Arterial | 6.93 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 19 |
| C | Wolfe Road \& Arques Avenue | Signalized | 5.22 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 21 |
| S | Sandia Avenue from Lawrence Expressway to Wildwood | Residential Collector | 4.30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 |
| 1 | Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue | Unsignalized | 5.06 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 |
| B | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Maude Avenue | Signalized | 5.21 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 |
| D | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Olive Avenue | Signalized | 8.37 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 14 |
| E | Wolfe Road \& Kifer Road | Signalized | 6.26 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| L | Bernardo Avenue \& Ayala Drive | Unsignalized | 42.37 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| F | Caribbean Drive \& Moffett Park Drive | Signalized | N/A | 16 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| K | Fair Oaks Avenue \& Taylor Avenue | Unsignalized | 234.98 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| M | Evelyn Avenue \& Murphy Avenue | Unsignalized | 8.61 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| H | Wolfe Road and Central WB off-ramp | Signalized | 1.70 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| T | Hollenbeck Drive from Bend to The Dalles | Residential Collector | 16.11 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| J | Fremont Avenue \& Eleanor Way | Unsignalized | 7.21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| G | Danforth Drive \& Hollenbeck Avenue | Signalized | 4.15 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Q | Sunnyvale Saratoga Road from El Camino Real to Fall River Terrace | Class II Arterial | 2.58 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| N | Evelyn Avenue and Pastoria Avenue | Unsignalized | 53.94 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## F. HSIP BENEFIT/ COST WORKSHEETS

Note: Benefit/Cost analysis is not included for Location F - Caribbean Drive \& M offett Park Drive as the project is beyond the scope of HSIP grant funding.

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Cezanne Drive/El Camino Real, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters) $\qquad$
Project Description: Modify traffic signal. Delineate bicycle facilities with green paint and bicycle boxes. Add curb extension. (limited to 250 characters) Add northbound left-turn lane on Cezanne Drive. Provide directional curb ramps.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S06: Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before) |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |
| CM No. 3: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |

## 3. Project information

| Functional Classification (FC): Other Principal Arterial | For California Road System (CRS) <br> maps to check the FC, click here. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Urban / Rural Area: Urban |  |
| What is the approximate total cost percentage that is HR3 eligible? |  |

Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: | $\square$ |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S06: Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%
2. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
3. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\%$ <br> for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ <br> $(\mathrm{~S} 06)$ | $\%$ for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ (S21PB) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ (\mathrm{S} 02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Improve Signal | EA | 1 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 34 \% | 33 \% | 33 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 2 | New Striping | LF | 1,636 | \$1.00 | 1,636 | 50 \% | \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 3 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 147 | \$4.00 | 588 | 50 \% | \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 1,327 | \$7.00 | 9,289 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + + - + | 5 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 865 | \$4.00 | 3,460 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove Existing Pavement Marking | SF | 42 | \$10.00 | 420 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 194 | \$40.00 | 7,760 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 673 | \$10.00 | 6,730 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 8 | \$3500.00 | 28,000 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 433 | \$2.00 | 866 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramp | SF | 240 | \$2.00 | 480 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 12 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramp | SF | 173 | \$5.00 | 865 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$310,094 | 29\% | 29\% | 27\% | 15\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio


The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total
(\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$25,300 | 90 \% | \$22,770 | \$2,530 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$37,900 | 90 \% | \$34,110 | \$3,790 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$63,200 | 90 \% | \$56,880 | \$6,320 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | $90 \%$ | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | $90 \%$ | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$25,300 | 90 \% | \$22,770 | \$2,530 |
| Construction Items | \$372,200 <br> (Read only - from Section I) | $90 \%$ | \$334,980 | \$37,220 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$397,500 | 90 \% | \$357,750 | \$39,750 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$460,700 | 90 \% | \$414,630 | \$46,070 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).
Interactive Warning/Error Messages:
If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| + |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| - | $l$ | Cezanne Drive/El Camino Real |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S06: Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before) | S | 0.55 | 20 | All | 90\% |
| $\Varangle$ | 2 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
| $K$ | 3 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.

$$
\text { from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) = } 5
$$

2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cezanne Drive/El Camino <br> Real | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 39 |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 39 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&\&B)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> $(P \& \in)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cezanne Drive/El Camino <br> Real | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 10 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 1$ | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 (S06 <br> S2lPB S02) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: $1,2,6,10,20$ <br> Ped \& Bike: $1,2,2,5,0$ <br> Sum | $\$ 13,868,909$ | $\$ 2,505,727$ | $\$ 1,772,790$ | $\$ 18,147,426$ |
|  | $\$ 13,868,909$ | $\$ 2,505,727$ | $\$ 1,772,790$ | $\$ 18,147,426$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S06: Install left-turn lane and add turn phase (signal has no left-turn lane or phase before)
S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fair Oaks Avenue/Maude Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Modify traffic signal. Additional SB signal heads. APS \& LPI. Add curb extension on SE corner. Signal pole (limited to 250 characters) relocation on Fair Oaks Ave. Install advance limit line.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |
| CM No. 3: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |

## 3. Project information

| Functional Classification (FC): Other Principal Arterial | For California Road System (CRS) <br> maps to check the FC, click here. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Urban / Rural Area: Urban |  |
| What is the approximate total cost percentage that is HR3 eligible? |  |

Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
3. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S20PB) | $\%$ <br> for CM\#1 <br> (S21PB) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ (\mathrm{S} 02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Improve Signal | EA | 1 | \$75000.00 | 75,000 | 10 \% | 45 \% | 45 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Striping | LF | 675 | \$1.00 | 675 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 40 | \$4.00 | 160 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 81 | \$40.00 | 3,240 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 424 | \$10.00 | 4,240 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Curb Ramps | EA | 2 | \$3500.00 | 7,000 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 364 | \$2.00 | 728 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 71 | \$5.00 | 355 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramp | SF | 60 | \$2.00 | 120 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$91,518 | 14\% | 37\% | 37\% | 12\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0} \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description | Total Cost | HISP/Total (\%) | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental | \$11,000 | 100 \% | \$11,000 | \$0 |
| PS\&E | \$16,500 | 100 \% | \$16,500 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$27,500 | 100 \% | \$27,500 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$11,000 | 100 \% | \$11,000 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 109,900$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$109,900 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$120,900 | 100 \% | \$120,900 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$148,400 | 100 \% | \$148,400 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| +- | 1 | Fair Oaks Avenue/Maude Avenue |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) | S | 0.15 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | 2 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | 3 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain (ALL) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDO } \\ & \text { (ALL) } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| 1 | Fair Oaks Avenue/Maude Avenue | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 25 |
|  | Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Location (from Table III.l) | Fatal (P\&B) | Severe Injury (P\&B) | Other Visible Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain (P\&B) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PDO} \\ (\mathrm{P} \& \in \mathrm{~B}) \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| 1 | Fair Oaks Avenue/Maude Avenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM \#l | Benefit from CM $\not \# 2$ | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( S20PB S21PB S02) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 1,0,1,8,15 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,0,0,0,0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$773,701 | \$773,701 |
| Sum | \$0 | \$0 | \$773,701 | \$773,701 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)
S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Wolfe Road/Arques Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

## 

$\qquad$
Project Description: Modify traffic signal. New southbound signal mast arm. APS \& LPI. Add curb extension on northwest and (limited to 250 characters) southwest corners. Delineate bicycle facilities. Install advance limit lines.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | S09: Install raised pavement markers and striping (through intersection) |
| CM No. 3: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |

## 3. Project information

| Functional Classification (FC): Other Principal Arterial | For California Road System (CRS) <br> maps to check the FC, click here. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Urban / Rural Area: Urban |  |
| What is the approximate total cost percentage that is HR3 eligible? |  |

Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S09: Install raised pavement markers and striping (through intersection); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
3. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for } \mathrm{CM} \# 1 \\ (\mathrm{~S} 02) \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ <br> for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ <br> (S09) | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S21PB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Modify Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 175,000 | 175,000 | 70 \% | \% | 30 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 2 | New Striping | LF | 1,578 | \$1.00 | 1,578 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| + | 3 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 587 | \$3.50 | 2,055 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| $+$ | 4 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 390 | \$40.00 | 15,600 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + + + + | 5 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 1,995 | \$10.00 | 19,950 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 6 | \$3500.00 | 21,000 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 7 | New Concrete Curb | LF | 37 | \$25.00 | 925 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 1,815 | \$2.00 | 3,630 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + <br> + <br> + <br> + | 9 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 310 | \$5.00 | 1,550 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 10 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramp | SF | 180 | \$2.00 | 360 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$241,648 | 59\% |  | 22\% | 18\% | 2\% |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items: (e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## \$290,000

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0} \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISP/Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.

Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | 2 | S09: Install raised pavement markers and striping (through intersection) | S | 0.1 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | 3 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step l.

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wolfe Road/Arques Avenue | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 32 |
|  | Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 32 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&\&B)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wolfe Road/Arques Avenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( S02 S09 <br> S21PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,2,0,9,21 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,0,0,1,0 | \$1,180,847 | \$787,232 | \$74,267 | \$2,042,346 |
| Sum | \$1,180,847 | \$787,232 | \$74,267 | \$2,042,346 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S09: Install raised pavement markers and striping (through intersection)
S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fair Oaks Avenue/Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Modify traffic signal. APS \& LPI. Enhanced bike and pedestrian detection. Add curb extension to shorten (limited to 250 characters) crossing distance. Install advance limit lines.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| CM No. 3: | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: $100 \%$
3. S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (S21PB) } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ <br> for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ <br> $(\mathrm{~S} 02)$ | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S20PB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Modify Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 45 \% | 45 \% | 10 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Striping | LF | 791 | \$1.00 | 791 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 3 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 777 | \$3.50 | 2,720 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + <br> + <br> + <br> + <br> + | 4 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 368 | \$40.00 | 14,720 | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 1,550 | \$10.00 | 15,500 | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 8 | \$3500.00 | 28,000 | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 1,550 | \$2.00 | 3,100 | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 296 | \$5.00 | 1,480 | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramp | SF | 240 | \$2.00 | 480 | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 \% | \% | 25 \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$191,791 | 38\% | 29\% | 17\% | 16\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items: (e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):

(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)
\$230,200

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio = $100.0 \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISP/Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.

Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All", "Night" Ped \&\& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NS04 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CM s are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| +- | $l$ | Fair Oaks Avenue/Olive Avenue |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| l | S2lPB: Modify signal phasing to <br> implement a Leading Pedestrian <br> Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | $100 \%$ |  |
| SO2: Improve signal hardware: <br> lenses, back-plates with <br> retroreflective borders, mounting, <br> size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |  |
| 3 | S20PB: Install advance stop bar <br> before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) | S \& Bike | 0.15 | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike


## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( S21PB S02 S20PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,1,0,8,14 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,1,0,1,0 | \$1,499,800 | \$727,021 | \$374,950 | \$2,601,771 |
| Sum | \$1,499,800 | \$727,021 | \$374,950 | \$2,601,771 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Wolfe Road/Kifer Road, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Install quick-build protected intersection with modifications to traffic signals to improve visibility, add (limited to 250 characters) LPI, provide bike markings in intersection, add advance limit lines, provide new curb ramps where required.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| CM No. 3: | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: | $\square$ |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: $100 \%$
3. S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S21PB) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { for } \mathrm{CM} \# 1 \\ (\mathrm{~S} 02) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (S20PB) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+$ | 1 | Modify Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 30 \% | 70 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Striping | LF | 3,765 | \$1.00 | 3,765 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 140 | \$4.00 | 560 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Paint Pavement Marking | SF | 11,026 | \$3.00 | 33,078 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 5,074 | \$7.00 | 35,518 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 1,207 | \$3.50 | 4,225 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Remove Existing Pavement Marking | SF | 192 | \$10.00 | 1,920 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | New Post Sign | EA | 4 | \$270.00 | 1,080 | \% | \% | 100 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | New Concrete Curb | LF | 8 | \$25.00 | 200 | 20 \% | \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | New Roadway Pavement | SF | 65 | \$8.00 | 520 | 20 \% | \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 2 | \$3500.00 | 7,000 | 20 \% | \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 12 | Remove Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 65 | \$2.00 | 130 | 20 \% | \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 13 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 25 | \$5.00 | 125 | 20 \% | \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$288,121 | 21\% | 49\% | 28\% | 2\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISP/Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description(Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| + | 1 | Wolfe Road/Kifer Road |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | 2 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
| $y$ | 3 | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) | S | 0.15 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wolfe Road/Kifer Road | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 22 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 22 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&BB)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wolfe Road/Kifer Road | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 1$ | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 (S21PB <br> S02 S20PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,1,3,6,12 <br> Ped \& Bike: $0,1,1,2,0$ <br> Sum | $\$ 1,700,145$ | $\$ 798,571$ | $\$ 425,037$ | $\$ 2,923,753$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Hollenbeck Avenue/Danforth Drive, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Modify traffic signal to improve visibility of signal heads, add LPI, and enhance multimodal detection. (limited to 250 characters) Delineate bicycle facilities at intersection, add advance limit lines, and provide curb extensions to reduce crossing distance and turning speed

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ (\mathrm{S} 02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (S20PB) } \end{array}$ | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Modify Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 80 \% | 20 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Striping | LF | 976 | \$1.00 | 976 | \% | 100 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 155 | \$4.00 | 620 | \% | 100 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + <br> + <br> + <br> + | 4 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 915 | \$7.00 | 6,405 | \% | 100 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 671 | \$3.00 | 2,013 | \% | 100 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 268 | \$40.00 | 10,720 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 842 | \$10.00 | 8,420 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + <br> + | 8 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 8 | \$3500.00 | 28,000 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| - | 9 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 602 | \$2.00 | 1,204 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 224 | \$5.00 | 1,120 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramp | SF | 240 | \$2.00 | 480 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$159,958 | 50\% | 19\% |  | 31\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

## Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100} \mathbf{0} 0 \%$

The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description | Total Cost | HISP/Total (\%) | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental | \$19,200 | 100 \% | \$19,200 | \$0 |
| PS\&E | \$28,800 | 100 \% | \$28,800 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$48,000 | 100 \% | \$48,000 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$19,200 | 100 \% | \$19,200 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 192,000$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$192,000 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$211,200 | 100 \% | \$211,200 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$259,200 | 100 \% | \$259,200 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| + |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| - | $l$ | Hollenbeck Avenue/Danforth Avenue |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | So2: Improve signal hardware: <br> lenses, back-plates with <br> retroreflective borders, mounting, <br> size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |
| 2 | S20PB: Install advance stop bar <br> before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) | S | 0.15 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | $100 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

## Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hollenbeck Avenue/ <br> Danforth Avenue | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 10 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&B)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hollenbeck Avenue/ <br> Danforth Avenue | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 ( S02 S20PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,1,1,4,4 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,1,1,0,0 | \$632,731 | \$441,737 | \$0 | \$1,074,468 |
| Sum | \$632,731 | \$441,737 | \$0 | \$1,074,468 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:

Total Project Cost
HSIP Funds Requested


Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)
$\square$

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Wolfe Road/WB Central Expressway Ramps, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Modification to traffic signals to improve signal head visibility and placement. Install enhanced bicycle (limited to 250 characters) and crosswalk markings to improve visibility of active moves at intersection.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| CM No. 3: | S03: Improve signal timing (coordination, phases, red, yellow, or operation) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
3. S03: Improve signal timing (coordination, phases, red, yellow, or operation); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 50\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S20PB) | $\%$ <br> for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ <br> $(\mathrm{~S} 02)$ | $\%$ for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ (S03) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Modify Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | \$75000.00 | 75,000 | 15 \% | 65 \% | 20 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 2 | New Striping | LF | 1,446 | \$1.00 | 1,446 | 60 \% | \% | \% | 40 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 69 | \$4.00 | 276 | 50 \% | \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Paint Pavement Marking | SF | 1,070 | \$3.00 | 3,210 | 50 \% | \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 1,169 | \$7.00 | 8,183 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 6 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 101 | \$3.00 | 303 | 60 \% | \% | \% | 40 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%)Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$88,418 | 16\% | 55\% | 17\% | 12\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=50.0 \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$10,700 | 50 \% | \$5,350 | \$5,350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$16,000 | 50 \% | \$8,000 | \$8,000 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$26,700 | 50 \% | \$13,350 | \$13,350 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 50 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 50 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$10,700 | 50 \% | \$5,350 | \$5,350 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 106,200$ (Read only - from Section I) | 50 \% | \$53,100 | \$53,100 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$116,900 | 50 \% | \$58,450 | \$58,450 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$143,600 | 50 \% | \$71,800 | \$71,800 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| +- | $l$ | Wolfe Road/WB Central Expressway |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) | S | 0.15 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | 2 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | 3 | S03: Improve signal timing (coordination, phases, red, yellow, or operation) | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 50\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike


## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM \#1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) Number of location(s): 1 Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( S20PB S02 S03) Crash Data Information: Crash data period (years): 5 Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: All: 0,0,1,2,10 Ped \(\&\) Bike: \(0,0,0,0,0\)``` | \$0 | \$121,296 | \$121,296 | \$242,592 |
| Sum | \$0 | \$121,296 | \$121,296 | \$242,592 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S03: Improve signal timing (coordination, phases, red, yellow, or operation)

## Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:



## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Mary Avenue/Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Install new traffic signal . Provide green bicycle markings, bicycle boxes, new marked crosswalks and (limited to 250 characters) directional curb ramps.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


```
CM No. 1: NS03: Install signals
```


## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP?

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements?
Spot location(s)
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
Other

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?

Motorized users
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. NS03: Install signals; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS03) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Install Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Striping | LF | 1,641 | \$1.00 | 1,641 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| + | 3 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 1,503 | \$7.00 | 10,521 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| + | 4 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 100 | \$4.00 | 400 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| + | 5 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 776 | \$3.50 | 2,716 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove Existing Pavement Marking | SF | 144 | \$10.00 | 1,440 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
| + | 7 | New Curb ramps | EA | 8 | \$3500.00 | 28,000 | \% | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  |   <br> $\$ 544,718$ $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  | 8\% |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):

(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=100.0 \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISP/Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.

Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | NS03: Install signals | NS | 0.3 | 20 | All | $100 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| 1 | Mary/Olive | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 26 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 26 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#l | Benefit from CM <br> \#2 | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l |  |  |  |  |
| Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 (NS03) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: $0,1,3,7,15$ | $\$ 4,467,240$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 4,467,240$ |
| Sum | $\$ 4,467,240$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 4,467,240$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
NS03: Install signals

## Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:



## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fremont Avenue Btw Wolfe $\&$ ECR (Fremont \& Eleanor), Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)


Project Description: Enhanced pedestrian crossings, filling of sidewalk gap, additional delineation of traveled way, parking (limited to 250 characters) restrictions. Nonsignalized improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | NSO7: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | NSIl: Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: $100 \%$
2. NS11: Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).


* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

$\$ 12,700$

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{90.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

## Set

HISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$1,300 | 90 | \% | \$1,170 | \$130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$1,900 | 90 | \% | \$1,710 | \$190 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$3,200 | 90 | \% | \$2,880 | \$320 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 90 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 |  | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$1,300 | 90 | \% | \$1,170 | \$130 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 12,700$ <br> (Read only - from Section I) | 90 | \% | \$11,430 | \$1,270 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$14,000 | 90 | \% | \$12,600 | \$1,400 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$17,200 | 90 | \% | \$15,480 | \$1,720 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.

Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | NS (Non-signalized Intersections) |
| + | 1 | Fremont Avenue/ECR |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | NS07: Upgrade intersection <br> pavement markings (NS.I.) | NS | 0.25 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2 | NSll: Improve sight distance to <br> intersection (Clear Sight <br> Triangles) | NS | 0.2 | 10 | All | $90 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fremont Avenue/ECR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 10 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#l | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 (NS07 |  |  |  |  |
| NS11) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,1,1,8 | $\$ 146,489$ | $\$ 117,192$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 263,681$ |
| Sum | $\$ 146,489$ | $\$ 117,192$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 263,681$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

BCR and other key information:
Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)
NSIl: Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles)
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:

Total Project Cost


Total Expected Benefit

| $\$ 263,681$ |
| :---: |

HSIP Funds Requested


Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)
$\square$

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fremont Avenue Btw Wolfe $\&$ ECR (Fremont \& Eleanor), Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)


Project Description: Enhanced pedestrian crossings, filling of sidewalk gap, additional delineation of traveled way, parking (limited to 250 characters) restrictions. Roadway improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


[^11]
## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. R34PB: Install sidewalk/pathway (to avoid walking along roadway); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (R34PB) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 3,914 | \$10.00 | 39,140 | 100 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 477 | \$40.00 | 19,080 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$58,220 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )


Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## \$69,900

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio


The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total
(\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$7,000 | 90 \% | \$6,300 | \$700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$10,500 | 90 \% | \$9,450 | \$1,050 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$17,500 | 90 \% | \$15,750 | \$1,750 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$7,000 | 90 \% | \$6,300 | \$700 |
| Construction Items | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 69,900 \\ \text { (Read only }- \text { from Section I) } \end{gathered}$ | $90 \%$ | \$62,910 | \$6,990 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$76,900 | 90 \% | \$69,210 | \$7,690 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$94,400 | 90 \% | \$84,960 | \$9,440 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.


## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

| No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R34PB: Install sidewalk/pathway <br> (to avoid walking along roadway) | R | 0.8 | 20 | Ped \& Bike | $90 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&BB)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fremont Avenue Btw Wolfe <br> Road and ECR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#1 | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: R (Roadways) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 (R34PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> Ped \& Bike: $0,0,1,0,2$ | $\$ 540,480$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Sum | $\$ 540,480$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 540,480$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
R34PB: Install sidewalk/pathway (to avoid walking along roadway)
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fair Oaks Avenue/Taylor Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)


Project Description: Improve intersection distance by restricting parking and trimming vegetation. Adjust striping on Fair (limited to 250 characters) Oaks Avenue to provide left-turn lanes in both directions.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | NSIl: Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles) |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | NS18: Install left-turn lane (where no left-turn lane exists) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. NS11: Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%
2. NS18: Install left-turn lane (where no left-turn lane exists); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ (\text { NS11 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS18) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | \% for NS** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+$ | 1 | New Striping | LF | 3,000 | \$1.00 | 3,000 | 35 \% | 30 \% | \% | 35 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 200 | \$4.00 | 800 | 50 \% | 50 \% | \% | 0 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Paint Curb | LF | 400 | \$2.50 | 1,000 | 30 \% | 70 \% | \% | 0 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 1,000 | \$3.50 | 3,500 | 35 \% | 30 \% | \% | 35 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | Install New Signs | EA | 4 | \$1000.00 | 4,000 | 30 \% | 30 \% | \% | 40 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$12,300 | 34\% | 35\% |  | 32\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )


Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## \$14,800

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

## $\underline{\text { Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio }}=\underline{90.0 \%}$

The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

## Set

ISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$1,500 | 90 \% | \$1,350 | \$150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$2,300 | 90 \% | \$2,070 | \$230 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$3,800 | 90 \% | \$3,420 | \$380 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | $90 \%$ | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$1,500 | 90 \% | \$1,350 | \$150 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 14,800$ (Read only - from Section I) | $90 \%$ | \$13,320 | \$1,480 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$16,300 | 90 \% | \$14,670 | \$1,630 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$20,100 | 90 \% | \$18,090 | \$2,010 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | NS (Non-signalized Intersections) |
| +- | $l$ | Fair Oaks Avenue/Taylor Avenue |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ( | Nsli: Improve sight distance to <br> intersection (Clear Sight <br> Triangles) | NS | 0.2 | 10 | All | $90 \%$ |  |
| 2 | NSI8: Install left-turn lane (where <br> no left-turn lane exists) | NS | 0.35 | 20 | All | $90 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.

$$
\text { from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) = } 5
$$

2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fair Oaks Avenue/Taylor <br> Avenue | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 15 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 15 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#l | Benefit from CM <br> \#2 | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 (NSll |  |  |  |  |
| NS18) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,1,1,0,13 | $\$ 993,234$ | $\$ 3,729,799$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 4,723,033$ |
| Sum | $\$ 993,234$ | $\$ 3,729,799$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 4,723,033$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

BCR and other key information:
Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
NSIl: Improve sight distance to intersection (Clear Sight Triangles)
NS18: Install left-turn lane (where no left-turn lane exists)
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Bernardo Avenue/Ayala Drive, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Install all-way stop protected intersection with high-visibility crosswalks, advance limit lines, and in(limited to 250 characters) intersection pavement markings for bicycles.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


[^12]
## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS07) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 3,000 | \$1.00 | 3,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 500 | \$4.00 | 2,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 3 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 3,500 | \$7.00 | 24,500 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 4 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 1,500 | \$3.00 | 4,500 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 550 | \$3.50 | 1,925 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove Existing Pavement Marking | SF | 110 | \$10.00 | 1,100 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 7 | New Signs | EA | 4 | \$1000.00 | 4,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$41,025 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):

(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)
\$49,300

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=100.0 \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description | Total Cost | HISP/Total (\%) | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental | \$5,000 | 100 \% | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| PS\&E | \$7,400 | 100 \% | \$7,400 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$12,400 | 100 \% | \$12,400 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$5,000 | 100 \% | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 49,300$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$49,300 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$54,300 | 100 \% | \$54,300 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$66,700 | 100 \% | \$66,700 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | NS (Non-signalized Intersections) |
| +- | 1 | Bernardo Avenue/Ayala Drive |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

| No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | NS07: Upgrade intersection <br> pavement markings (NS.I.) | NS | 0.25 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: $\underline{\text { ALL }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |  |
| 1 | Bernardo Avenue/Ayala <br> Drive | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 17 |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 17 |  |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM *2 | Benefit from CM * 3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 ( NS07) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,2,2,2,11 | \$2,826,350 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,826,350 |
| Sum | \$2,826,350 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,826,350 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

## Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:



## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Evelyn Avenue/Murphy Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters) $\qquad$
Project Description: Extend median to provide pedestrian refuge, update crossing control to RRFB, install advance yield (limited to 250 characters) markings, trim vegetation and improve intersection lighting for nighttime visibility.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | NSO7: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | NS19PB: Install raised medians / refuge islands (NS.I.) |
| CM No. 3: | NS22PB: Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. NS19PB: Install raised medians / refuge islands (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%
3. NS22PB: Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS07) } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ <br> for CM\#1 <br> (NS19PB) | $\%$ <br> for CM\#1 <br> (NS22PB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 400 | \$7.00 | 2,800 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Striping | LF | 100 | \$1.00 | 100 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Pavement marking | SF | 100 | \$4.00 | 400 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 210 | \$10.00 | 2,100 | 0 \% | 100 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Concrete Curb | LF | 85 | \$30.00 | 2,550 | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 185 | \$2.00 | 370 | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Install New Tactile Dome Panel | EA | 2 | \$1000.00 | 2,000 | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | Install New RRFB Treatment | EA | 1 | \$35000.00 | 35,000 | 0 \% | 0 \% | 100 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | Install New R10-15 Signs | EA | 2 | \$1000.00 | 2,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | Enhance Intersection Lighting | LS | 1 | \$50000.00 | 50,000 | 15 \% | 15 \% | 15 \% | 55 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$97,320 | 13\% | 15\% | 44\% | 28\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost $\%$ for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $\equiv \underline{90.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

## Set

HISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$11,700 | 90 \% | \$10,530 | \$1,170 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$17,600 | 90 \% | \$15,840 | \$1,760 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$29,300 | 90 \% | \$26,370 | \$2,930 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$11,700 | 90 \% | \$10,530 | \$1,170 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 116,800$ (Read only - from Section I) | 90 \% | \$105,120 | \$11,680 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$128,500 | 90 \% | \$115,650 | \$12,850 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$157,800 | 90 \% | \$142,020 | \$15,780 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.

Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| + |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | NS07: Upgrade intersection <br> (avement markings (NS.I.) | NS | 0.25 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2 | NS19PB: Install raised medians / <br> refuge islands (NS.I.) | NS | 0.45 | 20 | Ped \& Bike | $90 \%$ |  |
|  | NS22PB: Install Rectangular <br> Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) | NS | 0.35 | 20 | Ped \& Bike | $100 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1.

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Evelyn Avenue/Murphy <br> Avenue | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 14 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 14 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&B)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Evelyn Avenue/Murphy <br> Avenue | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( NS07 NS19PB NS22PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,3,2,9 <br> Ped \& Bike: $0,0,2,2,0$ | \$354,200 | \$564,662 | \$439,181 | \$1,358,043 |
| Sum | \$354,200 | \$564,662 | \$439,181 | \$1,358,043 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)
NS19PB: Install raised medians / refuge islands (NS.I.)
NS22PB: Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)

## Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:



## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Evelyn Avenue/PastoriaAvenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Provide buffered bike lane to enhance delineation and spacing, add green conflict zone markings, install (limited to 250 characters) curb extensions to reduce pedestrian exposure and turning speeds.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


[^13]
## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS07) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 700 | \$1.00 | 700 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 50 | \$4.00 | 200 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 500 | \$7.00 | 3,500 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 150 | \$3.50 | 525 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | Remove Existing Pavement Marking | SF | 20 | \$10.00 | 200 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 700 | \$10.00 | 7,000 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 150 | \$40.00 | 6,000 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | New Curb Ramps | EA | 2 | \$3500.00 | 7,000 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 650 | \$2.00 | 1,300 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | Remove Concrete Curb Ramps | SF | 60 | \$2.00 | 120 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 110 | \$5.00 | 550 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 12 | New Signs | EA | 3 | \$1000.00 | 3,000 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$30,095 | 17\% |  |  | 83\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items: (e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=100.0 \%$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HSP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$3,700 | 100 \% | \$3,700 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$5,500 | 100 \% | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$9,200 | 100 \% | \$9,200 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$3,700 | 100 \% | \$3,700 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 36,200$ <br> (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$36,200 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$39,900 | 100 \% | \$39,900 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$49,100 | 100 \% | \$49,100 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

1. The HSIP amount for PE exceeds $25 \%$ of the HSIP amount for Construction Items.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| + |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | NSOT: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.) | NS | 0.25 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ${ }^{\text {ALL }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury (ALL) | Other Visible Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain (ALL) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDO } \\ & \text { (ALL) } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| 1 | Evelyn Avenue/Pastoria Avenue | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  | Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM $\not \approx 2$ | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 ( NS07) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 1,1,1,1,1 | \$2,648,250 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,648,250 |
| Sum | \$2,648,250 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,648,250 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

## Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:



## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fremont Avenue Btw Sunnyvale Saratoga Road \& Bobwhite/Manet, Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters) (Fremont \& Bobwhite/Manet)

Project Description: Intersection improvement at Bobwhite/Manet, including addition of protected left turns and LPI, striping (limited to 250 characters) improvements, and bicycle lane enhancements.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S07: Provide protected left turn phase (left turn lane already exists) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| CM No. 3: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S07: Provide protected left turn phase (left turn lane already exists); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: $100 \%$
3. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\%$ for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ $(\mathrm{~S} 07)$ | $\%$ <br> for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ <br> $(\mathrm{~S} 02)$ | $\%$ <br> $\%$ <br> for CM\#1 <br> (S21PB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 2,200 | \$1.00 | 2,200 | 50 \% | \% | 20 \% | 30 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | Signal Mod at Manet/Bobwhite | Ea | 1 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 60 \% | 20 \% | 20 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 900 | \$7.00 | 6,300 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 120 | \$4.00 | 480 | 80 \% | \% | 10 \% | 10 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 1,300 | \$3.50 | 4,550 | 80 \% | \% | 20 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove Existing Pavement marking | SF | 105 | \$10.00 | 1,050 | 80 \% | \% | 20 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | New Post Sign | Ea | 4 | \$270.00 | 1,080 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 100 | \$10.00 | 1,000 | \% | \% | 30 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 100 | \$40.00 | 4,000 | \% | \% | 30 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | New Curb Ramps | Ea | 4 | \$3500.00 | 14,000 | \% | 10 \% | 20 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | New Roadway Paving | SF | 250 | \$8.00 | 2,000 | \% | 10 \% | 20 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 12 | Remove Existing Curb Ramp/ Sidewalk | SF | 350 | \$2.00 | 700 | \% | 10 \% | 20 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 13 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 100 | \$5.00 | 500 | \% | 10 \% | 20 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 14 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 60 | \$2.00 | 120 | \% | 10 \% | 20 \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$287,980 | 54\% | 18\% | 20\% | 8\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )



## \$57,596

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISP/Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| +- | $l$ | Fremont at Manet |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S07: Provide protected left turn phase (left turn lane already exists) | S | 0.3 | 20 | All | 100\% |
| $\searrow$ | 2 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
| $X$ | 3 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.

$$
\text { from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) = } 5
$$

2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fremont at Manet | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 17 |
|  | Total | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 17 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&B)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fremont at Manet | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Total | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( S07 S02 <br> S21PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 1,1,6,3,6 <br> Ped \& Bike: 1,1,3,0,0 | \$4,966,183 | \$1,176,202 | \$2,575,327 | \$8,717,712 |
| Sum | \$4,966,183 | \$1,176,202 | \$2,575,327 | \$8,717,712 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S07: Provide protected left turn phase (left turn lane already exists)
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Fremont Avenue Btw Sunnyvale Saratoga Road \& Bobwhite/Manet, Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters) (Fremont \& Sunnyvale Saratoga)

Project Description: Intersection improvement at Bobwhite/Manet, including addition of additional signal heads, LPI, and (limited to 250 characters) striping improvements for quick-build protected intersection..

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
|  |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (S02) } \end{array}$ | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S21PB) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 3,251 | \$0.75 | 2,438 | \% | 50 \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | Signal Mod at Sunnyvale Saratoga | EA | 1 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 50 \% | 50 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 3 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 2,956 | \$7.00 | 20,692 | \% | \% | \% | 100 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 212 | \$4.00 | 848 | \% | 20 \% | \% | 80 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Paint Pavement Marking | SF | 520 | \$3.00 | 1,560 | \% | 50 \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 6 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 769 | \$3.50 | 2,692 | \% | 50 \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Remove Existing Pavement Marking | SF | 100 | \$10.00 | 1,000 | \% | 50 \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 60 | \$10.00 | 600 | \% | 30 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 9 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 49 | \$40.00 | 1,960 | \% | 30 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | New Curb Ramps | Ea | 2 | \$3500.00 | 7,000 | 10 \% | 20 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | New Roadway Paving | SF | 247 | \$8.00 | 1,976 | 10 \% | 20 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 12 | Remove Existing Curb Ramp/ Sidewalk | SF | 207 | \$2.00 | 414 | 10 \% | 20 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 13 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 42 | \$5.00 | 210 | 10 \% | 20 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 14 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 40 | \$2.00 | 80 | 10 \% | 20 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$141,470 | 36\% | 40\% |  | 24\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

\$28,294
Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description | Total Cost | HISP/Total (\%) | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental | \$17,000 | 100 \% | \$17,000 | \$0 |
| PS\&E | \$25,500 | 100 \% | \$25,500 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$42,500 | 100 \% | \$42,500 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$17,000 | 100 \% | \$17,000 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 169,800$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$169,800 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$186,800 | 100 \% | \$186,800 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$229,300 | 100 \% | \$229,300 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| ++ | 1 | Fremont at Sunnyvale Saratoga |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\searrow$ | 1 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | 2 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fremont at Sunnyvale <br> Saratoga | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 38 | 54 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 38 | 54 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&EB)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fremont at Sunnyvale <br> Saratoga | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM $\not \approx 2$ | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) Number of location(s): 1 Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 ( S02 S2lPB) Crash Data Information: Crash data period (years): 5 Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: All: 0,0,7,9,38 Ped & Bike: 0,0,5,1,2``` | \$668,880 | \$835,380 | \$0 | \$1,504,260 |
| Sum | \$668,880 | \$835,380 | \$0 | \$1,504,260 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:

Total Project Cost


Total Expected Benefit

$\square$
$\square$
Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

Max. FRR
$\square$
100\%

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Arques Avenue btw Wolfe and Lawrence, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)
)

Project Description: Install a raised median with restricted turn openings/access control along corridor. Modify traffic signals (limited to 250 characters) to improve visibility of heads and pedestrian accommodations. Signalized Improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).


* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

## Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $\equiv \underline{100.0 \%}$

The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set": Set

| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISP/Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | HSIP Funds | Local/Other Funds

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| + | 1 | Signalized Intersections |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\searrow$ | 1 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | 2 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Signalized Intersections | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 16 | 23 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 16 | 23 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&B)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Signalized Intersections | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM *3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 ( S02 <br> S21PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,5,2,16 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,0,4,1,0 | \$325,831 | \$663,102 | \$0 | \$988,933 |
| Sum | \$325,831 | \$663,102 | \$0 | \$988,933 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:

Total Project Cost
HSIP Funds Requested


Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)
$\square$

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Arques Avenue btw Wolfe and Lawrence, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)
)

Project Description: Install a raised median with restricted turn openings/access control along corridor. Modify traffic signals (limited to 250 characters) to improve visibility of heads and pedestrian accommodations. Roadway improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


CM No. 1: R08: Install raised median

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. R08: Install raised median; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).


* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items: (e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{90.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total
(\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$35,400 | 90 \% | \$31,860 | \$3,540 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$53,100 | 90 \% | \$47,790 | \$5,310 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$88,500 | 90 \% | \$79,650 | \$8,850 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$35,400 | 90 \% | \$31,860 | \$3,540 |
| Construction Items | $\begin{gathered} \$ 354,000 \\ \text { (Read only - from Section I) } \end{gathered}$ | $90 \%$ | \$318,600 | \$35,400 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$389,400 | 90 \% | \$350,460 | \$38,940 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$477,900 | 90 \% | \$430,110 | \$47,790 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | R (Roadways) |
| + | 1 | Arques Roadway Segment |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R08: Install raised median | R | 0.25 | 20 | All | $90 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| 1 | Arques Roadway Segment | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 12 |
|  | Total | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 12 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#1 | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: R (Roadways) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 (R08) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,2,2,5,3 | $\$ 5,109,000$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Sum | $\$ 5,109,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,109,000$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
R08: Install raised median
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.
Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road Between ECR and M athilda A venue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Construct new median with left-turn openings to control access, install new midblock crossing. (limited to 250 characters)
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


CM No. 1: R08: Install raised median

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. R08: Install raised median; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ (\mathrm{R} 08) \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 470 | \$1.00 | 470 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 300 | \$4.00 | 1,200 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 3 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 420 | \$3.50 | 1,470 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Concrete Median | SF | 4,225 | \$10.00 | 42,250 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + <br> + <br> - <br> + | 5 | New Concrete Curb | LF | 1,505 | \$25.00 | 37,625 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 2 | \$3500.00 | 7,000 | 25 \% | \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$90,015 | 94\% |  |  | 6\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio


The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

## Set

HISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$10,800 | $90 \%$ | \$9,720 | \$1,080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$16,300 | 90 \% | \$14,670 | \$1,630 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$27,100 | 90 \% | \$24,390 | \$2,710 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$10,800 | 90 \% | \$9,720 | \$1,080 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 108,100$ (Read only - from Section I) | $90 \%$ | \$97,290 | \$10,810 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$118,900 | 90 \% | \$107,010 | \$11,890 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$146,000 | $90 \%$ | \$131,400 | \$14,600 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | R (Roadways) |
| +- | 1 | Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road Btw ECR and M athilda A venue |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R08: Install raised median | R | 0.25 | 20 | All | $90 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| Sunnyvale Saratoga Road <br> Btw ECR and M athilda <br> Avenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#1 | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: R (Roadways) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 (R08) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,0,4,4 | $\$ 376,800$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Sum | $\$ 376,800$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 376,800$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
R08: Install raised median
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Reed Avenue between Wolfe Road and Evelyn Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA
(limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Lane reduction on eastbound Reed Avenue, signal modifications to improve visibility and improve (limited to 250 characters) pedestrian accommodations, new curb extensions.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) |
| CM No. 3: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: | $\square$ |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 3

1. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
3. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\%$ <br> for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ <br> $(\mathrm{~S} 02)$ | $\%$ for $\mathrm{CM} \# 1$ (S20PB) | $\%$ for CM\#1 (S21PB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Modify Wolfe Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 80 \% | 0 \% | 20 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | Modify Sequoia Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 80 \% | \% | 20 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $\underline{+}$ | 3 | Modify Evelyn Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 80 \% | \% | 20 \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Striping | LF | 26,500 | \$0.75 | 19,875 | \% | 10 \% | \% | 90 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Green Bike Lane | SF | 4,400 | \$7.00 | 30,800 | \% | 10 \% | \% | 90 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 900 | \$4.00 | 3,600 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 13,500 | \$3.50 | 47,250 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 8 | Remove Existing Pavemnet marking | SF | 400 | \$10.00 | 4,000 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 9 | New Concrete Sidewalk | SF | 9,000 | \$10.00 | 90,000 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 10 | New Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 800 | \$40.00 | 32,000 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 11 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 16 | \$3500.00 | 56,000 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 12 | New Paint Pavement Marking | SF | 300 | \$3.00 | 900 | \% | 75 \% | \% | 25 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 13 | Remove AC Pavement | SF | 6,855 | \$2.00 | 13,710 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 14 | Remove Concrete Curb ramp | SF | 450 | \$2.00 | 900 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 15 | Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter | LF | 400 | \$5.00 | 2,000 | \% | 25 \% | \% | 75 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Average (\%) } \\ \text { Total (\$) } \end{array}$ | \$901,035 | 53\% | 8\% | 13\% | 26\% |  |

[^14]** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items $\&$ Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total
(\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$108,200 | 97 \% | \$104,954 | \$3,246 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$162,200 | 97 \% | \$157,334 | \$4,866 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$270,400 | 97 \% | \$262,288 | \$8,112 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 97 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 97 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$108,200 | 97 \% | \$104,954 | \$3,246 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 1,081,300$ (Read only - from Section I) | 97 \% | \$1,048,861 | \$32,439 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$1,189,500 | 97 \% | \$1,153,815 | \$35,685 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$1,459,900 | 97 \% | \$1,416,103 | \$43,797 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
Crossroads
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | S (Signalized Intersections) |
| +- | 1 | Signalized Intersection at Wolfe, Sequoia, Evelyn |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
| $1$ | 2 | S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) | S | 0.15 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | 3 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY): 06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike


## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM \#2 | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 3 ( S02 S20PB S21PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,6,15,35 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,0,2,2,0 | \$759,841 | \$100,173 | \$400,689 | \$1,260,703 |
| Sum | \$759,841 | \$100,173 | \$400,689 | \$1,260,703 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost" , "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 3
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S20PB: Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box) S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Sandia Avenue between Lawrence Expressway and Wildwood Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: (limited to 250 characters)

Addition of median at Blazingwood Drive to restrict left turns, edgelines with reflectors on roadway segments, curb extensions and pedestrian improvements at intersections. Nonsignalized improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | NS15: Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u-turns (NS.I.) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | NSO7: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. NS15: Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u-turns (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 90\%
2. NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS15) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS07) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 1,000 | \$1.00 | 1,000 | 30 \% | 70 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + <br> + <br> + <br> + | 2 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 200 | \$4.00 | 800 | \% | 100 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Concrete Curb | LF | 600 | \$25.00 | 15,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Concrete Median | SF | 2,000 | \$10.00 | 20,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 200 | \$4.00 | 800 | \% | 100 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 6 | Install New Regulatory Sign | EA | 6 | \$1000.00 | 6,000 | 20 \% | 20 \% | \% | 60 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$43,600 | 84\% | 8\% |  | 8\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

## Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{90.0 \%}$

The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total
(\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$5,300 | 90 \% | \$4,770 | \$530 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$7,900 | 90 \% | \$7,110 | \$790 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$13,200 | 90 \% | \$11,880 | \$1,320 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 90 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$5,300 | 90 \% | \$4,770 | \$530 |
| Construction Items | $\begin{gathered} \$ 52,400 \\ \text { (Read only }- \text { from Section I) } \end{gathered}$ | 90 \% | \$47,160 | \$5,240 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$57,700 | 90 \% | \$51,930 | \$5,770 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$70,900 | 90 \% | \$63,810 | \$7,090 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| + |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CM } \\ & \text { Type* } \end{aligned}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M$ | 1 | NS15: Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u-turns (NS.I.) | NS | 0.5 | 20 | All | 90\% |
|  | 2 | NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.) | NS | 0.25 | 10 | All | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.

$$
\text { from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) }=5
$$

2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sandia/Blazingwood <br> Intersection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 16 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 16 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#l | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 3$ | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 (NS15 |  |  |  |  |
| NS07) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,0,7,9 | $\$ 1,257,667$ | $\$ 285,834$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,543,501$ |
| Sum | $\$ 1,257,667$ | $\$ 285,834$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,543,501$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

BCR and other key information:
Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
NS15: Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and u-turns (NS.I.)
NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:

Total Project Cost


Total Expected Benefit


HSIP Funds Requested


Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)
$\square$

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Sandia Avenue between Lawrence Expressway and Wildwood Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: (limited to 250 characters)

Addition of median at Blazingwood Drive to restrict left turns, edgelines with reflectors on roadway segments, curb extensions and pedestrian improvements at intersections. Nonsignalized improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


[^15]
## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ \text { (NS07) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 2,500 | \$1.00 | 2,500 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Pavement Marking | SF | 200 | \$4.00 | 800 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Concrete Curb | LF | 1,400 | \$25.00 | 35,000 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 4 | New Concrete Median | SF | 7,500 | \$10.00 | 75,000 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 5 | New Curb Ramp | EA | 16 | \$3500.00 | 56,000 | 30 \% | \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 6 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 100 | \$4.00 | 400 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 7 | Install New Regulatory Sign | EA | 14 | \$1000.00 | 14,000 | 70 \% | \% | \% | 30 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  |   <br> $\$ 183,700$ $34 \%$ |  |  |  | 66\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)


## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total
(\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$22,100 | 90 \% | \$19,890 | \$2,210 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$33,100 | 90 \% | \$29,790 | \$3,310 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$55,200 | 90 \% | \$49,680 | \$5,520 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$22,100 | 90 \% | \$19,890 | \$2,210 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 220,500$ <br> (Read only - from Section I) | 90 \% | \$198,450 | \$22,050 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$242,600 | 90 \% | \$218,340 | \$24,260 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$297,800 | 90 \% | \$268,020 | \$29,780 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | NS (Non-signalized Intersections) |
| +- | $l$ | Other Sandia Intersections |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | NS07: Upgrade intersection <br> pavement markings (NS.I.) | NS | 0.25 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |  |
| 1 | Other Sandia Intersections | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |  |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#1 | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: NS (Non-signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 (NS07) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: | $\$ 59,850$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| $\quad$All: $0,0,0,0,9$ |  |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 59,850$ |
| Sum | $\$ 59,850$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 59,850$ |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 1
NS07: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

## Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:



## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Sandia Avenue between Lawrence Expressway and Wildwood Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Addition of median at Blazingwood Drive to restrict left turns, edgelines with reflectors on roadway (limited to 250 characters) segments, curb extensions and pedestrian improvements at intersections. Roadway improvements.

## 2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): <br> Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


CM No. 1: R28: Install edge-lines and centerlines

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. R28: Install edge-lines and centerlines; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).


* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items: (e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )

Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$600 | 100 \% | \$600 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$800 | 100 \% | \$800 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$1,400 | 100 \% | \$1,400 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$600 | 100 \% | \$600 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 5,400$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$5,400 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$6,000 | 100 \% | \$6,000 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$7,400 | 100 \% | \$7,400 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br> (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | R (Roadways) |
| + | 1 | Sandia Roadway |  |
| - |  |  |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* $^{*}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R28: Install edge-lines and <br> centerlines | R | 0.25 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step 1, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

| Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.1) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| 1 | Sandia Roadway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM $\not \approx 2$ | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: R (Roadways) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 ( R28) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,0,0,2 | \$13,300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,300 |
| Sum | \$13,300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,300 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

## Number of countermeasures: 1

R28: Install edge-lines and centerlines
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Hollenbeck Avenue between Bend Drive and The Dalles Avenue Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: (limited to 250 characters)

Addition of edgelines with reflectors on roadway segments, modifications to traffic signal to improve signal head visibility and improve pedestrian accommodations. Signalized improvements at Danforth.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides): Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


| CM No. 1: | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number |
| :--- | :--- |
| CM No. 2: | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) |

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 2

1. S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%
2. S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI); HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for } \mathrm{CM} \# 1 \\ (\mathrm{~S} 02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for } \mathrm{CM} \# 1 \\ \text { (S21PB) } \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | Modify Traffic Signal | EA | 1 | \$75000.00 | 75,000 | 50 \% | 50 \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | Remove Existing Striping | LF | 400 | \$4.00 | 1,600 | \% | 30 \% | \% | 70 \% | 0 \% |
| + | 3 | New Striping | LF | 1,500 | \$1.00 | 1,500 | \% | 50 \% | \% | 50 \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$78,100 | 48\% | 50\% |  | 2\% |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost \% for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )


Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## \$93,800

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

## Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$

The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
ISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$9,400 | 100 \% | \$9,400 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$14,100 | 100 \% | \$14,100 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$23,500 | 100 \% | \$23,500 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$9,400 | 100 \% | \$9,400 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 93,800$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$93,800 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$103,200 | 100 \% | \$103,200 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$126,700 | 100 \% | \$126,700 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| + |  | (Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| - | $l$ | Hollenbeck Avenue/Cascade Drive Intersection |  |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

## Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page l)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { CM } \\ \text { Type* } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding Eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\searrow$ | 1 | S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number | S | 0.15 | 10 | All | 100\% |
| $\Sigma$ | 2 | S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) | S | 0.6 | 10 | Ped \& Bike | 100\% |
|  | *CM Type: S-Signalized Intersection; NS-Non-Signalized Intersection; R-Roadway. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All (2) Ped \& Bike

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: ALL

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hollenbeck Avenue/Cascade <br> Drive Intersection | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: Pedestrians and Bicyclists Involved (P\&EB)

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> $(P \& B)$ | Severe Injury <br> $(P \& B)$ | Other Visible <br> Injury (P\&B) | Complaint of Pain <br> $(P \& B)$ | PDO <br> $(P \& B)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hollenbeck Avenue/Cascade <br> Drive Intersection | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM *1 | Benefit from CM $\not \approx 2$ | Benefit from CM \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: S (Signalized Intersections) <br> Number of location(s): 1 <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 2 ( S02 <br> S21PB) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,1,0,2,2 <br> Ped \& Bike: 0,1,0,0,0 | \$533,521 | \$1,621,800 | \$0 | \$2,155,321 |
| Sum | \$533,521 | \$1,621,800 | \$0 | \$2,155,321 |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

Number of countermeasures: 2
S02: Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number S21PB: Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:

Total Project Cost


Total Expected Benefit
$\square$

## HSIP ANALYZER

## Cost Estimate, Crash Data and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) Calculation for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Application

Important: Review and follow the step-by-step instructions in "Manual for HSIP Analyzer". Completing the HSIP Analyzer without referencing to the manual may result in an application with fatal flaws that will be disqualified from the ranking and selection process.
All yellow highlighted fields must be filled in. The gray fields are calculated and read-only. This is a dynamic form (i.e. later steps vary depending on the data entered in earlier steps). If any error messages in red appear, fix the errors prior to proceeding to the next steps.

Save this file using "HA" + Application ID as the file name (e.g. "HA03-Sacramento-0l.pdf"). Attach the completed HSIP Analyzer to the last page of the HSIP Application Form.

1. Application ID, Project Location and Project Description (copy from the HSIP Application Form):

Application ID: $\square$
Project Location: Hollenbeck Avenue between Bend Drive and The Dalles Avenue Sunnyvale, CA (limited to 250 characters)

Project Description: Addition of edgelines with reflectors on roadway segments, modifications to traffic signal to improve (limited to 250 characters) signal head visibility and improve pedestrian accommodations. Roadway improvements.
2. Application Category (BCR or Set-asides):

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)

A safety benefit cost analysis is required for this application. This tool will guide through cost estimate, safety benefit evaluation and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) calculation.


CM No. 1: R28: Install edge-lines and centerlines

## 3. Project information



Annual Average Daily Traffic (see instructions):
AADT (Major Road) $\square$ AADT (Minor Road) $\square$ Year of AADT $\square$

Posted Speed Limit (mph): $\square$

Which of the California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Challenge Areas does the project address primarily? (For more information on the SHSP and its Challenge Areas, click here.)
$\square$

How were the safety needs and potential countermeasures for this project first identified?
$\square$

California established Systemic Safety Analysis Report Program (SSARP) in 2016 and Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) Program in 2019. Was this project identified through the SSARP or LRSP? $\square$

Is the project focused primarily on "spot location(s)" or "systemic" improvements? $\square$
If it is systemic, the primary type of the "systemic" improvements is:
$\square$

What is the primary mode of travel intended to be benefited by this project (enter if not in the list)?
$\square$
Approximate percentage of project cost going to improvements related to motorized travel $\square$

## 4. Project schedule

The local agency is expected to deliver the project per the HSIP Program Delivery requirements. Assuming the HSIP Cycle 10 projects selected for funding will be programmed by January 1, 2021, please enter your best estimated dates for the following implementation milestones. Leave blank if not applicable.

Will this project use HSIP funds for Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase?

Will an external consultant be hired to do the PE work?


After both of the above two questions are answered, the delivery requirements of this project (if selected for funding) will be displayed here.

| PE Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Environmental Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Right of Way Clearance Date: | $\square$ |
| Final PS\&E Date: | $\square$ |
| CON Authorization Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Contract Award Date: | $\square$ |
| Construction Completion Date: |  |
| Project Close-Out Date: |  |

## Section I. Construction Cost Estimate and Cost Breakdown

The purpose of this section is to:

- Provide detailed engineer's estimate (for construction items only). The costs for other phases (PE, ROW, and CE) will be included in Section II.
- Determine the project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR).


## I.l Countermeasures (CMs) applied to all location(s) (from Page No. l)

Number of countermeasures: 1

1. R28: Install edge-lines and centerlines; HSIP Funding Eligibility: 100\%

## I. 2 Detailed Engineer's Estimate for Construction Items:

Cost breakdown by CMs. For each item, enter a cost percentage for each of the CMs and "Other Safety-Related" (OS) components. ( e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ ). The cost \% for "Non-Safety-Related" (NS) components is calculated. Do not enter data for gray fields (calculated or not used).

|  | No. | Item Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { for CM\#1 } \\ (\text { R28 }) \end{gathered}$ | (Not Used) | (Not Used) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { for } \\ & \text { OS* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% for } \\ & \text { NS** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | 1 | New Striping | LF | 3,620 | \$1.00 | 3,620 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| + | 2 | New Pavement Legend | SF | 500 | \$4.00 | 2,000 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
| $+$ | 3 | New Paint Curb | LF | 100 | \$3.00 | 300 | 100 \% | \% | \% | \% | 0 \% |
|  |  | Weighted Average (\%) Total (\$) |  |  |  | \$5,920 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |

* \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;
** \% for NS: Cost $\%$ for Non Safety-Related components.
Contingencies, as \% of the above "Total" of the construction items:
(e.g. enter 10 for $10 \%$ )


Total Construction Cost (Con Items \& Contingencies):
(Rounded up to the nearest hundreds)

## $\$ 7,200$

## I. 3 Funding Reimbursement Ratio

Project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio $=\underline{100.0 \%}$
The project's Maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio is calculated as the least of the FEs of the above countermeasures, minus the percentage of the non-safety related costs in excess of $10 \%$. This is the maximum value allowed to be entered in "HSIP/Total(\%)" column in Section II (Project Cost Estimate).

## Section II. Project Cost Estimate

All project costs, for all phases and by all funding sources, must be accounted for on this form.
i. "Total Cost": Round all costs up to the nearest hundred dollars.
ii. "HSIP/Total (\%)": The maximum allowed is the project's Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) as determined in Section I. Click the button to assign the maximum to all, OR enter if not the maximum.
iii. "HSIP Funds" and "Local/Other Funds" are calculated.

Pay attention to the interactive warning/error messages below the table. The messages, if any, must be fixed, or exceptions should be justified in narrative question No. 3 in the HSIP Application Form.

Project's maximum Funding Reimbursement Ratio (FRR) (from Section I, rounded up to integer)


To set all "HSIP/Total (\%)" in the below table to the above maximum FRR, click "Set":

Set
HISP/Total (\%)
Preliminary Engineering (PE) Phase

| Environmental | \$800 | 100 \% | \$800 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS\&E | \$1,100 | 100 \% | \$1,100 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - PE | \$1,900 | 100 \% | \$1,900 | \$0 |
| Right of Way (ROW) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way Engineering | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Appraisals, Acquisitions \& Utilities | \$0 | 100 \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Right of Way (ROW) | \$0 | \% | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction (CON) Phase |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (CE) | \$800 | 100 \% | \$800 | \$0 |
| Construction Items | $\$ 7,200$ (Read only - from Section I) | 100 \% | \$7,200 | \$0 |
| Subtotal - Construction | \$8,000 | 100 \% | \$8,000 | \$0 |
| PROJECT TOTAL | \$9,900 | 100 \% | \$9,900 | \$0 |

Agency does NOT request HSIP funds for PE Phase (automatically checked if PE - HSIP funds is \$0).

## Interactive Warning/Error Messages:

If there are any messages in the below box, please fix OR explain justification for exceptions in narrative question No 3 in the HSIP application form.

## Section III. Crash Data

The benefit of an HSIP safety project is achieved by reducing potential future crashes due to the application of the safety countermeasures (CMs). In this section, you will need to provide information regarding the historical crash data at the project sites.
Different CMs will reduce crashes of different types during the life of the safety improvements. Depending on the selected CMs for the application, you will be required to fill in one or more crash data tables, for any combination of the five crash types (datasets): "All" , "Night" Ped \& Bike", "Emergency Vehicle", and "Animal" (Each of the later four datasets is a sub-dataset of the "All" dataset.)

Note: If a Roundabout CM (S16 or NSO4 or NS05) is selected, additional information (such as roundabout configuration and ADT) is required.
For more information regarding crash data, please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer and the Local Roadway Safety Manual.

1. Please indicate the sources of the crash data. Typical sources include Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS, your locally preferred mapping software (such as Crossroads) or any other data sources.
$\square$
2. Please explain how "incremental approach" has been pursued if CM R15, R16, R17 or R18 is proposed. Please skip this question if none of these CMs are being proposed.

Countermeasure R15 (Widen shoulder), R16 ( Curve shoulder widening (outside only)), R17 (Improve horizontal alignment (flatten curves)) and R18 (Flatten crest vertical curve) are not eligible unless they are done as the last step of an "incremental approach".
Applicants need to document they have already installed lower cost and lower impact CMs but the crash rate is unacceptably high. What safety improvements have been pursued and installed at the project sites within the last ten years?

## III. 1 List of Project Locations

List all locations/sites included in this project. Highlighted fields must be filled in.

1) Initially there is only one location line. Click "+"/"-" to add a new line/delete an existing line;
2) Enter location description for each line. The same descriptions will be auto-populated in III.2.

If your project has a large number of locations, please aggregate some locations into one description, e.g. 10 stop controlled intersections, 5 horizontal curves, etc.

|  | No. | Location Description(Intersection Name or Road Limit or General Description) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Location type for this project: | R (Roadways) |
| $+$ | 1 | Hollenbeck Avenue Btw Bend Dr | rive and The Dallas |

## III.2: Countermeasures and Crash Data

Step 1: Countermeasure(s) to be applied (from Page 1)

|  | No. | Countermeasure (CM) <br> Name | CM <br> Type* | Crash Reduction <br> Factor (CRF) | Expected Life <br> (Years) | Crash Type | Federal Funding <br> Eligibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R28: Install edge-lines and <br> centerlines | R | 0.25 | 10 | All | $100 \%$ |  |

Step 2: Provide crash data.
2.1 Crash Data Period: must be between 3 and 5 years.
from (MM/DD/YYYY): 07/01/2013 To (MM/DD/YYYY):06/30/2018 Crash Data Period (years) $=5$
2.2 Fill out the crash data table(s) for the crash type(s) as required by the selected countermeasure(s) in Step 1 .

Based on the countermeasures selected in Step l, the crash data types to be provided are:
(1) All

Crash Data Table for Crash Type: $\underline{\text { ALL }}$

| No. | Location <br> (from Table III.l) | Fatal <br> (ALL) | Severe Injury <br> (ALL) | Other Visible <br> Injury (ALL) | Complaint of Pain <br> (ALL) | PDO <br> (ALL) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hollenbeck Avenue Btw <br> Bend Drive and The Dallas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |

## Section IV. Calculation and Results

Click the "Calculate" button to calculate. The script will first check if there are any errors or inconsistencies in the countermeasure selections and crash data. If errors are detected and displayed below, the errors must be fixed first before you click the "Calculate" button again. If no errors are displayed, the calculation results are provided in this section. Please refer to the Manual for HSIP Analyzer for details regarding possible errors.

Calculate

Benefit Summary:

| Information/Data* | Benefit from CM <br> \#1 | Benefit from CM <br> $\neq 2$ | Benefit from CM <br> \#3 | Total Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location type: R (Roadways) <br> Number of location(s): l <br> Number of selected countermeasure(s): 1 (R28) <br> Crash Data Information: <br> Crash data period (years): 5 <br> Number of crashes(F/SI/OVI/I-CP/PDO)*: <br> All: 0,0,0,0,7 | $\$ 46,550$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Sum | $\$ 46,550$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 46,550$ |  |

*Number of crashes: five crash numbers are for Fatal (F), Severe Injury (SI), Other Visible Injury (OVI), Injury - Complaint of Pain (I-CP), and Property Damage Only (PDO), respectively.

## BCR and other key information:

Transfer the "Total Project Cost", "HSIP Funds Requested" and the BCR to Page 2 of the HSIP Application Form.

## Safety Countermeasure Information

## Number of countermeasures: 1

R28: Install edge-lines and centerlines
Cost, FRR, Benefit and BCR:


## G. PROJECT COLLISION STICK DIAGRAMS

## Location A <br> Cezanne Drive \& El Camino Real

-39 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 11 Rear-End, 8 Involving Pedestrian, 5 Broadside, 5 Sideswipe, 3 Hit Object**, 2 Involving Bicyclist, 1 Head-On
-Major Injuries: 1 Fatal, 2 Severe Injury
*4 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


## Location B

-25 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 8 Rear-End, 8 Broadside, 4 Sideswipe, 2 Hit Object**
-Major Injuries: 1 Fatal
*2 Broadside Collisions not shown due to insufficient/conflicting information
*3 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6

## Maude Ave



## Location C

-32 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 10 Broadside**, 8 Sideswipe, 6 Rear-End, 3 Hit Object**, 2 Head-On, 1 Wolfe Road \& Arques Avenue

Involving Bicycle
-Major Injuries: 2 Severe Injury
*2 Collisions not shown due to insufficient/conflicting information
**U-Turn movement part of Broadside collisions
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


## Location D

## Fair Oaks Avenue \& Olive Avenue

## -23 Total Collisions

-Collision Types: 8 Rear-End, 7 Sideswipe, 3 Broadside, 2 Involving Pedestrian, 1 Hit Object**
-Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
*2 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


## Location E <br> Kifer Road \& Wolfe Road

## -22 Total Collisions

-Collision Types: 9 Rear-End, 6 Broadside, 4 Involving Bicycle, 1 Hit Object** -Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
*1 Collision involving Bicycles not shown due to insufficient information *2 Collisions not shown due to insufficient/conflicting information
${ }^{* *}$ Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


Location F
Caribbean Drive \&
Moffett Park Drive
-16 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 4 Rear-End, 4 Sideswipe, 2 Overturned**, 2 Hit Object**, 1 Broadside, 1 Head-On
-Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
*1 Head-On Collision not shown due to insufficient information
*2 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information
**Hit Object and Overturned Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


## Location G

## Danforth Drive \& Hollenbeck Avenue

-10 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 2 Rear-End, 2 Sideswipe, 1 Broadside, 1 Head-On, 2 Pedestrian -Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
*2 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information


| $\Rightarrow 1$ | Broadside Collision |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | Rear-Ena collision <br> Right is with parked vehicle |
|  | Sideswipe Collision <br> Left is with moving vehicles Right is with parked vehicle |
| $\rightarrow 4$ | Head-On Collision |
| ) | U-Turn |
| * | Fixed Object |
|  | Pedestrian |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | Bicyclist |



## Location H <br> Wolfe Road \& Central WB Off-Ramp

-13 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 5 Rear-End, 5 Broadside, 2 Sideswipe
-*1 Collisions not shown due to insufficient/conflicting information


## Location I

## Mary Avenue \& Olive Avenue

-26 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 16 Broadside, 1 Head-On, 1 Sideswipe, 2 Involving Bicycle, 1 Pedestrian
-Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
*1 Broadside Collision not shown due to insufficient information
*5 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information

## Location J



## Location K

## Fair Oaks Avenue \&

-15 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 5 Broadside, 5 Sideswipe, 4 Rear-End, 1 Involving Bicycle
-Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
*1 Broadside Collision not shown due to insufficient information
*1 Sideswipe Collision not shown due to insufficient information


## Location L

## -17 Total Collisions

-Collision Types: 6 Sideswipe, 2 Head-On, 2 Rear-End, 1 Hit Object**, 2 Involving
Bicycle, 1 Involving Pedestrian
-Major Injuries: 2 Severe Injury
*3 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


Location M
Evelyn Avenue \& Murphy Avenue
-14 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 5 Hit Object**, 2 Rear-End, 3 Involving Bicycle, 1 Involving Pedestrian -*3 Collisions not shown due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


## Location N

-5 Total Collisions
Evelyn Avenue \& Pastoria Avenue
-Collision Trends: 1 Sideswipe, 1 Broadside, 2 Hit Object**, 1 Involving Bicycle, 1
Severe Injury
-Major Injuries: 1 Fatal
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as ‘Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


Fremont Avenue - between Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road and Manet Drive
-11 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 3 Broadside, 2 Sideswipe, 1 Rear-End, 1 Hit Object**, 3 Involving Bicycle, 1 Involving Pedestrian -Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6


## Location P

Arques Avenue - between Wolfe Road and Lawrence Expressway
-35 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 11 Broadside, 5 Sideswipe, 6 Rear-End, 4 Hit Object**, 3 Head-On, 3 involving Bicycle, 2 involving Pedestrian
-Major Injuries: 2 Severe Injury
*1 Collision not shown at Arques \& Commercial due to insufficient information
*1 Broadside Collision not shown at Arques \& Apple West Driveway due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as ‘Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6




8 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 6 Broadside, 1 Rear-End, 1 Sideswipe
*1 Broadside Collision not shown due to insufficient information




Location S
Sandia Avenue - between Lawrence Expressway and Wildwood Avenue
-27 Total Collisions
-Collision Trends: 9 Broadside, 9 Sideswipe, 4 Rear-End, 2 Head-On, 1 Hit Object**, 1 Involving Bicycle
-*1 Broadside Collision not shown at Sandia \& Blazingwood due to insufficient information
-*1 Collision not shown at Sandia \& Havenwood due to insufficient information
**Hit Object Collisions categorized as 'Other' collision type in Tables 4, 5, and 6






## Location T

Cascade Drive \& Hollenbeck Avenue
-12 Total Collisions
-Collision Types: 6 Sideswipe, 4 Rear-End, 1 Broadside, 1 Bicycle, 1 Pedestrian
-Major Injuries: 1 Severe Injury





[^0]:     Appendix B: Collision Analysis Methodology for additional information.
     concern or issue. See Appendix B: Collision Analysis Methodology for additional information.
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     concern or issue. See Appendix B: Collision Analysis Methodology for additional information.
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     concern or issue. See Appendix B: Collision Analysis Methodology for additional information.
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     concern or issue．See Appendix B：Collision Analysis Methodology for additional information．

[^6]:    Source: Fehr \& Peers, Kimley-Horn, 2020.

[^7]:    Source: Fehr \& Peers, Kimley-Horn, 2020.

[^8]:    Source: Fehr \& Peers, Kimley-Horn, 2020.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Local Roadway Safety Manual (Version 1.5) 2020. Page 5.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ AASHTO, Highway Safety Manual, 2010, Washington D.C.,
    http://www.highwaysafetymanual.org/Pages/About.aspx
    ${ }^{3}$ AASHTO. Highway Safety Manual. 2010. Washington, DC. Page 4-2.

[^11]:    CM No. 1: R34PB: Install sidewalk/pathway (to avoid walking along roadway)

[^12]:    CM No. l: NSO7: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

[^13]:    CM No. l: $\quad$ NSOT: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

[^14]:    * \% for OS: Cost \% for Other Safety-Related components;

[^15]:    CM No. l: NSOT: Upgrade intersection pavement markings (NS.I.)

